

REF ID: A58277
~~TOP SECRET~~

DDS

c/s

as per
P/P File #24

USCIB: 2/25

~~APPENDED DOCUMENTS CON-~~
~~TAIN CODE WORD MATERIAL~~

21 January 1953

EO 3.3(h)(2)
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

~~TOP SECRET - SECURITY INFORMATION~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE MEMBERS OF USCIB:

Subject: Release of [redacted]

1. The Chairman, USCIB, has received a memorandum from the Chairman, [redacted], which suggests that USCIB acted prematurely in reaching a decision on the above subject without prior consultation with [redacted] (see Enclosure 1).

2. The Director, NSA, was requested to prepare a draft reply to this memorandum for USCIB consideration. The reply proposed by the Director, NSA, is contained in Enclosure 2, herewith.

3. It is requested that your views with regard to the proposed reply be entered on the attached vote sheet, which should be returned to the Secretary by Tuesday, 27 January 1953.

EO 3.3(h) (2)
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

H. D. Jones
H. D. JONES
Acting Executive Secretary, USCIB

Enclosures - 2

1. [redacted] 317/52, 8 Dec 52.
2. Draft Memo to Chairman,
[redacted] from Chairman, USCIB.

USCIB: 2/25

~~APPENDED DOCUMENTS CON-~~
~~TAIN CODE WORD MATERIAL~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

EO 3.3(h) (2)
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

REF ID: A58277
~~TOP SECRET CANOE~~

This document is to be read only by those personnel officially indoctrinated in accordance with communication intelligence security regulations and authorized to receive the information reported herein.

EO 3.3(h)(2)
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

~~TOP SECRET CANOE - SECURITY INFORMATION~~

D R A F T

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, [redacted]

SUBJECT: Release of [redacted]

1. Reference is made to your memorandum of 8 December 1952 respecting the release to the [redacted] communications ([redacted]/317752).

2. USCIB is pleased to learn that [redacted] concur in USCIB's decision to release the [redacted]. It is regretted, however, that [redacted] finds cause for surprise and concern in that a decision seems to have been made by USCIB without consideration of [redacted]'s interest in the matter. USCIB desires to assure [redacted] that its accession to the [redacted] request, without prior consultation with [redacted] regarding COMINT implications, was not a conscious omission but resulted rather from an uncertainty as to the need for such consultation. This uncertainty arose from a combination of circumstances.

[redacted]

[redacted]

5. The matter was placed before USCIB in July 1952. In weighing the advisability of aiding the [redacted], USCIB gave careful consideration to the possible COMINT repercussions. After prolonged U.S.-U.K. discussions, the British views as to the paramount importance of security of communications of NATO Powers had been accepted. USCIB believed that a basic and general agreement in principle had been reached on the necessity of improving the over-all communication security of those Powers, so that USCIB felt that the release of the [redacted] under certain conditions for that purpose, was warranted. It was felt, moreover, that the situation differed materially from that involved in the [redacted] problem, since the initiative had been taken by the [redacted], and it was, therefore, presumed

- 1 -

Enclosure 2 with USCIB 2/25 dated 21 January 1953.

COPY # _____

REF ID: A58277
~~TOP SECRET CANOE~~

~~This document is to be read only by those personnel officially indoctrinated in accordance with communication intelligence security regulations and authorized to receive the information reported herein.~~

~~TOP SECRET CANOE - SECURITY INFORMATION~~

D R A F T

SUBJECT: Release of [redacted]

EO 3.3(h)(2)
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

that they were already aware of the actual or potential insecurity of their communications. This presumption was strengthened by the report of a possible disclosure to the [redacted] communications, which was the subject of a U.S.-U.K. exchange of correspondence in March-April 1952. Consequently, the delicate and sensitive problem of approaching the [redacted] on the matter of insecurity did not arise, as in the case of the [redacted] and [redacted]. Additional factors were the advent of certain significant [redacted] which were likely to be available to the [redacted] and an intimation by the [redacted] representative in Washington that the [redacted] might seek other means of fulfilling their needs if U.S. assistance were not forthcoming.

6. Based upon these considerations, a decision was finally made by USCIB in favor of assisting the [redacted], and [redacted] was advised of U.S. intentions in advance of any definite commitment to the [redacted]. In fact, the machines requested by them have not yet been provided nor has any other technical assistance been supplied.

7. With respect to your inquiry concerning "technical assistance as an alternate or supplement," the latter refers to changeable elements, instructions for operation, security regulations, etc., and even the possibility of providing keys and of wiring rotors. The former refers in particular to a paper and pencil cryptographic system as a substitute for a cipher machine. However, a suggestion that such a system might be used met with no interest on the part of the [redacted] representative.

8. Although there is no explicit provision in the U.S.-U.K. COMINT Agreement governing cryptographic assistance to third parties, USCIB desires to assure [redacted] of its belief that consultation prior to action is desirable when questions arise concerning such assistance which may have implications affecting U.S.-U.K. COMINT collaboration.

(Draft)
WALTER B. SMITH
Chairman, United States Communications
Intelligence Board