

*5 copies*

# OUTGOING NAVAL MESSAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

<b>DATE:</b> 26 September 1953		<b>ADDRESSEE(S)</b>	<b>PRECEDENCE</b>
<b>FROM</b> SUSLO	ACTION	DIRNSA	FLASH
<b>DRAFTED BY</b> Mr. Friedman			EMERGENCY
<b>RELEASED BY</b> Colonel Pulling	INFORMATION		OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE
<b>SECTION</b> _____ <b>EXT</b> _____			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRIORITY
			ROUTINE
			FLASH
			EMERGENCY
			OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE
			PRIORITY
			ROUTINE

UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED, THIS MESSAGE WILL BE TRANSMITTED WITH DEFERRED PRECEDENCE


ROUTING INSTRUCTIONS

261003Z  
DATE/TIME GROUP (GCT)

(DO NOT USE THIS SPACE)

~~TOP SECRET~~
 PL 86-36/50 USC 3605  
 EO 3.3(h)(2)

LW-1029.



APPROACH TO THE FRENCH IN REGARD COMSEC IMPROVEMENT PD I ~~IS~~ EXPLAINED  
 THAT PACKARD OF STATE DEPARTMENT HAD TOLD ME VERY RECENTLY THAT IN

STATES OPINION FRENCH SITUATION STILL WAS NOT FAVORABLE FOR APPROACHING  
 FRENCH FOREIGN OFFICE RIGHT NOW OR IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE PD ALSO THAT

ORIGINATOR:

COPIES:

DATE/TIME GROUP

UNCLASSIFIED

# OUTGOING NAVAL MESSAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

<b>DATE</b> _____		<b>ADDRESSEE(S)</b> _____	<b>PRECEDENCE</b>
<b>FROM</b> _____	<b>ACTION</b>		<b>FLASH</b>
<b>DRAFTED BY</b> _____			<b>EMERGENCY</b>
<b>RELEASED BY</b> _____			<b>OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE</b>
<b>SECTION</b> _____ <b>EXT</b> _____	<b>INFORMATION</b>		<b>PRIORITY</b>
			<b>ROUTINE</b>
			<b>FLASH</b>
			<b>EMERGENCY</b>
			<b>OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE</b>
			<b>PRIORITY</b>
			<b>ROUTINE</b>

**UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED, THIS MESSAGE WILL BE TRANSMITTED WITH DEFERRED PRECEDENCE**

ROUTING INSTRUCTIONS

DATE/TIME GROUP (GCT)

(DO NOT USE THIS SPACE)

IN PARTICULAR HE HAD SAID THAT HE DID NOT EXPECT TO SEE SUFFICIENT IMPROVEMENT IN SITUATION BY MID NOVEMBER TO WARRANT ANY OF US NOW

HERE REMAINING AFTER CONCLUSION OF THE UNCLE KING SLASH UNCLE SUGAR COMSEC CONFERENCE IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN FRENCH COMSEC DISCUSSIONS

IN PARIS PD COOPER SAID THAT TILTMAN HAD ALREADY EXPLAINED THE OBVIOUS PRACTICAL REASONS FOR POSTPONEMENT OF TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS WITH

FRENCH TILL MID NOVEMBER BUT DID NOT APPEAR TO BE AWARE THAT STATE DEPARTMENT WERE CONSIDERING FURTHER POSTPONEMENT ON ANY OTHER GROUNDS

PD ~~IT~~ WAS TOLD THAT MY INFORMATION VIA PACKARD TOOK THEM BY SURPRISE AND THAT FOREIGN OFFICE WOULD BE VERY MUCH DISTURBED BY ANY CONSIDERABLE

ADDITIONAL DELAY IN APPROACHING FRENCH PD ~~IT~~ SUGGEST ADVISABILITY OF AGAIN CONSULTING STATE ON THIS POINT AND THEN ADVISING TILTMAN PD

<sup>P3</sup> PARA THREE FURTHER IN REGARD FRENCH COMSEC MATTER CLN NSA APPARENTLY HAS PROPOSED USING TRIPARTITE SECURITY WORKING GROUP PAREN HEREAFTER

REFERRED TO AS TARE SUGAR WILLIAM GEORGE PAREN AS VEHICLE FOR ARRANGING TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS IN PARIS PD GCHQ VIEW ON THIS POINT

MAY BE SUMMARIZED AS FOLLOWS <sup>a.</sup> CLN ABLE PD MANY OF THE TSWG DELEGATES ARE UNAWARE OF THE COMSEC PROBLEM AND THE USE OF THE GROUP WOULD

ORIGINATOR:

COPIES:

DATE/TIME GROUP

UNCLASSIFIED

# OUTGOING NAVAL MESSAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

DATE:	ADDRESSEE(S)	PRECEDENCE
FROM _____	ACTION	FLASH
DRAFTED BY _____		EMERGENCY
RELEASED BY _____		OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE
SECTION _____ EXT _____		PRIORITY
	INFORMATION	ROUTINE
		FLASH
		EMERGENCY
		OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE
		PRIORITY
		ROUTINE

**UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED, THIS MESSAGE WILL BE TRANSMITTED WITH DEFERRED PRECEDENCE**

ROUTING INSTRUCTIONSDATE/TIME GROUP (GCT)

(DO NOT USE THIS SPACE)

UNNECESSARILY EXPAND THE CIRCLE BEYOND THOSE WHO QUOTE NEED TO KNOW QUOTE  
 PD BAKER PD TSWG DELEGATES ARE NOT AWARE OF CLEARANCE STANDARDS AND

SPECIAL INDOCTRINATION REQUIRED AND IT IS DOUBTFUL IF TSWG AS A BODY  
 HAS ANY MECHANISM FOR SELECTING TYPE OF PERSONNEL DESIRED AND FOR

GUARANTEEING THAT FRENCH OFFICERS WHO WILL ATTEND TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS  
 WILL DEFINITELY MEET UNCLE KING DASH UNCLE SUGAR CLEARANCE STANDARDS PD

CHARLIE PD BOTH UNCLE SUGAR PAREN ELLIOTT FOR STATE DEPT PAREN AND  
 FRENCH REPRESENTATIVES HAVE RECENTLY EXPRESSED VIEW THAT TSWG SHOULD

NOT MEET AGAIN TILL NEXT SPRING AND THAT CONSIDERATION MIGHT EVEN BE  
 GIVEN TO THE QUESTION WHETHER IT SHOULD NOT BE WOUND UP ALTOGETHER PD

PARA FOUR COMMENT ON POINT THREE CLN ~~ITEM~~ HAD BEEN UNDER THE IMPRESSION  
 THAT TSWG IS A CREATURE OF STANDING GROUP AND THEREFORE IS AN AGENT OF

NATO PD GCHQ POINTED OUT THAT THIS IMPRESSION IS NOT CORRECT AND THAT  
 MEMBERS OF TSWG REPORT DIRECTLY TO THEIR RESPECTIVE GOVERNMENTS PD.MY

PRESENT VIEW ON THIS POINT IS THAT GCHQ PREFERENCE FOR WORKING DIRECTLY  
 WITH FRENCH COMSEC AUTHORITY IS WELL FOUNDED AND THAT WE OUGHT TO CONCUR

IN THEIR VIEW UNLESS THERE ARE GOOD REASONS TO THE CONTRARY THAT THEY  
 HAVE OVERLOOKED

ORIGINATOR:

COPIES:

DATE/TIME GROUP

UNCLASSIFIED