SECRET CONFIDEN	TIAL REF RID TAGL 1-99
DATE 29 March 49	
TO FROM	TO FROM
Chief, ASA	Tech Staff
Had 1st meeting, with C. Fox.	
Recommends getting Ogara in on the	
Study. 2 conc	in bit ok
with you ?	
	1
OT Muth	
Declassified and approved for release by NS	
CSGAS 96 (Rev. 12) 16 Apr 47 47 E.O. 13526	

REF_phD.cA6 **LAF** 40 DATE FROM TO FROM то Chief, ASA (10) Tech Staff \dots (96) Ch, Security $Div \dots (80)$ Executive O_{\ldots} (11) Co'r Joint Oper \dots (12) Deputy Chief, ASA...(20) Ch, Materiel Br \dots (82) Ch, Comm Res Sec (14) Ch, Methods $Br \dots (83)$ Ch, Hist Unit....(13)Ch. Protective Br. (84) Ch. Pers Sec. \ldots (21) Ch. Maint Br. \dots (85) Ch, Org & Tng Sec. (22)Ch. Res Lab Div \dots (70) Ch. Plans & Oper...(23) Tech Staff (71) Ch, Materiel Sec....(24)Ch, Ch. Ciph & Cif Br(72)Ch, Fiscal Sec. \dots (25) Ch, Int Equip Br...(73)Adjutant, $ASA \dots (26)$ Ch, Elec & Elec Br. (74)Ch, Sec Cont Sec...(27) Ch, Lab Serv Br ... (75)_ Ch. Operations Div. (90) Ch, C'logic Br.... (76)Ch. Lab Br. \dots (91) Ch, Pers & Tng Br....(61) Ch, Machine Br \dots (92) Ch, Supply Br.....(62) Ch, Crypt Br \ldots (93) Co, Arlington Hall....(40) Ch, Int Cont Br....(94)Ch, I & D Br. (95)) Information & File () Approval & Return () As Requested Recommendation)) Concurrence or Comments Signature if approved) Information & Forwarding Your action by) Information & Return) Info upon which to base reply reinth de Co d CSGAS 96 (Rev. 12) 16 Apr 47 47938

REFUED A TIME T

CSGAS-10

22 March 1949

MELIORANDUM FOR MR. FRIEDMAN:

SUBJECT: Central Evaluation, Publication and Dissemination of SIGINT.

1. This draft, together with its supporting discussion was precisely the line of thought which I have on the subject. I have discussed this with my two legal advisors and submit for your consideration, in the rewriting, the following:

a. Paragraph 1. a. pg 1 - Why restrict only to Armed Forces? Since you are submitting the memorandum to the Members of USCIB, it would seem to me that CIA and State might well be included. Possibly you have a reason for omitting them that does not occur to me.

b. Faragraph 1.c. pg 1 - This is alright for the memorandum but since it only touches, and does not deal definitely and effectively with the individual agency intelligence problems, I think these might well be covered in your discussion. This paragraph mentions only publications and omits mention of the more elaborate integration problem.

c. Paragraph 3.a. of the Discussion - The discussion on the Diplomatic Summary does not go far enough. It attacks how the State does the job but does not go sufficiently into the theory behind it.

d. Paragraph 4.c. of the Discussion - The discussion on the peacetime justification can be made much stronger.

2. My general comment on the whole thing is that we should shoot for record in this paper, dealing in detail with the specific problems of the Services and the difficulty of separating lower and higher level intelligence. I also feel that we might well here take an indirect orack at the Stone Board report by pointing up those areas in which they avoided making responsible decisions.

CARTER W. CLARKE Colonel, Signal Corps Chief, Army Security Agency





2º Inaf

MEMORANDUM to Chairman, USCIB

Subject: Central Evaluation, Publication and Dissemination of SIGINT.

1. It is recommended:

a. That a single center be established under USCIB direction for the evaluation, publication, and dissemination of the fruits of signal intelligence activities of the frmed Forces

b. That a single SIGINT Summary be published on a weekly basis, supplemented by more detailed monthly and quarterly publications with cumulative indexes. The first few pages of each Summary should contain highlights for the busy reader.

c. That such special GLENT publications as are elaborated by the respective cryptanalytic processing centers or by the respective intelligence agenices of the Armed Forces, of the Department of State, or of the Central Intelligence Agency be also published and disseminated by the central unit.

d. That the central unit for evaluation, publication, and dissemination be located at one of the existing
i. S. cryptanalytic processing centers.

e. That the present policy of disseminating "spot

P. 1





items" of GLINT intelligence in the case of important or urgent items be continued, but with a more limited distribution.

2. The reasons for the foregoing recommendations are set forth in Incl. 1.

3. It is requested that the foregoing recommendations be placed on the Agenda for discussion at the next regular meeting of USCIB.

1 Incl. Discussion Gen. Irwin.





DISCUSSION

1. a. There are now at least three publications which contain the final product of the signal intelligence activities of the U. S. Government and which are issued on a periodic basis. They are as follows:

- (1) The Diplomatic Summary, prepared and issued on a daily basis by the Department of State;
- (2) The Military Digest, prepared and issued on a weekly basis by the Intelligence Division, U. S. Army; and
- (3) The Soviet Intelligence Summary, prepared and issued on a weekly basis by the U. S. Navy.

b. In addition to the foregoing periodic publications containing GLINT material there are several others which are prepared and issued on a non-periodic basis, such as the following:

(1)Special Reports Prepared by Special Research (2)Order of Battle Studies Branch. Intelligence Division. U.S. (3) Communication Intelligence Briefs (4) Special Reports) Prepared by U. S. Navy (5) CSAW Handbooks (6) Order of Battle Studies) Prepared by Army Security Agency under authority of the Director of Intelligence (7)Special Studies

c. Finally, there are the periodic publications prepared and disseminated by the Central Intelligence Agency.



P. 1.

They do not contain GLINT material but since the CIA receives such material, it may be possible that it is used as collateral sources of information.

d. It is to be noted in this connection that GLINT intelligence is and must be more carefully protected than other types of intelligence and that there is and must be a rather strict isolation of GLINT material from other intelligence produced within or by U. S. intelligence agencies. This implies that there are intelligence units even within those agencies which operate without benefit of any GLINT source material. Their reports can, therefore, hardly avoid reflecting the lack of such material and the usefulness of these reports is obviously impaired to the extent of the importance of the items which have to be omitted because they are unknown and unavailable to the writers.

2. With reference specifically to the publications listed under Par. 1a, it is only to be expected, and it is perhaps unavoidable, because they are produced separately by different agencies, that duplications of material or items of intelligence should appear from time to time. Such duplication, however, is not a particularly serious matter, and might even be considered desirable in some small degree. What is more serious is that the intelligence coverage and the broad picture that might be painted from GLINT sources P. 2.



is not and cannot be painted by the present system of decentralized evaluation and dissemination.

3. a. Taking up first the Diplomatic Summary, this publication, being on a daily-production basis, can only represent at best a series of isolated items of intelligence. In fact, it is possible that the old adage to the effect that "one cannot see the forest for the trees" is applicable in this case. Little attempt is made in the present Diplomatic Summary to consolidate or integrate the isolated and seriatim items into a comprehensive picture of the policies, diplomatic maneuvers, intrigues, and aims of other countries, as they are reflected by GLENT intelligence, which is by far the most reliable and timely source by means of which an accurate and <u>timely</u> estimate of the international diplomatic situation can be made.

b. In regard to the failure to paint a comprehensive picture of the sort indicated, the situation which now exists is not much better than that which existed prior to Pearl Harbor and which was censured in no uncertain terms by the Joint Congressional Committee on the Investigation of the Attack on Pearl Harbor. Upon the shoulders of those engaged in the SIGINT effort rests the tremendous responsibility of forewarning the proper authorities of the Government in all international crises. But if all the P. 3





bits of information obtained from various SIGINT sources are not properly pieced together and fused with the many items from collateral intelligence to give a timely and complete picture, the SIGINT effort as well as the other intelligence effort will have been wasted if another Pearl Harbor catches us unawares.

4. a. It is estimated that the Army has an investment of about \$30,000,000 in the Army Security Agency plant, and an annual budget (FY 1949) of approximately \$22,000,000. Of this probably 80% is devoted to SIGINT operations. The Navy's investment and budget are probably not much different. Roughly, then, the plant investment is \$48,000,000, and the annual budget, \$35,000,000.

b. Basically, there are only two justifications for the foregoing large investments and expenditures. The first is that signal intelligence activities do not have their counterpart in civil life and cannot, in view of their complexities, be improvised <u>de novo</u> on the outbreak of war. It is therefore essential to maintain, in peacetime, establishments that will function effectively just before or immediately upon outbreak of actual hostilities, a condition which is today a technical prerequisite to SIGINT success for the duration of the war. This means that large plants and large numbers of tech-P. 4.





nicians have to be maintained in peacetime and kept at a high level of efficiency. On this score, while it is believed that a fairly adequate job is being done, if that accomplishment represented the only fruit of the large investments and annual expenditures, the cost thereof could certainly be questioned and might be thought to be excessive. The other basic justification for the large investments and annual expenditures is the peacetime production of an intelligence picture of such timeliness, accuracy, and completeness as to give forewarning of impending momentous changes in the international situation.

c. When viewed in the light of the latter basic reason for maintaining the large staff and plant devoted to peacetime signal intelligence operations, the three items mentioned in par. 1 above are not believed to represent a product commensurate with the high cost thereof. It is probable that TIME, the weekly newsmagazine, operates on a smaller investment and budget than is represented above. But, TIME'S very existence depends upon the perfection of its product. If we are to continue to merit the confidence and approval of the highest governmental authorities, the SIGINT product must be as nearly complete and as perfect as we can possibly make it. It is largely upon the quality of this product that the value of our P. 5.



SIGINT operations <u>in peacetime</u> can be judged and defended by those who see only this part of the SIGINT effort from day to day.

5. a. A consolidation of the research facilities and personnel presently employed in the several separate agencies concerned with the evaluation and publication of the SIGINT product would bring about greatly increased benefits and a much improved product. Such a consolidated or centralized organization would be more thorough, more efficient, and more economical than is now the case. Currently there are four agencies concerned; when the USAF begins SIGINT operations in its assigned portion of the field there will be five.

b. It may be pointed out in this connection that on strictly technical grounds, as established by British and U. S. Navy experience, placing the cryptanalytic processing and the evaluation centers in close proximity to each other has three important advantages:

(1) Voluminous files of collateral information and special data are required for cryptanalytic processing and practically the same types of information and files are essential for the proper evaluation of the final GLINT product. If the <u>same</u> files were P. 6





utilized for both operations they would serve a dual purpose, eliminating the need for duplicate, triplicate, or quadruplicate facilities, space, and personnel, as is now the case. Thus, there would be a considerable monetary saving.

(2)Cryptanalytic processing often requires the elaboration, collection, or development of special information for the immediate use of the cryptanalysts; similar information is later required for proper evaluation of the final product, and must often be elaborated, collected, or developed by the evaluators. If the material elaborated for or by the cryptanalysts were also directly available to and used by the evaluators, time would be saved in publishing the final GLINT product, since much of the work that the evaluators must now do for themselves by way of collecting collateral information would have already been done in connection with cryptanalytic processing. Hence there would be an improvement in efficiency of the overall SIGINT operation, since the time required to produce the final results is a vital element in their value.

(3) The closer the cryptanalytic, collateral information, and evaluation personnel are to one another, the more effective are SIGINT operations as P. 7



a whole, since the quick and unimpeded interchange of new ideas, new developments, and new directions of interest among all the personnel involved in those operations would improve their respective effectiveness.

c. The technical considerations outlined in the preceding subparagraph b must, however, play a secondary role in view of the present situation within the U.S. signal intelligence field, in which the complex problem of establishing a unified cryptanalytic processing organization is still under discussion and remains unsolved. Therefore, the best that could be done under existing circumstances would be to place the single evaluation, publication, and dissemination center in close proximity to one of the cryptanalytic processing centers, to be selected on the basis of optimum facilities for the operation of the single evaluation, publication, and dissemination center.

6. a. A single, central organization for the control and dissemination of the final <u>GLENT</u> product would also be advantageous in at least three respects:

(1) Such an organization would bring about economies in facilities, space, and personnel.

(2) The substitution of a single complete publication to replace the three or more separate and incomplete P. 8

ones which are now disseminated to the various higher level officials of the Government would save the time of these very busy men, would demonstrate unification of operations within and among the responsible agencies, and would give a better overall picture of the international situation.

(3) It would be more efficient in proper control for the security of all operations.

The last-mentioned advantage deserves further b. comment. One of the important byproducts of a consolidation of SIGINT evaluation, publication, and dissemination agencies would be that the present wide dissemination of the individual items of intelligence in mimeographed, dittoed, or printed form, a condition fraught with great hazard to the security of the operations, would no longer be necessary, which would thus improve the security of all SIGINT operations, especially the cryptanalytic. This would not mean that individual messages or items of SIGINT would no longer be available to those who have a need therefor; it would simply mean that these items would be made available only on proper request or, if the central dissemination unit deemed an item to be of such character as to warrant immediate forwarding to the officials concerned, the item would be forwarded with expedition without such a request. As an example of the breadth of the present dissemination of the individual processed messages, Inclosure 1 shows the distribution given by the Army Security Agency to its product. P. 9



TOP SECRET GLI

~)

REF, ID: A/61199 Jus modified Jus Modified Jus

•



15 March 1949

154

MEMORANDUM TO CH

Ĩ + + + ----

 γ

SUBJECT: Central Evaluation, Publication and Dissemination of SIGINT

1. CSGAS-90 concurs in that portion of the subject paper which proposes closer integration of processing, evaluation, and publication for SIGINT material.

2. A single evaluation and publication unit, to be effective, presupposes a single processing unit. Considerable difficulty in performing proper centralized evaluation and publication of SIGINT by a central unit is anticipated if separate processing units exist. A study on the organization of SIGINT processing has been made by a special board and a decision on the recommendations of this board has not yet been issued.

3. The following points must be taken into consideration in determining the course of action to be taken in centralizing evaluation and publication of SIGINT:

a. The concept of integration of the processing, evaluation, and publication of SIGINT has not been proved in practice within the framework of American SIGINT organizations.

b. At this time, the course to be followed in effecting centralized evaluation and publication of SIGINT must be workable under either a unified or coordinated concept of processing. This results from the fact that a decision, as mentioned above, has not been issued as to whether SIGINT processing will be unified or coordinated.

c. The transition from the present procedures to centralized evaluation and publication will require considerable time and adjustment among the consumer and processing agencies.

4. The major advantages of integration of processing with
evaluation and publication of SIGINT are found in the following:
(a) Security; (b) Timeliness; (c) Economy; (d) Effectiveness.
These advantages are well covered in the basic paper.

TOP SECRET GLINT



٤.

REFPIELAGT 1921NT

5. The following course of action is recommended:

a. That within the framework of the Army an integration of processing with evaluation and publication of SIGINT be effected.

b. That the functions of processing, evaluation and publication for the Department of Army be conducted at Army Security Agency.

c. That the function of dissemination be reviewed, taking into consideration recommendations "a" and "b" above.

6. The foregoing recommendations, if carried out, will:

a. Prove the validity of the concept of integration of processing with evaluation and publication of SIGINT.

b. Be acceptable under either a unified or coordinated concept of processing.

c. Be effected with a minimum of time and administrative adjustment.

d. Serve as a model to be followed if it is desired at some future date to embrace all major processing, evaluation and publication in a centralized activity.

Frank FRANK B. ROWLETT

Chief, Operations Division





1. The proposal described here seems to me to be inadvisable for the following reasons:

a. I do not believe in the complete isolation of CLINT in overall intelligence operations. This proposal would set up a single <u>GLINT</u> intelligence unit, while presumably continuing within each department the normal activities which are now carried on, but without benefit of any GLINT source material.

b. A single evaluating and disseminating unit would be easy prey for the CIA. I would predict that it would be taken over by CIA within a few months after it started to function, if not initially.

c. Military, Air, Naval, political, economic and other intelligence requirements each have different perspectives even though in some cases the difference is slight. No advantage can be seen in combining under one roof intelligence analysis for each of the above purposes. In fact, some very serious disadvantages might develop, depending of course upon the energy, imagination, specialized experience, and ability of the personnel comprising the joint evaluation unit.

2. Specific Comments.

Par 1 b of memo - This appears to be an ill-disguised attempt to locate the unit at Arlington Hall and even if the idea were good, it would be opposed by the other USCIB members.

Par 6 a (2) of incl. - The President does not receive the Military and Naval digests.

General - In the memorandum, there is no specific reference to the dissemination of urgent spot items, the most effective use made of GLINT. There is a reference to this matter in the last paragraph of the inclosure but there it is implied that dissemination of spot items would be the exception rather than the rule. Any procedure which fails to encourage the frequent dissemination of spot items does not take advantage of the principal value of HINT intelligence.

3. The arguments which you advance in the inclosure, while I agree with them, tend to support the closer integration of processing and evaluation rather than the integration of evaluation and dissemination.

77 June 49

