

RESTRICTED ----

nan

MILITARY CRYPTANALYSIS

PART I -- MONOALPHABETIC SUBSTITUTION SYSTEMS

by

WILLIAM F. FRIEDMAN

Principal Cryptanalyst

Prepared under the direction of the Chief Signal Officer.

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 12-23-2013 pursuant to E.O. 13526



1936



30 April 1959

This document is re-graded "CONFIDENTIAL" UP of DOD Directive 5200-1 dated 8 July 1957, and by authority of the Director, National Security Agency.

1

aul g. Willard

ĸ

÷

٠

4

Paul S. Willard Colonel, AGC Adjutant General

CONFFIEDER 644

MILITARY CRYPTANALYSIS. PART I

Monoalphabetic Substitution Systems

<u>Section</u>

ъ

ę

ĩ

ĩ

4

Paragraphs Page

3 10 L 16
r 10
3 24
2 31
1 49
3 73
) 77
3 85
) 120

APPENDIX

Table No.

Page

)

1A	Absolute frequencies of letters appearing in five sets of Government plain-text telegrams, each	
	set containing 10,000 letters. Arranged al-	
	phabetically	127
15	Absolute frequencies of letters appearing in five	-~1
<i>x D</i>	sets of Government plrin-text telegrams, each	
	set containing 10,000 letters. Arranged ac-	
	cording to frequency	123
ח ר	Absolute frequencies of vowels, high frequency con-	120
T0		
	sonants, medium frequency consonants, and low	
	frequency consonants appearing in five sets of	
	Government plain-text telegrams, each set con-	
	taining 10,000 letters	128
2–A	Absolute frequency of letters appearing in the com-	
	bined five sets of messages totalling 50,000 let-	
	ters, arranged alphabetically	127
2–B	Absolute frequency of letters appearing in the com-	
	bined five sets of messages totalling 50,000 let-	
	ters, arranged according to frequency	129
2-C	Absolute frequency of vowels, high frequency conso-	
	nants, medium frequency consonants, and low fre-	
	quency consonants appearing in the combined five	
	sets of messages totalling 50,000 letters	129
	sees of meebuler warring worders the forest seeses	7.40

CONFIDENTIAL

Table No. Page 2-D Absolute frequencies of letters as initial letters of 10,000 words found in Government plain-text tolegrams, (1) arranged alphabetically, and 129 (2) according to absolute frequencies..... 2-E Absolute frequencies of letters as final letters of 10,000 words found in Government plain-text telegrams, (1) arranged alphabetically, and 130 (2) according to absolute frequencies...... Relative frequencies of letters prearing in 1,000 3. letters based upon Table 2, (1) arranged alphabetically, (2) according to absolute frequency, (3) vowels, (4) high frequency consonants, (5) medium frequency consonants, and (6) low frequency consonants..... 130 - 131Frequency distribution for 10,000 letters of lit-4. erary English, (1) arranged alphabetically, and (2) according to absolute frequencies..... 131 5. Frequency distribution for 10,000 letters of telegraphic English, (1) arranged alphabetically, 131 and (2) according to obsolute frequencies..... 6. Frequency distribution of digraphs, based on 50,000 letters of Government plain-text telograms, rc-132 duced to 5,000 digraphs..... 7-A The 438 different digraphs of Table 6 arranged ac-133 - 134cording to their absolute frequencies..... The 18 digraphs composing 25% of the digraphs in 7–B Table 6. Arranged alphabetically according to their initial letters, (1) and according to their final letters (2) and according to their 135 absolute frequencies..... 7-C The 53 digraphs composing 50% of the digraphs in Table 6. Arranged alphabetically according to their initial letters, (1) and according t_{ij} their final letters (2) and according to their 136 absolute frequencies..... 7-D The 117 digraphs composing 75% of the digraphs in Table 6. Arranged alphabetically according to their initial letters, (1) and according to their final letters (2) and according to their absolute frequencies..... 137 - 1387-E All the 438 digraphs of Table 6, arranged first alphabetically according to their initial letters and then alphabetically according to their final 1.38 letters..... (See Table 6. Read across the rows)...... 132 8. The 438 different digraphs of Table 6 arranged first alphabetically according to their initial letters, and then according to their absolute frequencies under each initial letter..... 139-141 9-A The 438 different digraphs of Table 6 arranged first alphabetically according to their final letters and then according to their absolute frequencies .. 142 - 144

*

.

Table No.

\$

ъ

÷

₹.

4

÷

able 1	<u>No.</u>	Page
9–B	The 18 digraphs composing 25% of the 5000 digraphs of Table 6, arranged alphabetically according to their final letters, (1) and according to their initial letters, (2) and according to	
9–C	their absolute frequencies The 53 digraphs composing 50% of the 5000 digraphs of Table 6, arranged alphabetically according to their final letters, (1) and according to their initial letters, (2) and according to	145
9-D	their absolute frequencies The 117 digraphs composing 75% of the 5000 digraphs of Table 6, arranged alphabetically according to their final letters, (1) and according to	146
9E	 their initial letters,	147 148
10-	ters	148 132
-A -B	grams Arranged according to their absolute frequencies Arranged first alphabetically according to their initial letters and then according to their	149
-C	absolute frequencies Arranged first alphabetically according to their central letters and then according to their	150
-D	absolute frequencies Arranged first alphabetically according to their final letters and then according to their	151
11-	absolute frequencies The 54 tetragraphs appearing 50 or more times in the 50,000 letters of government plain-text telegrams	152
	Arranged according to their absolute frequencies Arranged first alphabetically according to their initial letters and then according to their	153
-C	absolute frequencies Arranged first alphabetically according to their second letters and then according to their	154
-D	absolute frequencies Arranged first alphabetically according to their third letters and then according to their	155
-E	absolute frequencies Arranged first alphabetically according to their final letters and then according to their	156
12.	absolute frequencies	157 158

. .

8

.

\$

4

¥

ERRATA

Page	Paragraph	Line	Now Reads	Correction
				angenet a see to anne a suite de fanta e suite de fanta de la suite de la suite de la suite de la suite de la s
3	2ъ	Last line	"more expecially"	"more especially"
4	20	5	"light breaks and-"	"light breaks through,
				and"
4	Footnote			
	2nd Par.	2	"into casual"	"into causal"
7	2f	2	"mental jarrs"	"mental jars"
17	90	6	"111 in Fig.2"	" in Fig. 2"
17	9e	4	"totaling approximate- ly 10,000"	"totalling 10,000"
22	Tables 4,5	2nd Title	"according to rela-	"according to fre-
		line	tive frequency"	quency"
24	Footnote	Last line	"a bar-distribution"	
26	13d	13	"between 0 and 8"	
28	14b	9		"to certain languages"
35	18c	Middle of	5th group in 2nd line	
		Page	of cryptogram - "GXUUT"	" should read - "GZUUT"
35	18c	Fig. 7	Tally over letter \overline{D} she	ould be omitted.
36	18e	4,7,12,13	"three letters"	"four letters"
38	19a(2)	7	"to note where"	"to note whether"
38	19a(2)	9	"quency letters"	"quency consonants"
38	19a(4)	6	"to curve C"	"to ourve R"
39	19a(6)	4	"F _p ,G _p ,H _p , thus:"	"Fp,Gp,Hp, thus:"
40	19b(4)	Fig.10d	Add one more tally ove:	
42	20a(4)	13	"first 15 letters"	"first 20 letters"
47	21b	7	"its oxtant"	"its extent"
47	210	17	" K Z G H "	" $K Z \overline{G} D$ "
51	25a	5	"Table 1"	"Tablo 6"
51	25a	7	"that 546"	"that 428"
52	26c	· 6	"allow one space"	"allow two spaces"
54	27e	4	"upper half"	"loft half"
54	27e	5,6	"directly opposite"	"directly above"
54	27e	6	"lower half"	"right half"
54	27e	8	"directly above"	"directly to the left of"
56	27f	9	Under "Digraphs based on Suffixes""DF,DZ,"	"DT, DZ"
56	27g	5	"DF appears 6 times"	"DF appears five times"
57	28a	Last line	"filed in"	"filled in"
58	28ъ	4th lino		
		from end	"as does also"	"as do also"
58	29a	4	"to Table 11"	"to Table 6"
58	29 a	7	Correct figures to read	d: "41,37,35,27,17,13,13, 12,12,11"
59	29a	3rd line		
		from bottom	"in Table 11"	"in Table 6"
60	29a	Iop of page		d: "7,5,13,25,37,17,5,59"
60	29a ·	6	"SVc-UIp"	"SVc=AIp"
60	29a	7	"ISc=AUp"	"ISc=UAp"
	1		P	<u> </u>

ĩ

•

¥.

ŝ

.

é

٠

5

ł.

Pago	Paragraph	Line	Now Reads	Correction
• 60	29a	9	"is almost"	"is more than"
60 60	29b	8	"viz., TIONg"	"viz., TIONp"
65	310			should be E instead of Z
65	31d	2 9	"this keyword six"	"this keyword five"
67	32c(5)	5	"Table 13"	"Table 10 A"
68	32e	9,10	Delete sentence beginn	ing: "In this connection"
73	35Ъ	5,6	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \cdots & \mathbf{F} & \cdots & \mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{W} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{H} \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}$	"C F Z " WI HW
75	36a	13	"Ppyyemnshy"	"Ppyyomns <u>n</u> y"
75	3 6a	14	"nsseus"	"nspens"
75	3 6a	16	End of line: "t e 1"	"t e i"
76	36Ъ	4	End of line: "55 $5\overline{2}$ "	" 55 <u>42</u> "
76	36Ъ	5 2		"93 66 77 66 33 84 66"
79	38c	2	"for oxample 330"	"for example 494"
79	380	3	"composed of 165"	"composed of 247"
83	40a	12	"row and indicators"	"row and column indi- cators"
84	40b	11	"Only 3"	"Only 4"
86	410	7	"XYo and ACo"	"XYc and ACp"
86	41c	9	"wholo result."	"whole result."
92	44c(4)	4th line		
		from end	"J. ZI QC"	"J. ZL QC $$ "
94	44c(8)	5	"Sections 3 and 2"	"Sections 3 and 4"
106	46d(1)	7	"in Fig. 25"	"in Fig. 25a"
108	46c(1)	8,11	"XCPTOTCXOT"	"XCPTOTCXOT"
108	460(2)	footnoto	"ISec Par. 48c"	"ISee Par. 44c"
111	46e	3,15	"CY NO TE"	"CY NO TY^{H}
119	46h	12	"othor devined "	"other divined"
[121	47c	8	"envelop"	"envelope"
67	320(5)	9	"Table 14"	"Table <u>11 A</u> "

SECTION I.

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

					Paragraph	n
Scope of this text	•	•	•		. 1	
Mental equipment necessary for cryptanalytic work						
Validity of results of cryptanalysis	•	•	•	•	• 3	

1. Scope of this text. - a. It is assumed that the student has studied the two preceding texts forming part of this series, viz., Special Text No. 165, Elementary Military Cryptography, and Special Text No. 166, Advanced Military Cryptography. The latter texts deal exclusively with cryptography as defined therein; that is, with the various types of ciphers and codes, their principles of construction, and their employment in cryptographing and decryptographing messages. Particular emphasis was placed upon such means and methods as are practicable for military usage. It is also assumed that the student has firmly in mind the technically precise, special nomenclature employed in those texts, for the terms and definitions therein will all be used in the present text, with essentially the same significances. If this is not the case, it is recommended that the student review his preceding work, in order to regain a familiarity with the specific meanings assigned to the terms used therein. There will be no opportunity herein to repeat this information and unless he understands clearly the significance of the terms employed, his progress will be retarded.

b. This text constitutes the first of a series of texts on cryptanalysis. Although most of the information contained herein is applicable to cryptograms of whatever type and source, special emphasis will be laid upon the principles and methods of solving military cryptograms. Except for an introductory discussion of fundamental principles underlying the science of cryptanalytics, this first text in the series will deal solely with the principles and methods for the analysis of monalphabetic substitution ciphers. Even with this limitation it will be impossible to discuss all the many variations of this one type, but with a firm grasp upon the general principles no difficulties should be experienced with, any variations that may be encountered.

Z

1

i

<u>c</u>. This and some of the succeeding texts will deal only with elementary types of cipher systems not because they may be encountered in military operations but because their study is essential to an understanding of the principles underlying the solution of the modern, very much more complex types of ciphers and codes that are employed by the larger governments today in the conduct of their military affairs in time of war.

d. All of this series of texts will deal only with the solution of visible secret writing. At some future date texts dealing with the solution of invisible secret writing, and with secret signalling systems may be prepared.

- 2 -

2. Montal equipment necessary for cryptanalytic work. - a. Captain Parker Hitt, in the first United States Army manual dealing with cryptography, opens the first chapter of his valuable treatise with the following sontence:

> "Success in dealing with unknown ciphers is measured by these four things in the order named. perseverance, careful methods of analysis, intuition, luck."

These words are as true today as they were then. There is no royal road to success in the solution of cryptograms. Hitt goes on to say:

"Cipher work vill have little permanent attraction for one who expects results at once, without labor, for there is a vast amount of purely routine labor in the preparation of frequency tables, the rearrangement of ciphers for examination, and the trial and fitting of letter to letter before the message begins to appear."

The present author deems it advisable to add that the kind of work in- ' volved in solving cryptograms is not at all similar to that involved in solving "cross-word puzzles," for example. The wide vogue the latter have had and continue to have is due to the appeal they make to the quite common instinct for mysteries of one sort or another; but in solving a crossword puzzle there is usually no necessity for performing any preliminary labor, and palpable results become evident after the first minute or two of attention. This successful start spurs the cross-word "addict" on to complete the solution, which rarely requires more than an hour's time. Furthermore, cross-word puzzles are all alike in basic principle and once understood, there is no more to learn. Skill comes largely from the embellishment of one's vocabulary, though, to be sure, constant practice and exercise of the imagination contribute to the case and rapidity with which solutions are generally reached. In solving cryptograms, however, many principles must be larned, for there are many different systems, of varying degrees of complexity. Even some of the simpler varieties require the preparation of tabulations of one sort or another, which many people find irksome; moreover, it is only toward the very close of the solution that results in the form of intelligible text become evident. Often, indeed, the student will not even know whether he is on the right track until he has performed a large amount of preliminary "spade work" involving many hours of labor. Thus, without at least a willingness to pursue a fair amount of theoretical study, and a more than average amount of patience and perseverance, little skill and experience can be gained in the rather

Hitt, Capt. Parker. <u>Manual for the Solution of Military Ciphers</u>. Army Sorvice Schools Press, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, 1916. 2d Edition, 1918 (Both out of print) difficult art of cryptanalysis. General Givierge's remarks in this connection are of interest. He says¹,

"The cryptanalyst's attitude must be that of william the Silent: No need to hope in order to undertake, nor to succeed in order to persevere."

b. As regards Hitt's reference to careful methods of analysis, before one can be said to be a cryptanalyst worthy of the name it is necessary that one should have first a sound knowledge of the basic principles of cryptanalysis, and secondly a long, varied, and active practical experience in the successful application of those principles. It is not sufficient to have read treatises on this subject. One month's actual practice in solution is worth a whole year's more reading of theoretical principles. An exceedingly important element of success in solving the more intricate ciphers is the possession of the rather unusual mental faculty designated in general terms as the power of inductive and deductive reasoning. Probably this is an inherited rather than an acquired faculty; the best sort of training for its emergence, if latent in the individual, and for its development is the study of the natural sciences, such as chemistry, physics, biology, geology, and the like. Other sciences such as linguistics and philology are also excellent. Aptitude in mathematics is quite important, more expecially in the solution of ciphers than of codes.

\$

£

c. An active imagination, or perhaps what Hitt and other writers call intuition, is essential, but mere imagination uncontrolled by a judicious spirit will more often be a hindrance than a help. In practical cryptanalysis the imaginative or intuitive faculties must, in other words, be guided by good judgment, by practical experience, and by as thorough a knowledge of the general situation or extraneous circumstances that led to the sending of the cryptogram as is possible to obtain. In this respect the many cryptograms exchanged between correspondents whose identities and general affairs, commercial, social, or political, are known are far more readily solved than are isolated cryptograms exchanged between unknown correspondents, dealing with unknown subjects. It is obvious that in the former case there are good data upon which the intuitive powers of the cryptanalyst can be brought to bear, whereas in the latter case no such data are available. Consequently, in the absence of such data, no matter how good the imagination and intuition of the cryptanalyst, these powers are of no particular service to him. Some writers, however, regard the intuitive spirit as valuable from still another viewpoint, as may be noted in the following:²

¹ Givierge, General Marcel. <u>Cours de Cryptographie</u>, Paris, 1925. (P.301)

² Lange et Soudart. Traité de Cryptographie. Librairie Felix Alcan, Paris, 1925.

REF-ID:A64644

"Intuition, like a flash of lightning, lasts only for a second. It generally comes when one is tormented by a difficult decipherment and when one reviews in his mind the fruitless experiments already tried. Suddenly the light breaks and one finds after a few minutes what previous days of labor were unable to reveal."

This, too, is true, but unfortunately there is no way in which the intuition may be summoned at will, when it is most needed.¹ There are certain

¹ The following extracts are of interest in this connection:

"The fact that the scientific investigator works 50 per cent of his time by non-rational means is, it seems, quite insufficiently recognized. There is without the least doubt an instinct for research, and often the most successful invostigators of naturo aro quite unable to give an account of their reasons for doing such and such an experiment, or for placing side by side two apparently unrelated facts. Again, one of the most saliont traits in the charactor of the successful scientific worker is the capacity for knowing that a point is proved when it would not appear to be proved to an outside intelligence functioning in a purely rational mannor; thus the investigator feels that some proposition is true, and proceeds at once to the next set of experiments without whiting and wasting time in the elaboration of the formal proof of the point which heavior minds would need. Questionless such a scientific intuition may and doos sometimes lead investigators astray, but it is quite cortain that if they did not widely make use of it, they would not get a quarter as far as they do. Experiments confirm each other, and a false step is usually soon discovered. And not only by this partial replacement of reason by intuition does the work of science go on, but also to the born scientific worker - and emphatically they cannot be made - the structure of the method of research is as it were given, he cannot explain it to you, though he may be brought to agree a postiori to a formal logical presentation of the way the method works." - Excerpt from Needham, Joseph. "The Sceptical Biologist," page 79. London, 1929.

Ł

4

"The essence of scientific method, quite simply, is to try to see how data arrange themselves into casual configurations. Scientific problems are solved by collecting data and by 'thinking about them all the time.' We need to look at strange things until, by the appearance of known configurations, they seem familiar, and to look at familiar things until we see novel configurations which - 5 -

authors who regard as indispensable the possession of a somewhat rare, rather mysterious faculty that they designate by the word "flair," or by the expression "cipher brains." Even so excellent an authority as General Givierge, 1 in referring to this mental facility, uses the following words: " ... and this aptitude of mind which some authors consider a special gift, and which they call intuition, or even, in its highest manifestation, clairvoyance" Although the present author believes a special aptitude for the work is essential to cryptanalytic success, he is sure there is nothing mysterious about the matter at all. Special aptitude is prorequisite to success in all fields of endeavor. Thore are, for example, thousands of physicists, hundreds of excellent ones, but only a handful of world-wide fame. Should it be said, then, that a physicist who has achieved very notable success in his field has done so because he is the fortunate possessor of a mysterious faculty? That he is fortunate in possessing a special aptitude for his subject is granted, but that there is anything mysterious about it, partaking of the nature of clairvoyance (if, indeed, the latter is a reality) is not granted. While the ultimate nature of any mental process seems to be as complete a mystery today as it has over been, the present author would like to soo the suporficial veil of mystery removed from a subject that has been shrouded in mystery from even before the Middle Ages down to our own times. (The principal and easily understandable reason for this is that governments have always closely guarded cryptographic secrets and anything so guarded soon becomes "mysterious.") He would, rather, have the student approach the subject as he might approach any other science that can stand on its own merits with other sciences, because cryptanalytics, like other sciences, has a practical importance in human affairs. It presents to the inquiring mind an interest in its own

> make them appear strange. We must look at events until they become luminous. That is scientific method Insight is the touchstone The application of insight as the touchstone of method enables us to evaluate properly the role of imagination in scientific method. The scientific process is akin to the artistic process, it is a process of selecting out those elements of experience which fit together and recombining them in the mind. Much of this kind of research is simply a ceaseless mulling over, and even the physical scientist, has considerable need of an armchair." "Our view of scientific method as a struggle to obtain insight forces the admission that science is half art." "Insight is the unknown quantity which has eluded students of scientific method." - Excerpts from an article entitled "Insight and Scientific Method" by Willard Waller, in The American Journal of Sociology, Vol. XL, 1934.

¹ Loc. cit., p. 302

right as a branch of knowledge; it, too, holds forth many difficulties and disappointments, and these are all the more keenly felt when the nature of these difficulties is not understood by those unfamiliar with the special circumstances that very often are the real factors that led to success in other cases. Finally, just as in the other sciences wherein many men labor long and earnestly for the true satisfaction and pleasure that comes from work well-done, so the mental pleasure that the successful cryptanalyst derives from his accomplishments is very often the only reward for much of the drudgery that he must do in his daily work. Givierge's words in this connection are well worth quoting. He says (p. 301):

> "Some studies will last for years before bearing fruit. In the case of others, cryptanalysts undertaking them never get any rosult. But, for a cryptanalyst who likes the work, the joy of discoveries offaces the memory of his hours of doubt and impatience."

d. With his usual deft touch, Hitt says of the element of luck, as regards the role it plays in analysis:

"As to luck, there is the old miners' proverb 'Gold is where you find it'."

The cryptanalyst is lucky when one of the correspondents whose ciphers he is studying makes a blunder that gives the necessary clue; or when he finds two cryptograms identical in text but in different keys in the same system; or when he finds two cryptograms identical in text but in different systems, and so on. The element of luck is there, to be sure, <u>but the cryptanalyst</u> <u>must be on the alort</u> if he is to profit by these lucky "breaks."

<u>e</u>. If the present author were asked to state, in view of the progress in the field since 1916, what elements might be added to the four ingredients Hitt thought essential to cryptanalytic success, he would be inclined to mention the following:

> (1) A broad, general education, embodying interests covering as many fields of practical knowledge as possible. This is useful because the cryptanalyst is often called upon to solve messages dealing with the most varied of human activities, and the more he knows about these activities, the ensier his task.

(2) Access to a large library of current literature and wide and direct contacts with sources of collateral information. These often afford clues as to the contents of specific messages. For example, to be able instantly to have at his disposal a newspaper report or a personal report of events described or referred to in a message under investigation goes a long way toward simplifying or facilitating solution. Government cryptanalysts are sometimes fortunately situated in this respect, especially where various agencies work in harmony.

٩,

- 7 -

(3) Proper coordination of effort. This includes the organization of cryptanalytic personnel into harmonious, efficient teams of cooperating individuals.

(4) Under mental equipment he would also include the faculty of being able to concentrate on a problem for rather long periods of time, without distraction, nervous irritability, and impatience. The strain under which cryptanalytic studies are necessarily conducted is quite severe and too long-continued application has the effect of draining nervous energy to an unwholesome degree, so that a word or two of caution may not here be out of place. One should continue at work only so long as a peaceful, calm spirit prevails, whether the work is fruitful or not. But just as soon as the mind becomes wearied with the exertion, or just as soon as a feeling of hopelessness or mental fatigue intervenes, it is better to stop completely and turn to other activities, rest, or play. It is essential to remark that systematization and orderliness of work are aids in reducing nervous tension and irritability. On this account it is better to take the time to prepare the data carefully, rewrite the text if necessary, and so on, rather than work with slipshod, incomplete, or improperly arranged material.

(5) A retentive memory is an important asset to cryptanalytic skill, especially in the solution of codes. The ability to remember individual groups, their approximate locations in other messages, the associations they form with other groups, their peculiarities and similarities saves much wear and tear of the mental machinery, as well as much time in looking up these groups in indexes.

ŧ.

<u>f</u>. It may be advisable to add a word or two at this point to prepare the student to expect slight mental jarrs and tensions which will almost inevitably come to him in the conscientious study of this and the subsequent texts. The present author is well aware of the complaint of students that authors of texts on cryptanalysis base much of their explanation upon their fore-knowledge of the "answer"- which the student does not know while he is attempting to follow the solution with an unbiased mind. They complain too that these authors use such expressions as "obviously", "naturally", "of course", "It is evident that", and so on, when the circumstances seem not at all to warrant their use. There is no question but that this sort of treatment is apt to discourage the student, especially when the point elucidated becomes clear to him only after many hours labor, whereas, according to the book, the author noted the weak spot at the first moment's inspection. The present author can only promise to try to avoid making the steps appear to be much more simple than they really are, and to suppress glaring instances of unjustifiable "jumping at conclusions". At the same time he must indicate that for pedagogical reasons in many cases a message has been consciously "manipulated" so as to allow certain principles to become more obvious in the illustrative examples than they ever are in practical work. During the course of some of the explanations attention will even be directed to cases of unjustified inforences. Furthermore, of the student who is quick in observation and deduction, the author will only ask that he bear in mind that if the elucidation of certain principles seems prolix and occupies more space than necessary, this is occasioned by the author's desire to carry the oxplanation forward in very short, easilycomprohended, and plainly-described stops, for the benefit of students who are perhaps a bit slower to grasp but who, once they understand, are able to retain and apply principles slowly learned just as woll, if not botter than the students who learn more quickly.

3. Validity of results of cryptanalysis. - Valid, or authentic cryptanalytic solutions cannot and do not represent "opinions" of the cryptanalyst. They are valid only so far as they are wholly objective, and are susceptible of demonstration and proof, employing authentic, objective methods. It should hardly be necessary (but an attitude frequently encountered among laymen makes it advisable) to indicate that the validity of the results achieved by any serious cryptanalytic studies on authentic material rests upon the same sure foundations and are reached by the same general steps as the results achieved by any other scientific studies; viz., observation, hypothesis, deduction and induction, and confirmatory experiment. Implied in the latter is the possibility that two or more qualified investigators, each working independently upon the same material, will achieve identical (or practically identical) results. Occasionally a pseudo-cryptanalyst offers "solutions" which cannot withstand such tests; a second, unbiased, investigator working independently either cannot consistently apply the methods alleged to have been applied by the pseudocryptanalyst, or else, if he can apply them at all, the results (plaintext translations) are far different in the two cases. The reason for this is that in such cases it is generally found that the "methods" are not clear-cut, straightforward or mathematical in character. Instead, they often involve the making of judgments on matters too tenuous to measure, weigh, or otherwise subject to careful scrutiny. In such cases, the conclusion to which the unprejudiced observer is forced to come is that the alleged "solution" obtained by the first investigator, the pseudocryptanalyst, is purely subjective. In nearly all cases where this has happened (and they occur from time to time) there has been uncovered nothing which can in any way be used to impugn the integrity of the pseudo-cryptanalyst. The worst that can be said of him is that he has become a victim of a special or peculiar form of self-delusion, and that his desire to solve the problem, usually in accord with some previouslyformed opinion, or notion, has over-balanced, or undernined, his judgment

п

- 9 -

and good sense 1

¹ Specific reference can be made to the following typical "case histories":

Donnelly, Ignatius, <u>The Great Cryptogram</u>. Chicago, 1888. Owen, Orville W., <u>Sir Francis Bacon's Cipher Story</u>. Detroit, 1895. Callup, Elizabeth Wells, <u>Francis Bacon's Biliteral Cipher</u>. Detroit, 1900. Margoliouth, D. S., <u>The Homer of Aristotle</u>. Oxford, 1923. Newbold, William Romaine, <u>The Cipher of Roger Bacon</u>. Philadelphia, 1928.

(For a scholarly and complete demolition of Professor Newbold's work, see an article entitled "<u>Roger Bacon and the Voynich MS</u>", by John M. Manly, in <u>Speculum</u>, Vol. <u>VI</u>, No. 3, July 1931.)

Arensberg, Jalter Conrad, The Cryptography of Shakespeare. Los Angeles, 1922.

The Shakespearean Mystery. Pittsburgh, 1928.

The Baconian Keys. Pittsburgh, 1928.

Feely, Joseph Martin, <u>The Shakespearean Cypher</u>. Rochester, N. Y., 1931. <u>Deciphering Shakespeare</u>. Rochester, N. Y., 1934.

_ REF ID:A64644

SECTION II

FUILDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES

		Paragraph
The four basic operations in cryptanalysis	•	• 4
The determination of the language employed	•	. 5
The determination of the general system	•	. 6
The reconstruction of the specific key	•	. 7
The reconstruction of the plain text	•	. 8

4. The four basic operations in cryptanalysis. - \underline{a} . The solution of practically every cryptogram involves four fundamental operations or steps:

(1) The determination of the language employed in the plain-text version.

(2) The determination of the general system of cryptography employed.

(3) The reconstruction of the specific key in the case of a cipher system, or the reconstruction, partial or complete, of the code book, in the case of a code system; or both, in the case of an enciphered code system.

(4) The reconstruction or establishment of the plain text.

<u>b</u>. These operations will be taken up in the order in which they are given above and in which they usually are performed in the solution of cryptograms, although occasionally the second step may precede the first.

5. The determination of the language employed. - a. There is not much that need be said with respect to this operation except that the determination of the language employed seldom comes into question in the case of studies made of the cryptograms of an organized enemy. By this is meant that during war time the enemy is of course known, and it follows, therefore, that the language he employs in his messages will almost certainly be his native or mother tongue. Only occasionally nowadays is this rule broken. Formerly it often happened, or it might have indeed been the general rule, that the language used in diplomatic correspondence was not the mother tongue, but French. In isolated instances during the World War, the Germans used English when their own language could for one reason or another not be employed. For example, for a year or two before the entry of the United States into that war, during the time America was neutral and the Gorman Government maintained its embassy in Washington, the messages exchanged between the Foreign Office in Berlin and the Embassy in Washington wore cryptographed in English, and a copy of the code used was deposited with the Department of State and our censor. Another instance is found in the case of certain Hindu conspirators who were associated with and partially financed by the German Government in 1915 and 1916; they employed English as

- 11 -

the language of their cryptographic messages. Occasionally the cryptograms of enemy agents may be in a language different from that of the enemy. But in general these are, as has been said, isolated instances; as a rule, the language used in cryptograms exchanged between members of large organizations is the mother tongue of the correspondents. Where this is not the case, that is, when cryptograms of unknown origin must be studied, the cryptanalyst looks for any indications on the cryptograms themselves which may lead to a conclusion as to the language employed. Address, signature, and plain-language words in the preamble or in the body of the text all come under careful scrutiny, as well as all extraneous circumstances connected with the manner in which the cryptograms were obtained, the person on whom they were found, or the locale of their origin and destination.

b. In special cases, or under special circumstances a clue to the language employed is found in the nature and composition of the cryptographic text itself. For example, if the letters K and W are entirely absent or appear very rarely in messages, it may indicate that the language is Spanish, for these letters are absent in the alphabet of that language and are used only to spell foreign words or names. The presence of accented letters or letters marked with special signs of one sort or another, peculiar to certain languages, will sometimes indicate the language used. The Japanese Morse telegraph alphabet and the Russian Morse telegraph alphabet contain combinations of dots and dashes which are peculiar to those alphabets and thus the interception of messages containing these special Morse corbinations at once indicates the language involved. Finally, there are certain peculiarities of clohobotic longuages which, in certain types of cryptograms (pure transposition), give clucs as to the language used. For example, the frequent digraph CH, in German, leads to the presence, in cryptograms of the type mentioned, of many isolated C's and H's; if this is noted, the cryptogram may be assumed to be in Gorman.

c. In some cases it is perfectly possible to perform certain steps in cryptanalysis <u>before</u> the language of the cryptogram has been definitely determined. Frequency studies, for example, may be made and alalytic processes performed without this knowledge, and by a cryptanalyst wholly unfamiliar with the language even if it has been identified, or who knows only enough about the language to enable him to recognize valid combinations of letters, syllables, or a few common words in that language. He may, after this, call to his assistance a translator who may not be a cryptanalyst but who can materially aid in making necessary assumptions based upon his special knowledge of the characteristics of the language in question. Thus, cooperation between cryptanalyst and translator regults in solution.¹

¹ The writer has seen in print statements that "during the World War decoded messages in Japanese and Russian without knowing a word of either language." He has even heard alleged cryptanalysts make such fantastic claims. But to say that it is possible to solve a cryptogram in a foreign language "without knowing a word of that language" is quite a different thing from saying that it is possible to do so with only a slight knowledge of the language. The absurdity and amount of exagonation contained in the former statement will soon become obvious to the student. It may be stated without cavil that the better the cryptanalyst's knowledge of the language, the easier is his work.

- 12 -

6. The determination of the general system. - <u>a</u>. Except in the case of the more simple types of cryptograms, the determination of the general system according to which a given cryptogram has been produced is usually a difficult, if not the most difficult, step in its solution. The reason for this is not hard to find.

b. As will become apparent to the student as he proceeds with his study, in the final analysis, the solution of every cryptogram involving a form of substitution depends upon its reduction to monoalphabetic terms, if it is not originally in those terms. This is true not only of ordinary substitution ciphers, but also of combined substitution-transposition ciphers, and of enciphered code. If the cryptogram must be reduced to monoalphabetic terms, the manner of its accomplishment is either indicated by the cryptogram itself, by external or internal phenomena which become apparent to the cryptanalyst as he studies the cryptogram. If this is impossible, or too difficult the cryptanalyst must, by one means or another, discover how to accomplish this reduction by bringing to bear all the special or collateral information he can get from all the sources at his command. If both these possibilities fail him, there is little left but the long, tedious, and often fruitless process of elimination. In the case of transposition ciphers of the more complex type, the discovery of the basic method is often simply a matter of long and tedious elimination of possibilities. For cryptanalysis has unfortunately not yet attained and may indeed never attain the precision found today in qualitative analysis in chemistry, for example, where the analytic process is absolutely clear cut and exact in its dichotomy. A few words in explanation of what is meant may not be amiss. When a chemist seeks to determine the identity of an unknown substance, he applies certain specific reagents to the substance and in a specific sequence. The first reagent tells him definitely into which of two primary classes the unknown substance falls, say class A. He then applies a second tost with another specific reagent, which tells him again quite definitely into which of two secondary classes the unknown substance falls, and so on, until finally he has reduced the unknown substance to its simplest terms and has found out what it is. In striking contrast to this situation, cryptanalysis affords exceedingly few "reagents" or tests that may be applied to determine positively that a given cipher belongs to one or the other of two systems yielding externally similar results. And this is what makes the analysis of an isolated, complex cryptogram so difficult. Note the limiting adjective "isolated" in the foregoing sentence, for it is used advisedly. It is not often that the gonoral system fails to disclose itself or cannot be discovered by painstaking investigation when there is a great volume of text accumulating from a regular traffic between numerous correspondents in a large organization. Sooner or later the system becomes known, either as the result of blunders and carelessness on the part of the personnel entrusted with the cryptographing of the messages, or the accumulation of text itself makes possible the determination of the general system by cryptanalytic studies. But in the case of a single or even a few isolated cryptograms concerning which little or no information can be gained by the cryptanalyst, he is often unable, without a knowledge of, or a shrewd guess as to the general system employed, to decompose the heterogeneous text of the cryptogram into homogeneous,

- 13 -

monoalphabetic text, which is the ultimate and essential step in analysis. The only knowledge that the cryptanalyst can bring to his aid in this most difficult step is that gained by long experience and practice in the analysis of many different types of systems.

c. On account of the complexities surrounding this particular phase of cryptanalysis, and because in any scheme of analysis based upon successive eliminations of alternatives the analyst can only progress as far as the extent of his own knowledge of <u>all</u> the possible alternatives will permit, it is necessary that detailed discussion of the eliminative process be postponed until the student has covered most of the field. For example, the student will perhaps want to know at once how he can distinguish between a cryptogram that is in code or enciphered code from one that is in cipher. It is at this stage of his studies impracticable to give him any helpful indications on his question. In return it may be asked of him why he should expect to be able to do this in the early stages of his studies when often the experienced expert cryptanalyst is baffled on the same score.

d. Nevertholess, in lieu of more procise tests not yet discovered, a general guide that may be useful in cryptanalysis will be built up, step by step as the student progresses, in the form of a series of charts comprising what may be designated "An Analytical Key For Cryptanalysis" (See Par. 50.) It may be of assistance to the student if, as he proceeds, he will carefully study the charts and note the place which the particular cipher he is solving occupies in the general cryptanalytic panorama. They admittedly constitute only very brief outlines, and can therefore be of but little direct assistance to him in the analysis of the more complex types of ciphers he may encounter later on. So far as they go, however, they may be found to be quite useful in the study of elementary cryptanalysis. For the experienced cryptanalyst they can sorve only as a means of assuring that no possible step or process is inadvertently overlooked in attempts to solve a difficult cipher.

e. Much of the labor involved in cryptanalytic work, as referred to in Par. 2, is connected with this determination of the general system. The preparation of the text, its rewriting in different forms, sometimes being rewritten in a half dozen ways, the recording of letters, the establishment of frequencies of occurrences of letters, comparisons and experiments made with known material of similar character, and so on, constitute much labor that is most often indispensable, but which sometimes turns out to have been wholly unnecessary, or in vain. In a recent treatise¹ it is stated quite boldly that "this work once done, the determination of the system is often relatively easy." This statement can cortainly apply only to the simpler types of ciphers; it is entiroly misleading as regards the much more frequently encountered complex cryptograms of modern times.

¹ Lange et Soudart, already cited (page 106).

- 14 -

7. The reconstruction of the specific key. - a. Nearly all practical cryptographic methods require the use of a specific key to guide, control or modify the various steps under the general system. Once the latter has been disclosed, discovered, or has otherwise come into the possession of the cryptanalyst, the next step in solution is to determine, if necessary, and if possible, the specific key that was employed to cryptograph the message or mossages under examination. This determination may not be in complete detail; it may go only so far as to load to a knowledge of the number of alphabets involved in a substitution cipher, or the number of columns involved in a transposition cipher, or that a one-part code has been used, in the case of a code system. But it is often desirable to detormino the specific key in as complete a form and with as much detail as possible, for this information will very frequently be usoful in the solution of subsequent cryptograms exchanged between the same correspondents, since the nature of the specific key in a solved case may be expected to give clues to the specific key in an unsolved case.

b. Frequently, however, the reconstruction of the key is not a prerequisite to, and does not constitute an absolutely necessary preliminary step in the fourth basic operation, the reconstruction or establishment of the plain text. In many cases, indeed, the two processes are carried along simultaneously, the one assisting the other, until in the final stages both have been completed in their entireties. In still other cases the reconstruction of the specific key may succeed instead of precede the reconstruction of the plain text, and is accomplished purely as a matter of academic interest; or the specific key may, in unusual cases, never be reconstructed.

3. The reconstruction of the plain text. - a. Little need be said at this point on this phase of cryptanalysis. The process usually consists, in the case of substitution ciphers, in the establishment of equivalency between specific letters of the cipher text and the plain text, letter by letter, pair by pair, and so on, depending upon the particular type of substitution system involved. In the case of transposition ciphers, the process consists in rearranging the elements of the cipher text, letter by letter, pair by pair, or occasionally word by word, depending upon the particular type of transposition system involved, until the letters have been returned to their original plain-text order. In the case of code, the process consists in determining the meaning of each code group and inserting this meaning in the code text to reestablish the original plain text.

<u>b</u>. The foregoing processes do not, as a rule, begin at the beginning of a message and continue letter by letter, or group by group in sequence up to the very end of the message. The establishment of values of cipher letters in substitution methods, or of the positions to which cipher letters should be transferred to form the plain text in the case of transposition methods, comes at very irregular intervals in the process. At first only one or two values scattered here and there throughout the text may appear; these then form the "skeletons" of words, upon which further work, by a continuation of the reconstruction process, is made possible; in the

- 15 -

end the complete or nearly complete¹ text is established.

 \underline{c} . In the case of cryptograms in a foreign language, the translation of the solved messages is a final and necessary step, but is not to be considered as a cryptanalytic process. However, it is commonly the case that the translation process will be carried on simultaneously with the cryptanalytic, and will aid the latter, especially when there are lacunae which may be filled in from the context. (See also Par. 5 <u>c</u> in this connection.)

¹ Sometimes in the case of code, the meaning of a few code groups may be lacking, because there is insufficient text to establish their meaning.

- 16 -

SECTION III.

FRETURICY DIST-VIBUTIONS

The simple or monoliteral-frequency distribution	ragraph 9
Important features of the normal monoliteral frequency, bar- distribution.	10
Constancy of the standard or normal monoliteral-frequency distribution	

9. The simple or monoliteral-frequency distribution. - <u>a</u>. It has long been known to cryptographers and typographers that the letters composing the words of any intelligible written text composed in any language which is alphabetic in construction are employed with greatly varying frequencies. For example, if on cross-section paper a simple graph, shown in Fig. 1, called a <u>monoliteral frequency</u>, <u>bar-distribution</u>, is made of the letters comprising the words of the preceding sentence, the variation in frequency is strikingly demonstrated. It is seen that whereas certain letters, such as A, E, I, N, O, R, S, and T, are employed very frequently, other letters, such as C, G, P, and W are employed not nearly so frequently, while still other letters, such as F, J, Q, V, and Z are employed either seldom or not at all.



<u>b.</u> If a similar graph is now made of the letters comprising the words of the second sentence in the preceding paragraph, the graph shown in Fig. 2 is obtained. Both sentences have exactly the same number of letters (200).



- 17 -

<u>c</u> . Although each of these two graphs exhibits great variation in the relative frequencies with which <u>different</u> letters are employed in the sentences to which they apply, no marked differences are exhibited between the frequencies of the <u>same</u> letter in the two graphs. Compare, for example, the frequencies of 'A, B, C, ... in Fig. 1 with those of A, B, C, lll in Fig. 2. Aside from one or two exceptions, as in the case of the letter F or the letter W, these two graphs agree rather strikingly.

d. This agreement, or <u>similarity</u>, would be practically complete if the two texts were much longer, for example, five times as long. In fact, when two texts of similar character, each containing more than 1000 letters, are compared, it would be found that the respective frequencies of the 26 letters composing the two graphs show only very slight differences. This means, in other words, that in normal text each letter of the alphabet occurs with a rather <u>constant</u> or <u>characteristic frequency</u> which it tends to approximate, depending upon the length of the text analyzed. the longer the text (within certain limits), the closer will be the approximation.¹

e. An experiment along these lines will be convincing. A series of 260 official telegrams² passing through the far Department dessage Center was examined statistically. The messages were divided into five sets, each totaling approximately 10,000 letters, and the five distributions shown in Table 1 were obtained.

<u>f</u>. If the five distributions in Table 1 are summed, the results are as shown in Table 2.

g. The frequencies noted in subparagraph \underline{f} , when reduced to the basis of 1,000 letters and then used as a basis for constructing a simple chart that will exhibit the variations in frequency in a striking manner, yield the following graph which is hereafter designated as the <u>normal</u>, or <u>stand</u>-<u>ard monoliteral frequency</u>, <u>bar-distribution</u> for English telegraphic plain text:

1 See footnote 1 to page 23.

² These comprised messages from several departments in addition to the War Department, and were all of an administrative character.

- 18 -
NUN
Fig. 3

10. Important features of the normal, monoliteral-frequency, bardistribution. - <u>a</u>. When the graph shown in Fig. 3 is studied in detail, the following features are apparent.

> (1) It is quite irregular in appearance. This is because the letters are used with greatly varying frequencies, as discussed in the preceding paragraph. This irregular appearance is often described by saying that the graph shows marked <u>crests and troughs</u>, that is, points of high frequency and low frequency.

> > Ň

REF ID:A64644

TABLE' 1-A

Absolute frequencies of letters appearing in five sets of Governmental plain-text telegrams, each set containing 10,000 letters. Arranged alphabetically.

Message	Message	Mossage	Message	Mossage
No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5
Letter	Letter	Letter	Letter	Letter
Absolute	Absolute	Absolute	Absolute	Absolute
Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
A = 738 B = 104 C = 319 D = 397 E = -1367 F = 253 G = 166 H = 310 I = 742 J = 18 K = 365 M = 242 N = 786 O = 685 P = 241 Q = 40 R = 760 S = 658 T = 936 U = 270 V = 163 W = 166 X = 43 Y = 191	$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda &= 785\\ B &= 103\\ C &= 300\\ D &= 413\\ F &= 1294\\ F &= 287\\ G &= 175\\ H &= 351\\ I &= 750\\ J &= 17\\ K &= 38\\ L &= 393\\ M &= 240\\ N &= 793\\ M &= 240\\ N &= 794\\ O &= 770\\ P &= 272\\ Q &= 22\\ R &= 745\\ S &= 583\\ T &= 879\\ U &= 233\\ V &= 173\\ W &= 163\\ X &= 50\\ Y &= 155\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \Lambda = 681 \\ B = 98 \\ C = 238 \\ D = 423 \\ E = 1292 \\ F = 308 \\ G = 161 \\ H = 335 \\ I = 737 \\ J = 10 \\ K = 22 \\ I = 333 \\ M = 238 \\ N = 815 \\ O = 731 \\ P = 317 \\ C = 45 \\ R = 762 \\ S = 585 \\ T = 894 \\ U = 317 \\ V = 142 \\ N = 136 \\ X = 44 \\ Y = 179 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$A = 741 \\ B = 99 \\ C = 301 \\ D = 448 \\ E = -1275 \\ F = 281 \\ G = 150 \\ H = 349 \\ I = 797 \\ J = 16 \\ K = 31 \\ L = 344 \\ M = 268 \\ N = 780 \\ O = 762 \\ P = 260 \\ Q = 30 \\ R = 786 \\ S = 604 \\ T = 928 \\ U = 238 \\ V = 155 \\ W = 182 \\ X = 41 \\ Y = 229 \\ \end{bmatrix}$
<u>Z - 14</u> Totals 10,000	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Z - 11 10,000	Z - 5 10,000

£

4

.

Table 2-A

Absolute frequencies of letters appearing in the combined five sets of messages totalling 50,000 letters arr nged alphabetically.

A - 3683	G - 819	L - 1821	Q - 175	V - 766
B – 487	H - 1694	M - 1237	R - 3788	W — 780
C - 1534	I - 3676	N - 3975	S - 3058	X - 231
D – 2122	J - 82	0 - 3764	T - 4595	Y - 967
E - 6498	K - 148	P - 1335	U - 1300	Z - 49
F - 1416				

(2) The relative positions in which the crests and troughs fall with the graph, that is, the <u>spatial relations</u> of the crests and troughs, are rather definitely fixed and are determined by circumstances which have been explained in a preceding text.¹

(3) The relative heights and depths of the crests and troughs within the graph, that is, the <u>linear extensions</u> of the lines marking the respective frequencies, are also rather definitely fixed, as would be found if an equal volume of similar text were analyzed.

(4) The most prominent crests are marked by the vowels A, Ξ , I, O, and the consonants N, R, S, T; the most prominent troughs are marked by the consonants I, K, \Im , X, and Z.

(5) The important data are summarized in tabular form in Table 3.

1

of Totol in

T.ABLZ 3

	Frequen	cy Total	70 of fotal in Round Numbers
6 Vowels A I I O U Y	. 398	39.8	40
6 Vowels. A I I O U Y 5 High Frequency (D H R S T)	• 350	35.0	35
20 Consonants <10 medium Frequency (3 C F G H L m P V ') 5 Low Frequency (J K Q X Z)	• 238	23.8	24
5 Low Frequency	. 14	1.4	1
total.	1000	100.0	100

(6) The frequencies of the letters of the alphabet are as follows.

A - 74 G - 16 L - 36 *i* - 3 V - 15 W - 16 B - 10 H - 34 11 - 25 R - 76 X - 5 G - 31 I - 74N - 79S - 61 0 - 75 T - 92Y - 19 24 - لر J - 2 I -130 i. - 3 P - 27 U - 26 Z - 1 F - 28 (7) The relative order of frequency of the letters is as follows. E -130 I _ 74 Y _ 19 C - 31 X - 5 T - 92 S - 61 F - 28 G - 16 3 N - 79D - 42P - 27 K - 3 / - 16 . - 76 L - 36 U - 26 V - 15 J - .2 0 - 75H - 34 ui - 25 B _ 10 Z -1 A - 74 (8) The four vowels A, E, I, O (combined frequency 353) and the four consonants N, R, S, T (combined frequency 308) form 661 out of every 1,000 letters of plain text; in other words, less than 1/3 of the alphabet is employed in writing 2/3 of normal plain text.

¹ Section VII of Special Text No. 165, Elementary Military Cryptography.

- 21 -

<u>b.</u> The data given in Fig. 3 and Table 3 represent the relative frequencies found in a large volume of English telegraphic text of a governmental, administrative character. These frequencies will vary somewhat with the nature of the text analyzed. For example, if an equal number of telegrams dealing solely with <u>commercial</u> transactions in the <u>leather industry</u> were studied statistically, the frequencies would be slightly different because of the repeated occurrence of words peculiar to that industry. Again, if an equal number of telegrams dealing solely with <u>military</u> messages of a <u>tactical</u> character were studied statistically, the frequencies would differ slightly from those found above for general governmental messages of an administrative character.

<u>c</u>. If ordinary English literary text (such as may be found in any book, newspaper, or printed document) were analyzed, the frequencies of certain letters would be changed to an appreciable degree. This is because in telegraphic text words which are not strictly essential for intelligibility (such as the definite and indofinite articles, certain prepositions, conjunctions and pronouns) are omitted. In addition, certain essential words, such as "stop", "period", "comma", and the like, which are usually indicated in writton or printed matter by symbols not easy to transmit telegraphically and which must therefore be spelled out in telegrams, occur very frequently. Furthermore, telegraphic text often employs longer and more uncommon words than does ordinary newspaper or book text.

d. As a matter of fact, other tables compiled in the Office of the Chief Signal Officer gave slightly different results, depending upon the source of the text. For example, three tables based upon 75,000, 100,000, and 136,257 letters taken from various sources (telegrams, newspapers, magazine articles, books of fiction) gave as the relative order of frequency for the first 10 letters the following.

> For 75,000 letters : E T R N I O A S D L For 100,000 letters : E T R I N O A S D L For 136,257 letters . E T R N A O I S L D

g. Frequency data applicable purely to printed military text were compiled by Hitt¹, from a study of 10,000 letters taken from orders and reports. The frequencies found by him are given in Tables 4 and 5.

11. Constancy of the standard or normal, monoliteral-frequency distribution. - <u>a</u>. The relative frequencies disclosed by the statistical study of large volumes of text may be considered to be the standard or <u>normal</u> frequencies of the letters of written English. Counts made of smaller volumes of text will tend to approximate these normal frequencies,

¹ Loc. cit., pp. 6 - 7.

TABLE 4

1

F - 205

Frequency Table for 10,000 letters of literary English, as compiled by Hitt.

Alphibetically arranged.

A - 778	G _ 174	L - 372	Q - 8	V - 112
B - 141	H - 595	M <u>-</u> 288	R - 651	W - 176
C - 296	I - 667	N - 686	S - 622	X - 27
D - 402	J - 51	0 - 807	T - 855	Y - 196
E -1277	K - 74	P - 223	U - 308	Z - 17
F - 197				

Arranged according to relative frequency.

E -1277	R - 651	U - 308	Y _ 196	K - 74
T - 855	S – 622	C - 296	W _ 176	J - 51
0 - 807	H - 595	M - 288	G - 174	X - 27
A - 778	D - 402	P - 223	B - 141	Z - 17
N - 686	L - 372	F = 197 ,	V - 112	ଦୁ -
I - 667				

Hitt also compiled data for tolegraphic text (but does not state what kind of messages) and gives the following table:

TABLE 5

Frequency Table for 10,000 letters of telegraphic English, as compiled by Hitt.

Alphabetically arranged.

A - 813	G - 201	L - 392	Q - 38	V - 136
B - 14 9	H - 386	ы — 273	R - 677	:I - 166
C - 306	I _ 711	N - 718	S - 656	X - 51
D - 417	J - 42	0 _ 844	T - 634	Y - 208
E -1319	K - 88	P - 243	U - 321	Z - 6

Arranged according to relative frequency.

E -1319	S - 656	U - 321	F - 205	K - 88
0 - 844	T - 634	C = 306	G - 201	X _ 51
A - 813	J - 417	ıl - 273	W - 166	J - 42
N - 718	L - 392	P - 243	B - 149	Q - 38
I - 711	H - 386	Y _ 208	V - 136	Z - 6
R - 677				

- 23 -

and, within certain limits,¹ the smaller the volume, the lower will be the degree of approximation to the normal, until, in the case of a very short message, the normal proportions may not obtain at all. It is advisable that the student fix this fact firmly in mind, for the sconer he realizes the true nature of any data relative to the frequency of occurrence of letters in text, the less often will his labors toward the solution of specific ciphers be thwarted and retarded by too strict an adherence to these generalized principles of frequency. He should constantly bear in mind that such data are merely statistical generalizations, that they will be found to hold strictly true only in large volumes of text, and that they may not even be approximated in short messages.

b. Nevertheless the normal frequency standard or the "normal expectancy" for any alphabetic language is, in the last analysis, the best guide to, and the usual basis for, the solution of cryptograms of a certain type. It is useful, therefore, to reduce the normal, monoliteral frequency, bar-distribution to a basis that more or less closely approximates the volume of text which the cryptanalyst most often encounters in individual cryptograms. As regards length of messages, counting only the letters in the body, and excluding address and signature, a study of the 260 tolegrams referred to in paragraph 9 shows that the arithmotical average is 217 letters; the statistical mean, or weighted average², however, is 191 letters. These two results are, however, close enough together to warrant the statement that the average length of telegrams is approximately 200 letters. The frequencies given in Par. 9 f have therefore been reduced to a basis of 200 letters, and the following monoliteral-frequency distribution may be taken as showing the most typical distribution to be expected in 200 letters of telegraphic English text:

				I NN NN																					
Z				Z				Z					Z	Z			Z		Z						
芝麦				Z			-	Z					Z	Z			Z	Z	Z						
Z	=	Z	Z	Z	Z	≡	Z	Z			Z	Z	Z	굴	Z		Z	Z	Z	Z	Ì				
A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	q	Q	R	S	т	ប	v	W	х	Y	Z
											Fig	. 4	L												

¹ It is useless to go beyond a certain limit in establishing the normal-frequency distribution for a given language. As a striking instance of this fact, witness the frequency study made by an indefatigable German, Kaeding, who in 1898 made a count of the letters in about 11,000,000 words, totalling about 62,000,000 letters in German text. When reduced to a percentage basis, and when the relative order of frequency was determined, the results he obtained differed very little from the results obtained by Kasiski, a German cryptographer, from a count of only 1060 letters. See Kaeding, "<u>Haeufigkeitswoerterbuch</u>", Steglitz, 1898; Kasiski, "<u>Die Geheimschriften und die</u> <u>Dechiffrir-Kunst</u>", Berlin, 1863.

² The arithmetical average is obtained by adding each different length and dividing by the number of different-length messages; the mean is obtained by multiplying each different length by the number of messages of that length, adding all products, and dividing by the total number of messages.

_ 2REF ID:A64644

c. The student should take careful note of the appearance of the distribution¹ shown in Fig. 4, for it will be of much assistance to him in the early stages of his study. The manner of setting down the tallies should be followed by him in making his own distributions, indicating every fifth occurrence of a letter by an oblique tally. This procedure almost automatically shows the total number of occurrences for each letter, and yet does not destroy the graphical appearance of the distribution, especially if care is taken to use approximately the same amount of space for each set of five tallies. Cross-section paper is very useful for this purpose.

SECTION IV

FUNDAMENTAL USES OF THE MONOLITERAL FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION

	Par	agraph
The four facts which can be determined from a study of the monoliteral-frequency distribution for a cryptogram	4	12
Determining the class to which a cipher belongs	•	13
Determining whether a substitution cipher is monoalphabetic or polyalphabetic		14
Determining whether the cipher alphabet is a standard, or a mixed cipher alphabet		15
Determining whether the standard cipher alphabet is direct or reversed	•	16

12. The four facts which can be determined from a study of the monoliteral-frequency distribution for a cryptogram. - \underline{a} . The following four facts (to be explained subsequently) can usually be determined from an inspection of the monoliteral frequency, bar-distribution for a given cipher message of average length, composed of letters:

(1) Whether the cipher belongs to the substitution or the transposition class;

(2) If to the former, whether it is monoalphabetic or polyalphabetic in character;

¹ The use of the terms "distribution" and "frequency distribution", instead of "table" and "frequency table", respectively, is considered advisable from the point of view of consistency with the usual statistical nomenclature. Then data are given in tabular form, with frequencies indicated by numbers, then they may properly be said to be set out in the form of a <u>table</u>. When, however, the same data are distributed in a chart which partakes of the nature of a graph, with the data indicated by horizontal or vertical linear extensions, or by a curve connecting points corresponding to quantities, then it is more proper to call such a graphic representation of the data a bar-distribution.

- 25 -

(3) If monoalphabetic, whether the cipher alphabet is a standard cipher alphabet or a mixed cipher alphabet;

(4) If standard, whether it is a direct or reversed standard cipher alphabet.

<u>b</u>. For immediate purposes the first two of the foregoing determinations are quite important and will be discussed in detail in the next two subparagraphs the other two determinations will be touched upon very briefly, leaving their detailed discussion for subsequent sections of the text.

13. Determining the class to which a cipher belongs. - a. The determination of the class to which a cipher belongs is usually a relatively easy matter because of the fundamental difference in the nature of transposition and of substitution as cryptographic processes. In a transposition cipher the original letters of the plain text have merely been rearranged, without any change whatsoever in their identities, that is, in the conventional values they have in the normal alphabet. Hence, the numbers of vowels (A, E, I, O, U, Y), high-frequency consonants (D, N, R, S, T), medium-frequency consonants (B, C, F, G, H, L, M, P, V, W), and low-frequency consonants (J, K, Q, X, Z) are exactly the same in the cryptogram as they are in the plain-text message. Therefore, the percentages of vowels, high, medium, and low-frequency consonants are the same in the transposed text as in the equivalent plain text. In a substitution cipher, on the other hand, the identities of the original letters of the plain text have been changed, that is, the conventional values they have in the normal alphabet have been altered. Consequently, if a count is made of the various letters present in such a cryptogram, it will be found that the number of vowels, high, medium, and low-frequency consonants will usually be quite different in the cryptogram from what they are in the original plain-text message. Therefore, the percentages of vowels, high, medium, and low-frequency consonants are usually quite different in the substitution text from what they are in the equivalent plain text. From these considerations it follows that if in a specific cryptogram the percentages of yowels, high, medium, and low-frequency consonants are approximately the same as would be expected in normal plain text, the cryptogram probably belongs to the transposition class; if these percentages are quite different from those to be expected in normal plain text the cryptogram probably belongs to the substitution class.

<u>b.</u> In the precoding subparagraph the word "probably" was emphasized by underscoring it, for there can be no cortainty in every case of this determination. <u>Usually</u> these percentages in a transposition cipher are close to the normal percentages for plain text; <u>usually</u>, in a substitution cipher, they are far different from the normal percentages for plain text. But occasionally a cipher message is encountered which is difficult to classify with a reasonable degree of certainty because the message is too short for the general principles of frequency to manifest themselves. It is clear that if in actual messages there were no variation whatever

from the normal yowel and consonant percentages given in Table 3, the determination of the class to which a specific cryptogram belongs would be an extremely simple latter. But unfortunately there is always some variation or deviation from the normal. Intuition suggests that as messages decrease in length there may be a greater and greater departure from the normal proportions of vowels, high, medium and low-frequency consonants, until in very short messages the normal proportions may not hold at all. Similarly, as messages increase in length there may be a lesser and lesser departure from the normal proportions, until in messages totalling a thousand or more letters there may be no difference at all between the actual and the theoretical proportions. But intuition is not enough, for in dealing with specific messages of the length of those commonly encountered in practical work the question sometimes arises as to exactly how much deviation from the normal proportions may be allowed for in a cryptogram that shows a considerable amount of deviation from the normal and might still belong to the transposition rather than to the substitution class.

c. Statistical studies have been made on this matter and some graphs have been constructed thereon. These are shown in Charts 1-4 in the form of simple curves, the use of which will now be explained. Each chart contains two curves marking the lower and upper limits, respectively, of the theoretical amount of deviation (from the normal percentages) of vowels or consonants which may be allowable in a cipher believed to belong to the transposition class.

<u>d</u>. In Chart 1, curve V_1 marks the lower limit of the theoretical amount of deviation from the normal number of vowels to be expected in a message of given length; curve Vo marks the upper limit of the same thing. Thus, for example, in a message of 100 letters in plain English there should be between 33 and 47 vowels (AEIOUY). Likewise, in Chart 2 curves A1 and H2 mark the lower and upper limits as regards the high-frequency consonants. In a message of 100 letters there should be between 28 and 42 high-frequency consonants (DNRST). In Chart 3, curves M_1 and M_2 mark the lower and upper limits as regards the medium-frequency consonants. In a message of 100 letters there should be between 17 and 31 medium-frequency consonants (BCDFGHLIFVI). Finally, in Chart 4, curves L1 and L2 mark the lower and upper limits as regards the low-frequency consonants. In a message of 100 letters there should be between 0 and 8 low-frequency consonants (JKQXZ). In using the charts, therefore, one finds the point of intersection of the vertical coordinate corresponding to the length of the message, with the horizontal coordinate corresponding to (1) the number of vowels, (2) the number of high-frequency consonants, (3) the number of medium-frequency consonants, and (4) the number of low-frequency consonants actually counted in the message. If all four points of intersection fall within the area deligited by the respective curves, then the number of vowels, high, medium, and low-frequency consonants corresponds with the number theoretically expected in a normal plain-text message of the same length; since the message under investigation is not plain text, it follows that the cryptogram may certainly be classified as a transposition cipher. On the other hand, if one or more of these points of intersection falls outside the area delimited by the respective curves, it follows that the

- 27 -

crypto ram is probably a substitution cipher. The distance that the point of intersection falls outside the area delinited by these curves is a more or less rough measure of the improbability of the cryptogram's being a transposition cipher.

g. Sometimes a crypto ram is encountered which is hard to classify with certainty even with the foregoing aids, because it has been consciously prepared with a view to making the classification difficult. This can be done either by selecting peculiar words (as in "trick cryptograms") or by employing a cipher alphabet in which letters of approxi-<u>mately similar normal frequencies</u> have been interchanged. For example, E may be replaced by 0, T by R, and so on, thus yielding a cryptogram giving external indications of being a transposition cipher but which is really a substitution cipher. If the cryptogram is not too short, a close study will usually disclose what has been done, as well as the futility of so simple a subterfuge.

f. In the majority of cases, in practical work, the determination of the class to which a cipher of average length belongs can be made from a mere inspection of the message, after the cryptanalyst has acquired a familiarity with the normal appearance of transposition and of substitution ciphers. In the former case, his eyes very speedily note many highfrequency letters, such as 2, T, N, R, O, and S, with the absence of lowfrequency letters, such as J, K, Q, X, and Z; in the latter case, his eyes just as quickly note the presence of many low-frequency letters, and a corresponding absence of the usual high-frequency letters.

'g. Another rather quickly completed test, in the case of the simpler varieties of ciphers, is to look for repetitions of groups of letters. As will become apparent very soon, recurrences of syllables, entire words and is short phrases constitute a characteristic of all normal plain text. Since a transposition cipher involves a change in the sequence of the letters composing a plain-text message, such recurrences are broken up so that the cipher text no longer will show repetitions of more or less lengthy sequences of letters. But if a cipher message does show many repetitions and these are of several letters in length, say over four or five, the conclusion is at once warranted that the cryptogram is most probably a substitution and not a transposition cipher. dowever, for the beginner in cryptanalysis, it will be advisable to make the monoliteral frequency, bar-distribution, and note the frequencies of the vowels, the high, medium, and low-frequency consonants. Then, referring to Charts 1 to 4, he should carefully note whether or not the observed frequencies for these categories of letters fall within the limits of the theoretical frequencies for a normal plain-text message of the same length, and be guided accordingly.

h. It is obvious that the foregoing rule applies only to ciphers composed wholly of letters. If a message is composed entirely of figures, or of arbitrary signs and symbols, or of intermixtures of letters, figures and other symbols, it is immediately apparent that the cryptogram is a substitution cipher

- 28 -

i. Finally, it should be mentioned that there are certain kinds of cryptograms whose class cannot be determined by the method set forth in subparagraphs <u>b</u>, <u>c</u>, <u>d</u> above. These exceptions will be discussed in a subsequent section of this text.

14. Determining whether a substitution cipher is monoalphabetic or polyalphabetic. - <u>a</u>. It will be remembored that a monoalphabetic substitution cipher is one in which a single cipher alphabet is employed throughout the whole message, that is, a given plain-text letter is invariably represented throughout the message by one and the same letter in the cipher text. On the other hand, a polyalphabetic substitution cipher is one in which two or more cipher alphabets are employed within the same message; that is, a given plain-text letter may be represented by two or more different letters in the cipher text, according to some rule governing the selection of the equivalent to be used in each case. From this it follows that a single cipher letter may represent two or more different plain-text letters.

. b. It is easy to see why and how the appearance of the monoliteralfrequency distribution for a substitution cipher may be used to determine whether the cryptogram is monoalphabetic or polyalphabetic in character. The normal distribution presents marked crests and troughs by virtue of two circumstances. First, the elementary sounds which the symbols represent are used with greatly varying frequencies, it being one of the striking characteristics of every alphabetic language that its elementary sounds are used with greatly varying frequencies.² In the second place, except for orthographic aberrations peculiar to certain language (conspicuously, English and French), each such sound is represented by the same symbol. It follows, therefore, that since in a moncalphabetic substitution cipher each different plain-text letter (= elementary sound) is represented by one and only one cipher letter (= elementary symbol), the monoliteral-frequency distribution for such a cipher message must also exhibit the irregular crest and trough appearance of the normal distribution, but with only this important modification. the absolute positions of the crests and troughs will not be the same as in the normal. That is, the letters accompanying the crests and the troughs in the distribution for the cryptogram will be different from those accompanying the crests and the troughs in the normal distribution. But the marked irregularity of the distribution, the presence of accentuated crests and troughs, is in itself an indication that each symbol or cipher letter always represents the same plain-text letter in that cryptogram. Hence the general rule. A marked crest and trough appearance in the monoliteral-frequency distribution for a given cryptogram indicates that a single cipher alphabet is involved and constitutes one of the tests for a noncalphabetic substitution cipher.

¹ Par. 47.

² The student who is interested in this phase of the subject may find the following reference of value. Zipf, G. K. "Selected Studies of the Principle of Relative Frequency in Language." Cambridge, Lass., 1932.
- 29 -

c. On the other hand, suppose that in a cryptogram each cipher letter represents several different plain-text letters. Some of them are of high frequency, others of low frequency. The net result of such a situation, so far as the monoliteral frequency distribution for the cryptogram is concerned, is to prevent the appearance of any marked crests and troughs and to tend to reduce the elements of the distribution to a more or less common level. This imparts a "flattened out" appearance to the distribution. For example, in a certain cryptogram of polyalphabetic construction, $L_c = E_p$, G_p , and J_p ; $R_c = A_p$, D_p , and B_p ; $X_c = O_p$, L_p , and F_p . The frequencies of K_c , R_c and X_c will be approximately equal because the summations of the frequencies of the several plain-text letters each of these cipher letters represents at different times will be about equal. If this same phenomenon were true of all the letters of the cryptogram, it is clear that the frecuencies of the 26 letters, when shown by means of the ordinary monoliteral frequency distribution, would show no striking differences and the distribution would have the flat appearance of a typical polyalphabetic substitution cipher. Hence, the general rule The absence of marked crests and troughs in the monoliteral-frequency distribution indicates that two or more cipher alphabets are involved. The flattened-out appearance of the distribution constitutes one of the tests for a polyalphabetic substitution cipher.

<u>d</u>. The foregoing test based upon the appearance of the frequency distribution constitutes only one of several means of determining whether a substitution cipher is monoalphabetic or polyalphabetic in composition. It can be employed in cases yielding frequency distributions from which definite conclusions can be drawn with more or less certainty by mere ocular examination. In those cases in which the frequency distributions contain insufficient data to permit drawing definite conclusions by such examination, certain statistical tests can be applied. These will be discussed in a subsequent text.

e. At this point, however, one additional test will be given because of its simplicity of application. It may be employed in testing messages up to 200 letters in length, it being assumed that in messages of greater length ocular examination of the frequency distribution offers little or no difficulty. This test concerns the <u>number of blanks</u> in the frequency distribution, that is, the number of letters of the alphabet which are entirely absent from the message. It has been found from statistical studies that rather definite "laws" govern the theoretically expected number of blanks in normal plain-text messages and in frequency distributions for cryptograms of different natures and of various sizes. The results of certain of these studies have been embodied in Chart 5.

f. This chart contains two curves. The one labeled P applies to the average number of blanks theoretically expected in frequency distributions based upon normal plain-text messages of the indicated lengths. The other curve, labeled R, applies to the average number of blanks theoretically expected in frequency distributions based upon perfectly <u>random</u> assortments of letters, that is, assortments such as would be found by random selection of letters out of a hat containing thousands of letters, all of the

- 30 -

26 letters of the alphabet being present in equal proportions, each letter being replaced after a record of its selection has been made. Such random assorthents correspond to polyalphabetic cipher messages in which the number of cipher alphabets is so large that if monoliteral-frequency distributions are made of the letters, the distributions are practically identical with those which are obtained by random selections of letters out of a hat.

g. In using this chart, one finds the point of intersection of the vertical coordinate corresponding to the length of the message, with the horizontal coordinate corresponding to the observed number of blanks in the monoliteral-frequency distribution for the message. If this point of intersection falls closer to curve P than it does to curve R, the number of blanks in the message approximates or corresponds more closely to the number theoretically expected in a plain-text message than it does to a random cipher-text message of the same length; therefore, this is evidence that the cryptogram is monoalphabetic. Conversely, if this point of intersection falls closer to curve R than to curve P, the number of blanks in the message approximates or corresponds more closely to the number theoretically expected in a random text than it does to a plain-text message of the same length; therefore, this is evidence that the cryptogram is polyalphabetic.

<u>h</u>. Practical examples of the use of this chart will be given in some of the illustrative messages to follow.

15. Determining whether the cipher alphabet is a standard, or a mixed cipher alphabet. - <u>a</u>. Assuming that the monoliteral-frequency distribution for a given cryptogram has been made, and that it shows clearly that the cryptogram is a substitution cipher and is monoalphabetic in character, a consideration of the nature of standard cipher alphabets almost makes it obvious how an inspection of the distribution will disclose whether the cipher alphabet involved is a standard cipher alphabet or a mixed cipher alphabet. If the crests and troughs of the monoliteral-frequency distribution occupy positions which correspond to the <u>relative</u> positions they occupy in the normal-frequency distribution, then the cipher alphabet is a standard cipher alphabet. If this is not the case, then it is highly probable that the cryptogram has been prepared by the use of a mixed cipher alphabet.

<u>b.</u> A mechanical test may be applied in doubtful cases arising from lack of material available for study. Just what this test involves, and an illustration of its application will be given in the next section, using specific examples.

16. Determining whether the standard cipher alphabet is direct or reversed. - Assuming that the monoliteral-frequency distribution for a given cryptogram shows clearly that a standard cipher alphabet is involved, the determination as to whether the alphabet is direct or reversed.can also

¹ See Par. 41, Special Text No. 165, Elementary Military Cryptography.

- 31 -

be made by inspection, since the difference between the two is merely a matter of the <u>direction</u> in which the sequence of crests and troughs progresses, to the right, as in normal reading or writing, or the left. In a direct cipher alphabet the direction in which the crests and troughs of the monoliteral-frequency distribution should be read is the normal direction, from left to right; in a reversed cipher alphabet this direction is reversed, from right to left.

SECTION V.

..ONOLIT RAL SUBSTITUTION WITH STAIDARD CIPHER .LPHABETS

				Fara	ıgraph
Frinciples of solution by construction and analysis of t monoliteral-frequency distribution			٥	•	17
Theoretical example of solution	•	•	9	•	13
Practical example of solution by the frequency method .	•	•	0	•	19
Solution by completing the plain-component sequence	9	•	•	0	20
Special remarks on the method of solution by completing component sequence			-		21
Value of mechanical solution as a short cut	•	•	•	•	22

17. Frinciples of solution by construction and analysis of the monoliteral-frequency distribution. - a. Standard cipher alphabets are of two sorts, direct and reversed. The analysis of monoalphabetic cryptograms prepared by their use follows almost directly from a consideration of the nature of such alphabets. Since the cipher component of a standard cipher alphabet consists either of the normal sequence merely displaced 1, 2, 3 ... intervals from the normal point of coincidence, or of the normal sequence proceeding in a reversed-normal direction, it is obvious that the monoliteral-frequency distribution for a cryptogram prepared by means of such a cipher alphabet employed monoalphabetically will show crests and troughs whose relative positions and frequencies will be exactly the same as in the monoliteral-frequency distribution for the plain text of that cryptogram. The only thing that has happened is that the whole set of crests and troughs of the monoliteral-frequency distribution has been displaced to the right or left of the position it occupies in the monoliteralfrequency distribution for the plain text; or else the successive elements of the whole set progress in the opposite direction. Hence, it follows that the correct determination of the plain-text value of the letter marking any crest or trough of the monoliteral-frequency distribution will result at one stroke in the correct determination of the plain-text values of <u>all</u> the remaining 25 letters respectively marking the other crests and troughs in that distribution. Thus, having determined the value of a single element of the cipher component of the cipher alphabet, the values

- 32 -

of all the remaining letters of the cipher component are automatically solved at one stroke. In more simple language, the correct determination of the value of a single letter of the cipher text automatically gives the values of the other 25 letters of the cipher text. The problem thus resolves itself into a matter of selecting that point of attack which will most quickly or most easily lead to the determination of the value of <u>one</u> cipher letter. The single word <u>identification</u> will hereafter be used for the phrase "determination of the value of a cipher letter"; to <u>identify</u> a cipher letter is to find its plain-text value.

b. It is obvious that the easiest point of attack is to assume that the letter marking the crest of greatest frequency in the .: onoliteralfrequency distribution for the cryptogram represents E. Proceeding from this initial point, the identifications of the remaining cipher letters marking the other crests and troughs are tentatively made on the basis that the letters of the cipher component proceed in accordance with the normal alphabetic sequence, either direct or reversed. If the actual frequency of each letter marking a crest or a trough approximates to a fairly close degree the normal theoretical frequency of the assumed plaintext equivalent, then the initial identification $\Theta_c = E_p$ may be assumed to be correct and therefore the derived identifications of the other cipher letters may be assumed to be correct. If the original starting point for assignment of plain-text values is not correct, or if the direction of "reading" the successive crests and troughs of the monoliteral-frequency distribution is not correct, then the frequencies of the other 25 cipher letters 'ill not correspond to or even approximate the normal theoretical frequencies of their hypothetical plain-text equivalents on the basis of the initial identification. A new initial point, that is, a different cipher equivalent must then be selected to represent E_{p} ; or else the direction of "reading" the crests and troughs must be reversed. This procedure, that is, the attempt to make the actual frequency relations exhibited by monoliteral-frequency distribution for a given cryptogram conform to the theoretical frequency relations of the normal-frequency distribution in an effort to solve the cryptogram, is referred to technically as "fitting the actual monoliteral-frequency bar distribution for a cryptogram to the theoretical monoliteral-frequency bar distribution for normal plain text", or, more briefly, as "fitting the frequency distribution for the cryptogram to the normal-frequency distribution," or, still more briefly, "fitting the distribution to the normal." In statistical work the expression commonly employed in connection with this process of fitting an actual distribution to a theoretical one is "testing the goodness of fit". The closeness of the degree of goodness of fit may be stated in various ways, mathematical in character.

c. In fitting the distribution to the normal, it is necessary to regard the cipher component (that is, the letters A ... Z marking the successive crests and troughs of the monoliteral-frequency distribution) as partaking of the nature of a wheel or sequence closing in upon itself, so that no matter with what crest or trough one starts, the spatial and frequency relations of the crests and troughs are constant. This manner of regarding the cipher component as being cyclic in nature is valid because it is

- 33 -

obvious that the relative positions and frequencies of the crests and troughs of any monoliteral-frequency distribution must remain the same regardless of what letter is employed as the initial point of the distribution. Fig. 5 gives a clear picture of what is meant in this connection, as applied to the normal-frequency distribution.



Fig. 5

 \underline{d} . In the third sentence of subparagraph \underline{b} , the phrase "assumed to be correct" was advisedly employed in describing the results of the attempt to fit the distribution to the normal, because the final test of the goodness of fit in this connection (that is, of the correctness of the assignment of values to the crests and troughs of the monoliteralfrequency distribution) is whether the consistent substitution of the plain-text values of the cipher characters in the cryptogram will yield intelligible plain text. If this is not the case, then no matter how close the approximation between actual and theoretical frequencies is, no matter how well the monoliteral-frequency distribution fits the normal, the only possible inferences are that (1) either the closeness of the fit is a pure coincidence in this case, and that another equally good fit may be obtained from the same data, or else (2) the cryptogram involves something lore than simple monoalphabetic substitution by means of a single standard cipher alphabet. For example, suppose a transposition has been applied in addition to the substitution. Then, although an excellent correspondence between the monoliteral-frequency distribution and the normal-frequency distribution has been obtained, the substitution of the cipher letters by their assumed equivalents will still not yield plain text. .lowever, aside from such cases of double encipherient, instances in which the monoliteral-frequency distribution may be easily fitted to the normal-frequency distribution and in which at the same time an attempted

- 34 -

simple substitution fails to yield intelligible text are rare. It may be said that, in practical operations whenever the monoliteral-frequency distribution can be male to fit the normal-frequency distribution, substitution of values will result in solution; and, as a corollary, whenever the monoliteral-frequency distribution cannot be made to fit the normalfrequency distribution, the cryptogram does not represent a case of simple, monoalphabetic substitution by means of a standard alphabet.

13. Theoretical example of solution. - \underline{a} . The foregoing principles will become clearer by noting the cryptographing and solution of a theoretical example. The following message is to be cryptographed.

HOSTILE FORCE LESTE ATED AT ONE REGIMENT INFANTRY AND T 'O FLATOONS CAVALRY HOVING SOUTH OF QUINNE ONT PIKE STOP HEAD OF COLUMN HEARING ROAD JUNCTION SEVEN THREE SEVEN COLIA EAST OF GREENACRE SCHOOL FIRED UPON BY OUR PAPROLS STOP HAVE DESTROYED BRIDGE OVER INDIAN CILLEK

<u>b</u>. First, solely for purposes of demonstrating certain principles, the monoliteral-frequency distribution for this message is presented in Fig. 6.



c. Now let the foregoing message be cryptographed monoalphabetically by the following cipher alphabet, yielding the cryptogram and the monoliteral-frequency distribution shown below.

> Plain. A B C D S F G H I J H L . N O F) R S T U V / X Y Z Cipher. G H I J K L .. N O F) R S T U V / X / Z A B C D E F

- 35 -

	Ċ.		111		F						N N	L F				INI INI					Z	IN IN	INI INI				NI NI	M	2	ZZ
				•						•	iii ini ini ini					i.						ii Nu Nu	M	Ĩ			Z	-		
\$		S A Y L V	UKXZZ B	TJUOXZ	2 ら V U に X	0 U T U	T S K Y T R	L 0 G I 4 Y	G T J J J Y	Х	Z Y L T E U	X U I Z Y V	A U U I N X	GZRXNG	T N A K Ú B	J U S K U K	Z T T Y R	SC/TLK	บ 4 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	V 0 3 2 2	R T X T K	G T O I J	G X O T U A E	U S S V	บ บ ม ร บ	T T U G T	Y Z J K H	I V J G Z	G O Y U	BCAZAL
rlain Ciphe Crypt	•r•		В			I O	lî L			<i>1</i> . ŧ			۲ ۲			к. ?														
Flair Ciphe			н Э							T Z			F U					y r					۲ ۲			З Н				J M
Plair Ciphe			G 1							л Х			C I					F L			e K		U A							U A
Flair Ciphe			T Z				s Y			12			H N					I K					0 U			A G				T Z
rlair Ciphe		S Y								0 U			០ ប					11 T					N T							U A
⊦lair Ciphe			л Х							G			U J					?					I O				í T Z			у К
Flain Ciphe		ւ Տ								N T			۲ ۲								L Հ		T Z			N T				V 3
	er:		ប	-	-	•				0	X	_		ī.	-	-	Ť	~	u	Z	12	· ·	Ģ	4	Ŭ	-	17	X		

<u>d</u>. Let the student now compare Figs. 6 and 7, which have been superimposed in Fig. 8 for convenience in examination. Crests and troughs are present in both distributions; moreover, their relative positions and frequencies have not been changed in the slightest particular. Only the absolute

-36-

position of the sequence as a whole has been displaced six intervals to the right in Fig. 7, as compared with the absolute position of the sequence in Fig. 6.

」 第 第 第							三王王			Ē		N N	利用			Z		Z				=	
	зc	D	E	F	G I	н		J		L	М	N	0 EX	Р	Q	R	S	F FEE	V	W	x I	¥	
	B C	- D	_		Ē		Z		K K		.₹ M		Ē			Z		Z			-	Z	Z

a. If the two distributions are compared in detail the student will clearly understand how easy the solution of the cryptogram would be to one who knew nothing about how it was prepared. For example, the frequency of the highest crest, representing E in Fig. 6 is 28; at an interval of three letters before E_{\perp} there is another crest representing A_w with frequency 16. Between A and E there is a trough, representing the low frequency letters B, C, D. On the other side of E, at an interval of three letters, somes another crest, representing I with frequency 14. Between E.and I there is another trough, representing the low-frequency letters F, G, H. Compare these crests and troughs with their homologous crests and troughs in Fig. 7. In the latter, the letter K marks the highest crest in the monoliteralfrequency distribution with a frequency of 28; three letters before K there is another crest, frequency 16, and three letters on the other side of K there is another crest, frequency 14. Troughs corresponding to B, C, D and F, G, H are seen at H, I, J and L, M, N in Fig. 7. In fact, the two distributions may be made to coincide exactly, by shifting the monoliteralfrequency distribution for the cryptogram six intervals to the left with respect to the monoliteral-frequency distribution for the equivalent plaintext message, as shown herewith.



<u>f</u>. Let us suppose new that nothing is known about the cryptographing process, and that only the cryptogram and its monoliteral-frequency distribution is at hand. It is clear that simply bearing in mind the spatial relations of the crests and troughs in a normal-frequency distribution would enable the cryptanalyst to fit the moncliteral-frequency distribution to the normal-frequency distribution in this case. He would naturally first assume that $G_c = A_p$, from which it would follow that if a direct standard alphabet is involved, $H_c = B_p$, $I_c = C_p$, and so on, yielding the following (tentative) deciphering alphabet:

Cipher: A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z Plain : U V W X Y Z A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T

g. Now comes the final test: If these assumed values are substituted in the cipher text, the plain text immediately appears. Thus:

NUYZO RKLUX IKKYZ OSGZK JGZUT etc. HOSTI LEFOR CEEST IMATE DATON etc.

h. It should be clear, therefore, that the selection of G_c to represent \overline{A}_p in the cryptographing process has absolutely no effect upon the relative spatial and frequency relations of the crests and troughs of the monoliteral-frequency distribution for the cryptogram. If Q_c had been selected to represent A_p , these relations would still remain the same, the whole series of crests and troughs being merely displaced further to the right of the positions they occupy when $G_c = A_p$.

- 38 -

19. Fractical example of solution by the frequency method. - a. The case of direct standard alphabet ciphers.

(1) The follo ing cryptogram is to be solved by applying the foregoing principles

IBM JO PBIUO MBBGA JCZOF LUU JB <u>AJCZO</u> ZWILN JTTML ZJBPU IZKPJ 70 J7 IV 3ZG

(2) From the presence of repetitions and so many low-frequency letters such as B, Q, and Z it is at once suspected that this is a substitution cipher. But to illustrate the steps that must be taken in difficult cases in order to be certain in this respect, a monoliteral-frequency distribution is constructed, and then reference is made to charts 1 to 4 to note here the actual numbers of vowels, high, medium, and low-freouency letters fall inside or outside the a cas delinited by the respective curves.

															_										
;	Ŧ	Z	-				=		Z	Ξ	 =	HH	 Ē	I	Z			Ŧ	H	H				Z	
-1	L	З	C	D	Ξ	7	G	н	I			i. 10 a				R	ន	Т	ប	v	J	x	Y	Z	

		rosition with respect to
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Frequency	areas doli itod by curves
orals A 2 I 0 U J	17	outsise, Chart l
ligh-frequency Consciants (DNRST)	4	outsiae, Chart 2
Lediu: frequency Consonants		
(ВСГ г Гымг УУ)	25	outsiie, Chart 3
Low-frequency Consonants (J., Q X Z)	. 14	outside, Chart 4
Total	30	

(3) all four points falling quite outside the areas delimited by the curves applicable to these four classes of letters, the cryptogramic clearly a substitution cime.

(4) The appearance of the frequency distribution, with warled crests and troughs, indicates that the crytogram is probably wooal habetic. Deference is now made to Chart 5. The message has 60 letters and 6 blanks. The point of intersection on the chart is closer to curve r than it is to curve C, therefore, this is additional evidence that the message is probably monoalphabetic.

(5) The mext step is to determine Whether a standard or a mixed cipher alphabet is involved. This is done by studying the sequence of crests and troughts in the monoliteral-frequency dist ibution, and t ving to fit the distribution to the normal.

- 39 -

(6) Fhe first assumption to be made is that a direct standard is involved. The highest crest in the distribution is marked by B_c . Let it be assumed that $B_c = E_p$. Then C_c , D_c , E_c , ... = F_p , G_p , H_p , thus.

Cipher .BCDEFGHIJKLNNOPQRSTUVWXYZ Plain . DJFGHIJKLNNOPQRSTUVWXYZABC Fig. 10 b.

At first glance the approximation to the expected frequencies seems fair, especially in the region F G H I J K_p and R S T_p. But there are too many occurrences of L_p, r_p , X_p and C_p and too few occurrences of A_p, I_p, N_p, O_p. Horeover, if a substitution is attempted on this basis, the following is obtained for the first two cipher groups

> Cipher IBNQO PBIUO "rlain text" IZPTR SELXR

This is certainly not plain text and it seems clear that B_c is not E_p . A different assumption will have to be made.

(7) Suppose $Q_c = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Going through the same steps as before, again no satisfactory results are obtained. Further trials¹ are made along the same lines, until the assumption $M_c = \mathbb{Z}_p$ is tested.

Cipher. ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVIXYZ Flain · STUVVXYZ.BCDEFGHIJKLNOP?R Fiz. 10 c.

(8) The fit in this case is quite good; possibly there are too many occurrences of G_p and H_p and two few of Ξ_p , O_p and S_p . But the final test remains. trial of the substitution alphabet on the cryptogram itself. This is immediately done and the results are as follows.

¹ It is unnecessary, of course, to write out the alphabets as shown in Figs. 10 <u>b</u> and <u>c</u> when testing assu ptions. This is usually all done nentally.

- 40 -

PBIUO IBBGA Cryptogram. I BM 20 JCZOF HUUQB rlain text. ATTIG HTALG ZETYS BURGX ENLIT Cryptogram. A J C Z O ZVILU QTTLL SJBFU IZKrQ ILLED JITHM Plain text. SJURG ROADF ARCHI ж¹ ч

AT EIGHT AM GETTYSBURG-EMMITSBURG ROAD FILLED WITH MARCHING INFANTRY.

(9) It is always advisable to note the specific key. In this case the correspondence between any plaintext letter and its cipher equivalent will indicate the key; it is usual, however, to indicate the key by noting the cipher equivalent of A_{p} . In this case $A_p = I_c$.

b. The case of reversed standard alphabet ciphers. -

3

(1) Let the following cryptogram and its monoliteral-frequency distribution be studied.

IPZAC BFIWC EPFKQ HORCL EWWAP QHORC RUIFJ AXXEF MAPBW IRGBA VCAVD IVPRK

(2) The preliminary steps illustrated above, under subpar. \underline{a} (1) to (4) inclusive, in connection with the test for class and monoalphabeticity, will here be omitted, since they are exactly the same in nature. The result is that the cryptor is obviously a substitution cipher and is monoalphabetic.

(3) Assuming that it is not known whether a direct or a reversed standard alphabet is involved, attempts are at once made to fit the monoliteral-frequency distribution to the direct sequence. If the student will try them he will soon find out that these are unsuccessful. All this takes but a few minutes.

(4) The next logical assumption is now made, viz., that the cipher alphabet is a reversed standard alphabet. Anen on this basis \mathbb{Z}_{c} is assumed to be \mathbb{E}_{p} , the distribution can readily be fitted to the normal, practically every crest and trough in the actual distribution corresponding to a crest or trough in the expected distribution.

 Image: Second state sta

REF_ID:A64644

(5) When the substitution is made in the cryptogram, the following is obtained.

Cryptogram. IPEAC BFIWC EPPKQ ... Plain text: ATLIG HTANG ETTYS ...

(6) The plain-text message is identical with that under paragraph <u>a</u>. The specific key in this case is also $\Lambda_p = I_c$. If the student will compare the frequency distributions in the two cases, he will note that the relative positions and extensions of the crosts and troughs are identical; they morely progress in opposite directions.

20. Solution by completing the plain-component sequence. - a. The case of direct standard alphabet ciphers. -

(1) The foregoing method of analysis, involving as it does the construction of a monoliteral-frequency distribution, as termed a solution by the frequency <u>method</u> because it involves the construction of a frequency distribution and its study. There is, however, another method which is much more rapid, almost wholly rechanical, and which, moreover, does not necessitate the construction or study of any frequency dist.ibution whatever. An understanding of the method follows from a consideration of the method of encipherment of a message by the use of a single, direct standard cipher alphabet.

(2) Note the following encipherment.

Lessage: REPEL INVADING CAVALRY

Inciphering Alphabet

Plain . A B C D E F G H I J K L L N O P Q R S T U V / X Y Z Cipher. G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V V X Y Z A B C D E F

Encipherment

Plain text. REPEL INVAD INGCA VALRY Cryptogram. XKVKR UTBGJ OTMIG BGRXE

Cryptogram

XIVER OTBGJ OTHIG BGRXE

(3) The enciphering alphabet shown above represents a case wherein the sequence of letters of both components of the cipher alphabet is the normal sequence, with the sequence forming the cipher component merely shifted six

_ REF ID:A64644

intervals in retard (or 20 intervals in advance) of the position it occupies in the normal alphabet. If, therefore, two strips of paper bearing the letters of the normal sequence equally spaced are regarded as the two components of the cipher alphabet and are. juxtaposed at all of the 25 possible points of coincidence that yield direct standard cipher alphabets, it is obvious that one of these 25 juxtapositions <u>must</u> correspond to the actual juxtaposition shown in the enciphering alphabet directly above.¹ It is equally obvious that if a record were kept of the results obtained by applying the values given at each juxtaposition to the letters of the cryptogram, one of these results would yield the plain text of the cryptogram.

(4) Let the work be systematized and the results set down in an orderly manner for examination. It is obviously unnecessary to juxtapose the two components so that $A_c = A_p$, for on the assumption of a direct standard alphabet, juxtaposing two direct normal components at their normal point of coincidence merely yields plain text. The next possible juxtaposition, therefore, is $A_c = B_p$. Let the juxtaposition of the two sliding strips therefore be $A_c = B_p$, as shown here:

rlain ABCDEFGHIJKLANOPQRSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLANOPQRSTUVWXYZ Cipher: ABCDEFGHIJKLANOPQRSTUV/XYZ

The values given by this juxtaposition are substituted for the first 15 letters of the cryptogram and the following results are obtained.

<u>Cryptogram. - X K V K R O T B G J O T M I G B G R X E</u> lst Test - "Plain text" - Y L V L S F U C H K F U H J H C H S Y F

> This certainly is not intelligible text; obviously, the two components were not in the position indicated in this first test. The cipher component is therefore slid one interval to the right, making $A_c = C_p$, and a second test is made. Thus,

flain · ABCDEFGHIJKL_NOF)RSTUVWXYZABCDEFGHIJKLANOF)RSTUV XYZ Cipher ABCDEFHILL NOF)RSTUV XYZ

<u>Cryptogram. - X K V L R OT B G J OT M I G B G R X E</u> 2d Test - "rlain text" - Z H X H T Q V D I L Q V O K I D I T Z G

> Heither does the second test result in disclosing any plain text. But, if the results of the two tests are studied a phenomenon that at first seens quite pussiing comes to light. Thus, suppose the results of the two tests are superimposed in this fashion.

1 One of the strips should bear the sequence repeated. This allows for juxtaposing the two sequences at any of the 26 possible points of coincidence so as to have a couplete cipher alphabet shoring at all times.

	Cry	pt	orram.			X	K	V	K	R	 0	T	В	G	J	0	T	<u>i</u> I	I	G	ł	}	<u>G</u>	R	X	E
			"Plain																							
2nd	Test	-	"rlain	text"	-	Z	М	λ	М	Т	Ş	V	D	Ι	L	Q	V	0	K	I	I)	I	т	Z	Ġ

(5) Note what has happened. The net result of the two experiments was merely to continue the normal sequence begun by the cipher letters at the heads of the several <u>columns</u>. It is obvious that if the normal sequence is completed in each column <u>the results will be exactly the same as though</u> the whole set of 25 possible tests had actually been performed. Let the columns therefore be completed, as shown in Fig. 11.

<u>X K V K R O T B G J O T H I G B G R X E</u> YLWLSPUCHKPUNJHCHSYF ZMXhTQVDILQVOKIDITZG ANYNURVEJMRVPLJEJUAH 30ZOVSXFKNSXQHKFKVBI C P A P V T Y G L O T Y R N L G L V C J D Q B Q X U Z H L P U Z S O H H M X D K ERCRYVAIN)VATPHINYEL FSDSZVBJORVBUQOJOZFM G T E T A X C K P S X C V R P K P A G N Η U F U B Y D L Q T Y D V S Q L Q B H O IVGVCZEMRUZEXTRURCIP J W H V D A F N S V A F Y U S N S D J Q K X I X E B G O T V B G Z V T O T E K R LYJYFCHPUXCHAWUPUFLS LZKZGDIQVYDIBXV)VGMT NALAHEJRWZEJCYWRWHNU .0 BMBIFKSXAFKDZXSXIOV . P C N C J G L T Y B G L E A Y T Y J P W Q D O D K H L U Z C H M F B Z U Z K Q X *REPELINVADINGCAVALRY SFQFHJOJBEJOHDB / BMSZ TGRGJKPXCFKPIECXCNTÅ UHSHOLQYDGLQJFDYDOUB VITIPMRZEHMRKGEZEPVC VJUJ , NSAFINSLHFAFQ 77 D Fig. 11

An examination of the successive horizontal lines of the diagram discloses <u>one and only one</u> line of plain text, that warked by the asterisk and realing $R \ge P \ge L \equiv N$ V A D I N G C A V A L R Y.

(6) Since each column in Fig. 11 is nothing but a normal sequence, it is obvious that instead of laboriously writing down these columns of letters every time a cryptogram is to be examined, it would be more convenient to prepare a set of strips each bearing the normal sequence doubled (to permit complete coincidence for an

entire alphabet at any setting), and have them available for examining any future cryptograms. In using such a set of sliding strips in order to solve a cryptogram prepared by means of a single direct standard cipher alphabet, or to make a test to determine hether a cryptogram has been so prepared, it is only necessary to "set up" the letters of the cryptogram on the strips, that is, align them in a single row across the strips (by sliding the individual strips up or down). The successive horizontal lines, called generatrices (singular generatrix), are then examined in a search for intelligible text. If the cryptogram really belongs to this simple type of cipher, one of the generatrices will exhibit intelligible text all the way across; this text will practically invariably be the plain text of the message. This method of analysis may be termed a solution by completing the plain-component sequence. Sometiles it is referred to as "running down" the sequence. The principle upon which the method is based constitutes one of the cryptanalyst's most valuable tools.

b. The case of reversed standard alphabets. -

(1) The method described under subpar. a may also be applied in slightly modified form, in the case of a cryptogram enciphered by a single reversed standard alphabet. The basic principles are identical in the two cases.

(2) To show this it is necessary to experiment with two sliding components as before, except that in this case one of the components must be a reversed normal sequence, the other, a direct normal sequence.

(3) Let the two components be juxtaposed A to A, as shown below, and then let the resultant values be substituted for the letters of the cryptogram. Thus.

Cryptogram

FCRCV YTLGD YTAEG LGVFI

Flain . ABCDEFGHIJKLENOPQRSTUVWXYZABCJEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ Cipher: ZYXWUTSRQPONMLKJINGFEDCBA

¹ It is recommended that the student prepare a set of 25 strips $\frac{1}{4}$ " x $\frac{1}{2}$ " x 15", made of well-seasoned wood, and glue alphabet strips to the wood. The alphabet on each strip should be a double or repeated alphabet with all letters equally spaced, so as to permit of coincidence throughout a complete alphabet.

<u>Cryptogram</u> <u>PEF CU:A64644</u> Ist Fest - "Ilain text" - LYJYF CHPUX CHAWU PUFL (4) This does not yield intelligible text, and therefore the reversed component is slid one space

for lard and a second test is made. Thus.

- 45 -

Plain ABCDEFGAIJKLANOPQRSTUV XYZABCDEFGHIJKLANOPQRSTUV XYZ Cipher: ZYX /VUTSR POW LKJIHGFEDCBA

<u>Cryptogram</u> - PCRCV YTLGD YTAEG LGVPI 2d Test - "Flain text" - MZKZG DIQVY DIBXV QVGMT

> (5) Weither does the second test yield intelligible text. But let the results of the two tests be superimposed. Thus.

Cryptogram	-	Ъ	Ċ	З	C	V	•	Y	Т	L	G	D	Y	T	Å	Ę.	G	LG	Vi	<u> </u>
lst Test - "Plain text"	-	L	Y	J	Y	F	(3	H	F	U	X	C	H	A,	7	U	<u> </u>	FΙ	S
2nd Test - "Plain text"	-	1	Z	К	Z	G	I)	Ι	2	V	Y	D	Ι	В	Х	У	ζV	GM	ΓI

(6) It is seen that the letters of the "plain text" given by the <u>second</u> trial are merely the continuants of the normal sequences initiated by the letters of the "plain text" given by the first trial. If these sequences are "run down", that is, completed within the columns, the results must obviously be the same as though successive tests exactly similar to the first two were applied to the cryptogram, using one reversed normal and one direct normal component. If the cryptogram has really been prepared by means of a single reversed standard alphabet, one of the generatrices of the diagram that results from completing the sequences <u>must</u> yield intelligible text.

(7) Let the diagram be made, or better yet, if the student has already at hand the set of sliding strips referred to in the footnote to page 44, let him "set up" the letters given by the <u>first</u> trial. Fig. 12 shows the diagram and indicates the plain-text generatrix.

₄REF ID:A64644

PCRCVYTLGDYTAEGLGVPI LYJYFCHPUXCHAIUPUFLS I. Z K Ż G D I Q V Y D I B X V Q V G M T NALAHEJRIZEJCYJRVHNU O B H B I F K S X A F K D Z X S X I O V PCNCJGĹTYBGLEAYTYJPJ-J D O D K H K U Z C H M F B Z U Z K J X * R E P E L I N V A D I N G C A V A L R Y SF) FM JOWBEJOHDB .. BHSZ TGRGNKPXCFKPIEĊXCNTA UHSHOL)YDGLQJFDYDOUB VITIPLRZEHMRKGEZEPVC VJUJ 2 N S A F I N S L H F A F Q V D XKVKROTBGJOThIGBGRXE YLVLSPUCHKPUNJHCHSYF ZHXHTQVDILQVOKIDITŻG ANYNUR VEJMR VPLJEJUÁH BOZOVSXFKNSXQMKFKVBI C P A P V T Y G L O T Y R N L G L V O J D Q B Q X U Z H M P U Z S O H H M X D K ERCRYVAINQVATPNINYEL FSDSZVBJORVBUQOJOZFM G T E T A X C K P S X C V R P K P A G N Η U F U B Y D L Ι T Y D Ι S Ι L Ο B H O IVGVCZELRUZEXTRNRCIP J / H / D A F N S V A F Y U S N S D J Q K X I X E B G O T V B G Z V T O T E K R Fig. 12

(8) The only difference in procedure between this case and the preceding one (where the cipner alphabet was a direct standard alphabet) is that the letters of the cipher text are first "deciphered" by means of any reversed standard alphabet and then the columns are "run down" according to the normal ABC...Z sequence. For reasons which will become apparent very soon, the first step in this method is called "converting the cipher letters into their plain-component equivalents"; the second step is the same as before "completing the plain-component sequences".

21. Special remarks on the method of solution by corpleting the plaincomponent sequence. - a. The terms employed to designate the steps in the solution set forth in Far. 20 b, viz., "converting the cipher letters into their plain-component equivalents" and "completing the plain-component sequence", accurately describe the process. Their meaning will become more clear as the student progresses with the work. It may be said that whenever the plain component of a cipher alphabet is a known sequence, the difficulty and time required to solve any cryptogram involving the use of that plain component is practically cut in half. In some cases this knowledge facilitates and in other cases is the only thing that makes possible the solution of a very short cryptogram that might otherwise defy solution. Later on an example will be given to illustrate what is meant in this regard.

'b. The student should take note, however, of two qualifying expressions that were employed in a preceding paragraph to describe the results of the application of the method. It was stated that "one of the generatrices will exhibit intelligible text all the way across; this text will practically invariably be the plain text". 'ill there ever be a case in which more than one generatrix will yield intelligible text throughout its extant? That obviously depends almost entirely on the number of letters that are aligned to form a generatrix. If a generatrix contains but a very few letters, only five, for example, it may happen as a result of pure chance that there will be two or more generatrices showing what might be "intelligible text". Note in Fig. 11, for example, that there are several cases in which 3-letter and 4-letter English words (ANI, VAIN, GOT, TIP, etc.) appear on generatrices that are not correct, these words being formed by pure chance. But there is not a single case, in this diagram, of a 5-letter or longer word appearing fortuitously, because obviously the longer the word the smaller the probability of its appearance purely by chance; and the probability that two generatrices of 15 letters each will both yield intelligible text along their entire length is exceedingly remote, so remote, in fact, that in practical cryptography such a case may be considered nonexistent.

<u>c</u>. The student should observe that in reality there is no difference whatsoever in principle between the two methods presented in subpars. <u>a</u> and <u>b</u> of Far. 20. In the former the preliminary step of converting the cipher latters into their plain-component equivalents is apparently not present but in reality it is there. The reason for its apparent absence is that in that case the plain component of the cipher alphabet is identical in all respects with the cipher component, so that the cipher letters require no conversion, or, rather, they are identical with the equivalents that would result if they were converted on the basis $n_c = A_p$. In fact, if the solution process had been arbitrarily initiated by converting the cipher letters into their plain-component equivalents at the setting $A_c =$ A_p , for example, and the cipher component slid one interval to the right thereafter, the results of the first and second tests of Far. 20 <u>a</u> would be this.

Crvptogram.- X K V K R O T B G J O T I I G B G R X E1st Test - "Plain text" - L Y J Y F C H P U X C H A / U P U F L S2nd Test - "Plain text" - I Z K Z G H I Q V Y D I B X V Q V G M T

Thus, the foregoing diagram duplicates in every particular the diagram resulting from the first two tests under Par. 20 <u>a</u>. a first line of cipher letters, a second line of letters derived from them but showing externally no relationship with the first line, and a third line derived immediately from the second line by continuing the direct normal sequence. This point

A person with patience and an inclination toward the curiosities of the science might construct a text of 15 or more letters which would yield two "intelligible" texts on the plain-component completion diagram.

is brought to attention only for the purpose of showin; that a single, broad principle is the basis of the general method of solution by completing the plain-component sequence, and once the student has this firmly in mind he will have no difficulty whatsoever in realizing when the principle is applicable, what a powerful cryptanalytic tool it can be, and what results he may expect from its application in specific instances.

d. In the two foregoing examples of the application of the principle, the plain component was a normal sequence but it should be clear to the student, if he has grasped what has been said in the preceding subparagraph, that this component may be a mixed sequence which if known (that is, if the sequence of letters comprising the sequence is known to the cryptanalyst) can be handled just as readily as can a plain component that is a normal sequence.

<u>e</u>. It is entirely immaterial at what points the plain and the cipher components are juxtaposed in the preliminary step of converting the cipher letters into their plain-component equivalents. For example, in the case of the reversed alphabet cipher solved in Far. 20 <u>b</u>, the two components were arbitrarily juxtaposed to give the value A = A, but they might have been juxtaposed at any of the other 25 possible points of coincidence without in any way affecting the final result, viz., the production of one plain-text generatrix in the completion diagram.

22. Value of mechanical solution as a short cut. - \underline{a} . It is obvious that the very first step the student should take in his attempts to solve an unknown cryptogram that is obviously a substitution cipher is to try the mechanical method of solution by completing the plain-component sequence, using the normal alphabet, first direct, then reversed. This takes only a very few minutes and is conclusive in its results. It saves the labor and trouble of constructing a monoliteral-frequency distribution in case the cipher is of this simple type. Later on it will be seen how certain variations of this simple type may also be solved by the application of this method. Thus, a very easy short cut to solution is afforded, which even the experienced cryptanalyst never overlooks in his first attack on an unknown cipher.

b. It is important now to note that if neither of the two foregoing attempts is successful in bringing plain text to light and the cryptogram is quite obviously monoalphabetic in character, the cryptanalyst is warranted in assuming that the cryptogram involves a mixed cipher alphabet.¹ The steps to be taken in attacking a cipher of the latter type will be discussed in the next section.

¹ There is but one other possibility, already referred to under Far. 17 <u>d</u>, which involves the case where transposition and monoalphabetic substitution processes have been applied in successive steps. This is unusual, however, and will be discussed in its proper place.

•

REF ID:A64644 49 .

SECTION VI

MONOLITERAL SUBSTITUTION ATH MIXED CIPHER ALPHABETS

	Para	agraph
Basic reason for the low degree of cryptographic security afforded by monoalphabetic cryptograms involving standard		
cipher alphabets	6	23
Preliminary steps in the analysis of a monoalphabetic, mixed-		
alphabet cryptogram	•	24
Further data concerning normal plain text	•	25
Preparation of the work sheet	•	26
Triliteral-frequency distributions	•	27
Classifying the cipher letters into vowels and consonants	•	28
Further analysis of the letters representing vowels and		
consonants	•	29
Substituting deduced values in the cryptogram	•	30
Completing the solution	•	31
General remarks on the foregoing solution	•	32
The "probable-word" method; its value and applicability	•	33
Solution of additional cryptograms produced by the same cipher component.	÷	34

23. Basic reason for the low degree of cryptographic security afforded by monoalphabetic cryptograms involving standard cipher alphabets. - a. The student has seen that the solution of monoalphabetic cryptograms involving standard cipher alphabets is a very easy matter. Two methods of analysis were described, one involving the construction of a frequency distribution, the other not requiring this kind of tabulation, being almost mechanical in .nature and correspondingly rapid. In the first of these two methods it was necessary to make a correct assumption as to the value of but one of the 26 letters of the cipher alphabet and the values of the remaining 25 letters become at once known; in the second method it was not necessary to assume a value for even a single cipher letter. The student should understand what constitutes the basis of this situation: the fact that the two components of the cipher alphabet are composed of known sequences. that if one or both of these components are, for the cryptanalyst, unknown sequences! In other words, what difficulties will confront the cryptanalyst if the cipher component of the cipher alphabet is a mixed sequence? Vill such an alphabet be solvable as a whole at one stroke, or will it be necessary to solve its values individually? Since the determination of the value of one cipher

letter in this case gives no direct clues to the value of any other letter, it would seem that the solution of such a cipher should involve considerably more analysis and experiment than has the solution of either of the two types of ciphers so far examined occasioned. A typical example will be studied.

24. Preliminary steps in the analysis of a monoalphabetic, mixed alphabet cryptogram. - a. Note the following cryptogram:

SFDZF	IOGHL	PZFGZ	DYSFF	H3ZDS	GVHTF	UPLVD	FGYVJ	VFVHT	GADZZ	A <u>ITY</u> D
ZYTZJ	ZTGPT	VTZBD	VFHTZ	DFXSB	GIDZY	VTXOI	YVTEF	Vi :GZZ	THLLV	XZDFM
HTZAI	TYJZY	BDVFH	TZOFK	ZDZZJ	SXISG	ZYGAV	FSLGZ	DTHHT	CUZRS	VTYZD
OZFFH	TZAIT	YDZYG	AVDGZ	ZTKHI	TYZYS	DZGIU	ZFZTG	Urgji	X 'GHX	ASRU <u>Z</u>
DEUID	EGHTV	EAGXX								

<u>b.</u> A casual inspection of the text discloses the presence of several long repetitions as well as of many letters of normally low frequency, such as F, G, V, X, and Z; on the other hand, letters of normally high frequency, such as the vowels, and the consonants H and R, are relatively scarce. The cryptogram is obviously a substitution cipher¹ and the usual mechanical tests for determining whether it is possibly of the monoalphabetic, standard-alphabet type² are applied. The results being negative, the monoliteral-frequency distribution is immediately constructed and is as shown in Fig. 13.

E N N Z EZ 콜콜콜 Z ¥ 7222 ⋥⋥ 夹 = 77 폰言_로글폰로로로==론= 三芝 圣圣圣 ABCDEFGHIJ LIN Κ 0 P RST 3 UVIXYZ 8 4 1 23 3 19 19 15 10 3 2 5 2 0 3 5 0 2 10 22 5 16 1 8 14 35 Fig. 13

<u>c</u>. The fact that the monoliteral-frequency distribution shows very marked crests and troughs means that the cryptogram is undoubtedly monoalphabetic; the fact that it has already been tested (by the method of completing the plain-component sequence) and found not to be of the monoalphabetic, standard-alphabet type, indicates with a high degree of probability that it involves a mixed cipher alphabet. A few moments might be devoted to making a careful inspection of the monoliteral-frequency distribution to insure that it cannot be made to fit the normal; the object of this would be to rule out the possibility that the text resulting from substitution by a standard cipher

¹ Far. 13 <u>f</u>, <u>g</u>, above.

² Pars. 20 - 22, above.

alphabet had not subsequently been transposed. But this inspection in this case is hardly necessary, in view of the presence of long repetitions in the message.¹ (See Par. 13 g.)

One might, of course, attempt to solve the cryptogram by applying <u>d</u>. the simple principles of frequency. One might, in other words, assume that Z_c (the letter of greatest frequency) represents E_p ; D_c (the letter of next greatest frequency) represents T_p and so on. If the message were long enough this simple procedure might more or less quickly give the solution. But the message is relatively short and many difficulties would be encountered. Much time and effort would be expended unnecessarily, because it is hardly to be expected that in a message of only 235 letters the relative order of frequency of the various cipher letters should exactly coincide with, or even closely approximate the relative order of frequency of letters of normal plain text found in a count of 50,000 letters. It is to be emphasized that the beginner must repress the natural tendency to place too much confidence in the generalized principles of frequency and to rely too much upon them. It is far better to bring into effective use certain other data concerning normal plain text which thus far have not been brought to notice.

25. Further data concerning normal plain text. - <u>a</u>. Just as the individual letters constituting a large volume of plain text have more or less characteristic or fixed frequencies, so it is found that digraphs and trigraphs have characteristic frequencies, when a large volume of text is studied statistically. In Appendix 1, Table 1 are shown the relative frequencies of all digraphs appearing in the 260 telegrams referred to in Paragraph 9 <u>e</u>. It will be noted that 546 of the 676 possible pairs of letters occur in these telegrams, but whereas many of them occur but once or twice, there are a few which occur hundreds of times.

b. In Appendix 1 will also be found several other kinds of tables and lists which will be useful to the student in his work, such as the relative order of frequency of the 50 digraphs of greatest frequency, the relative order of frequency of doubled letters, doubled vowels, doubled consonants, and so on. It is suggested that the student refer to this appendix now, to gain an idea of the data available for his future reference. Just how these data may be employed will become apparent very shortly.

26. Preparation of the work sheet. - <u>a</u>. The details to be considered in this paragraph may at first appear to be superfluous but long experience has proved that systematization of the work, and preparation of the data in the most utilizable, condensed form is most advisable, even if this takes

¹ This possible step is mentioned here for the purpose of making it clear that the plain-component sequence completion method cannot solve a case in which transposition has followed or preceded monoalphabetic substitution with standard alphabets. Cases of this kind will be discussed in a later text. It is sufficient to indicate at this point that the frequency distribution for such a combined substitution-transposition cipher would present the characteristics of a standard alphabet cipher - and yet the method of completing the plain-component sequence would fail to bring out any plain text. some time. In the first place if it merely serves to avoid interruptions and irritations occasioned by failure to have the data in an instantly available form, it will pay by saving mental wear and tear. In the second place, especially in the case of complicated cryptograms, painstaking care in these details, while it may not always bring about success, is often the factor that is of greatest assistance in ultimate solution. The detailed preparation of the data may be irksome to the student, and he may be tempted to avoid as much of it as possible, but, unfortunately, in the early stages of solving a cryptogram he does not know (nor, for that matter, does the expert always know) just which data are essential and which may be neglected. Even though not all of the data may turn out to have been necessary, as a general rule, time is saved in the end if all the usual data are prepared as a regular preliminary to the solution of most cryptograms.

b. First, the cryptogram is recopied in the form of a work sheet. This sheet should be of a good quality of paper so as to withstand considerable erasure. If the cryptogram is to be copied by hand, cross-section paper of g" squares is extremely useful. The writing should be in ink, and plain, carefully made roman capital letters should be used in all cases. If the cryptogram is to be copied on a typewriter, the ribbon employed should be impregnated with an ing that will not smear or smudge under the hand.

c. The arrangement of the characters of the cryptogram on the work sheet is a matter of considerable importance. If the cryptogram as first obtained is in groups of regular length (usually five characters to a group) and if the monoliteral-frequency distribution shows the cryptogram to be monoalphabetic, the characters should be copied without regard to this grouping. It is advisable to allow one space between letters, and to write a constant number of letters per line, approximately 25. At least two spaces, preferably three spaces should be left between horizontal lines. Care should be taken to avoid crowding the letters in any case, for this is not only confusing to the eye but also mentally irritating when later it is found that not enough space has been left for making various sorts of marks or indications. If the cryptogram is originally in what appears to be word lengths (and this is the case as a rule only with the cryptograms of amateurs), naturally it should be copied on the work sheet in the original groupings. If further study of a cryptogram shows that some special grouping is required, it is best to recopy it on a fresh work sheet rather than to attempt to indicate the new grouping on the old work sheet.

<u>d</u>. In order to be able to locate or refer to specific letters or groups of letters with speed, certainty, and vithout possibility of confusion, it is advisable to use coordinates applied to the lines and columns of the text as it appears on the work sheet. To minicize possibility of confusion, it is best to apply letters to the horizontal lines of the text, numbers to the vertical columns. In referring to a letter the horizontal line in which the letter is located is usually given first. Thus, referring to the work sheet shown below, coordinates Al7 designate the letter Y, the 17th letter in the first line. The letter I is usually omitted from the series of line indicators, so as to avoid confusion with the figure 1. If lines are limited to 25 letters each, then each set of 100 letters of the text is automatically blocked off by remembering that 4 lines constitute 100 letters.

e. Above each character of the cipher text may be some indication of the frequency of that character in the whole cryptogram. This indication may be the actual number of times the character occurs, or, if colored pencils are used, the cipher letters may be divided up into three categories or groups. high frequency, medium frequency, and low frequency. It is perhaps simpler, if clerical help is available, to indicate the actual frequencies. This saves constant reference to the frequency tables, which interrupts the train of thought, and saves considerable time in the end.

<u>f</u>. After the special frequency distribution, explained in Par. 27 below, has been constructed, repetitions of digraphs and trigraphs should be underscored. In so doing, the student should be particularly watchful of trigraph repetitions which can be further extended into tetragraphs and polygraphs of greater length. Repetitions of more than six or seven characters should be set off by heavy vertical lines, as they indicate repeated phrases and are of considerable assistance in solution. Reversible digraphs should also be indicated by an underscore with a loop in it. Anything which strikes the eye as being peculiar, unusual, or significant as regards the distribution or recurrence of the characters should be noted. All these marks should, if convenient, be made with ink so as not to cause snudging. The work sheet will now appear as shown herewith.

]	. 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
A	10 . S) 19 F			19 F	10 I	3 0		15 H	5 L			19 F			23 D		10 S		19 F	15 H	-	35 Z	23 D	
в) 16 V	-	22 T	19 F	5 U			16 V	23 D	19 F	19 G	14 Y			16 V	19 F	16 V	15 H	22 T	19 G	8 A	23 D	-	35 Z
C		10 1					14 Y		35 Z		35 Z			5 P		16 V		35 Z			16 V	19 F			35
D) 19) F	-	10 S	4 В	19 G	10 I	23 D	35 Z	14 Y		22 T			10 I			22 T	3 E	19 F	16 V	2 M	19 G		35 Z
Ξ		15 H	5 L		16 V		35 <u>Z</u>						35 Z							14 ¥		23 D			15 <u>H</u>
F		35 Z			2 K	35 Z	23 D	35 2	35 Z		10 S		10 I		19 G	35 Z			8 A	16 V	19 F	10 S	5 L	19 G	35 Z
G		22 T	15 H				23 D		2 R			22 T			23 D	3 0			19 F		22 T	35 		10 I	
н		23 D					16 V		19 G	35 Z		22 T					14 Y	35 Z	14 Y	10 S	23 D	35 Z	19 G	15 H	5 U
J		19 F	35 Z		19 G	5 ប		19 G	23 ມ				19 G		8 X		10 S				23 D	19 <u>F</u>	5 ប	10 I	23 D
K		19 G			16 V	3]		19 G	8 X	8 X															

27. Triliteral-frequency distributions. - a. In what has gone before, a type of frequency distribution known as a monoliteral-frequency, bar-distribution was used. This, of course, shows only the number of times each individual letter occurs. In order to apply the normal digraph and trigraph frequency data (given in Appendix 1) to the solution of a cryptogram of the type now being studied, it is obvious that the data with respect to digraphs and trigraphs. occurring in the cryptogram should be compiled and should be compared with the data for normal plain text. In order to accomplish this in suitable manner, it is advisable to construct a slightly more complicated form of distribution termed a triliteral-frequency distribution.¹

b. Given a cryptogram of 50 or more letters and the task of determining what trigraphs are present in the cryptogram, there are three ways in which the data may be arranged or assembled. One may require that the data show.

(1) Each letter with its two succeeding letters,

(2) Each letter with its two preceding letters;

(3) Each letter with one preceding letter and one succeeding letter.

<u>c</u>. A distribution of the first of the three foregoing types may be designated as a "triliteral-frequency distribution showing two suffixes"; the second type may be designated as a "triliteral-frequency distribution showing two prefixes"; the third type may be designated as a "triliteralfrequency distribution showing one prefix and one suffix." yuadriliteraland pentaliteral-frequency distributions may occasionally be found useful.

d. Which of these three arrangements is to be employed at a specific time depends largely upon what the data are intended to show. For present purposes, in connection with the solution of a monoalphabetic substitution cipher employing a mixed alphabet, possibly the third arrangement, that showing one prefix and one suffix, is nost satisfactory.

<u>e</u>. It is convenient to use <u>"</u>" cross-section paper for the construction of a triliteral-frequency distribution in the form of a bar-distribution showing creats and troughs, such as that in Figure 14. In that figure the prefix to each letter to be recorded is inserted in the upper half of the cell directly opposite the cipher letter being recorded; the suffix to each letter is inserted in the lower half of the cell directly opposite the letter being recorded; and in each case the prefix and the suffix to the letter being recorded occupy the same cell, the prefix being directly above the suffix. The number in parentheses gives the total frequency for each letter.

¹ Heretofore such a distribution has been termed a "trigraphic-frequency table". It is thought that the word "triliteral" is more suitable, to correspond with the designation "monoliteral" in the case of the distribution of the single letters. The use of the word "distribution" to replace the word "table" has already been explained.

.

e

								C	רנויס	i.S.D) J/IB	BL⊡ ú)F 7	u 1	ΣI .).	5									UD F1'
					I)izre	phs				Tr	igra	aphs .			LOI	er 1	olyr	raph	15					uf DJ
			Ŧ	ZI H Z D	2-9)-9 2-8 7-6 F-5 2-5	TZ- TY- FE- GH- IT-	-5 -4 -4	VF-4 VT-4 ZT-4 ZT-4 ZZ-4			DZY- HT2- ITY- ZDF- .1T-	.4 .4 .4	F.IT- TYD- YDZ- ZAI-	.3 .3			DVF1 ZAIZ	ltyd2 Hillf Fyd2y Lic-9	-2 -3						YY ZT JZ DY TL OF YD DR
EXE VI ZI D	YD SG HZ	Ω	III HIS VIZOZI Z SI VIZI Z SI VIZOZI Z SI VIZOZI Z SI VIZOVI Z SI VIZOVI Z SI VIZOVI Z SI VIZOVI A VIZOVI A VIZOVI SI VIZOVI A VIZOVI SI	VA DG TT	DUC FHF ZF XS LI HI LI V XA HI ZI V CI U HI ZI ZI D	ALL PUHZIZ AZ LIBITAY VZH		UD DX HT AT S AT GD AT FO	ES ZZ VV	- TH FZ	SG LV HL HP	FH VG		D2 11 10	UG QT UL SF LZ		SU ZS	AR YD TV IG JA XB DG Yr -F	LV & Y Z Y Z Y H V H DH Z Y L L I V V H V P Z I H H H H DH Z Y L II E L Z Z V G Y G H H	FI Z J Z HZ FP	TLD TFF DID LEY YD TFH FJD DH	XG	GA HA VZ TO FS	S Z G D Z J B O V V F O V S	JUN JUN DEN ARTUN DEN JUN AU BURF
Λ (8)	B (4)	0 (1)	D (23)	E (3)	F (19)	نة (19)	H }{15	I (19)	J (3)	K (2)	L (5)	티 (2)	і (0)	0 (3)	P (5)	्र (0)	я (2)	S (10)	т (22)	U (5)	V (16)	W (1)	X (8)	¥ (14)	Z (35)
101	(=)	(-1	1991	(-1	, ;					• •		-	• 14												

ទ្រ

4

1

*

4

For example, in Fig. 14, note the prefixes and suffixes of the letter D_:

D(23) FZZVAYBZIZYBZZCZYVSGZI ZYSFZZVFZFZVFZTZOZGZIFE

<u>f</u>. The triliteral-frequency distribution is now to be examined with a view to ascertaining what digraphs and trigraphs occur two or more times in the cryptogram. Consider the pair of lines containing the prefixes and suffixes to D_c in the distribution, as shown directly above. This pair of lines shows that the following digraphs appear in the cryptogram:

<u> Jigraphs based on prefixes</u>	<u>Digraphs</u> based on suffixes
FD, ZD, ZD, VD, AD, YD, BD,	DZ, DY, DS, DF, DZ, DZ, DV,
ZD, ID, ZD, YD, BD, ZD, ZD,	DF, DZ, DF, DZ, DV, DF, DZ,
ZD, CD, ZD, YD, VD, SD, GD,	DF, DZ, DO, DZ, DG, DZ, DI,
ZD, ID	DF, DE

The nature of the tabulation in the triliteral-frequency distribution is such that in finding what digraphs are present in the cryptogram it is immaterial whether the prefixes or the suffixes to the cipher letters are studied, so long as one is consistent in the study. For example, in the foregoing list of digraphs based on the prefixes to D_c , the digraphs FD, ZD, ZD, VD, etc., are found; if now, the student will refer to the suffixes of F_c , Z_c , V_c , etc., he will find the very same digraphs indicated. This being the case, the question may be raised as to what value there is in listing both the prefixes and the suffixes to the cipher letters. The answer is that by so doing the trigraphs are indicated at the same time. For example, in the case of D_c , the following trigraphs are indicated:

FDZ, ZDY, ZDS, VDF, ADZ, YDZ, BDV, ZDF, IDZ, ZDF, YDZ, BDV, ZDF, ZDZ, ZDT, CDZ, ZDO, YDZ, VDG, SDZ, GDI, ZDF, IDE.

g. The <u>repeated</u> digraphs and trigraphs can now be found quite readily. Thus, in the case of D_c , examining the list of digraphs based on suffixes, the following repetitions are noted:

> DZ appears 9 times DF appears 6 times DV appears 2 times

Examining the trigraphs with ω_c as central letter, the following repetitions are noted:

ZDF appears 4 times YDZ appears 3 times BDV appears 2 times

<u>h</u>. It is unnecessary, of course, to go through the detailed procedure set forth in the preceding subparagraphs in order to find all the repeated digraphs and trigraphs. The repeated trigraphs with D_c as central letter

REF- 1D: A64644

can be found merely from an inspection of the prefixes and suffixes opposite D_c in the distribution. It is necessary only to find those cases in which two or more prefixes are identical at the same time that the suffixes are identical. For example, the distribution shows at once that in four cases the prefix to D_c is Z_c at the same time that the suffix to this letter is F_c . Hence, the trigraph ZDF appears four times. The repeated trigraphs may all be found in this manner.

i. The most frequently repeated digraphs and trigraphs are then assembled in what is termed a <u>condensed table of repetitions</u>, so as to bring this information prominently before the eye. As a rule, digraphs which occur less than four or five times, and trigraphs which occur less than three or four times may be omitted from the condensed table as being relatively of no importance in the study of repetitions. In the condensed table the frequencies of the individual letters forming the most important digraphs, trigraphs, etc., should be indicated.

28. Classifying the cipher letters into vowels and consonants. - <u>a</u>. Before proceeding to a detailed analysis of the repeated digraphs and trigraphs, a very important step can be taken which will be of assistance not only in the analysis of the repetitions but also in the final solution of the cryptogram. This step concerns the classification of the high-frequency letters into two groups: vowels and consonants. For if the cryptanalyst can quickly ascertain the equivalents of the four vowels, A, E, I, and O, and of only the four consonants, N, R, S, and T, he will then have the values of approximately two-thirds of all the cipher letters that occur in the cryptogram; the values of the remaining letters can almost be filed in automatically.

<u>b</u>. The basis for the classification will be found to rest upon a comparatively simple phenomenon. the associational or combinatory behavior of vowels is, in general, quite different from that of consonants. If an examination be made of Table 7Bin Appendix 1, showing the relative order of frequency of the 18 digraphs composing 25 per cent of Lnglish telegraphic text, it will be seen that the letter E enters into the composition of 9 of the 18 digraphs; that is, in exactly half of all the cases the letter E is one of the two letters forming the digraph. The digraphs containing E are as follows:

ED EN ER ES NE RE SE TE VE

The remaining nine digraphs are as follows.

AN	ND	OR	\mathbf{ST}
IN	ΝT		TH
ON			то

None of the 18 digraphs are combinations of vowels. Note now that of the 9 combinations with E, 7 are with the consonants N, R, S, and T, one is with D, one is with V, and <u>none is with any vowel</u>. In other words, E_p combines most readily with consonants but not with other vowels, or even with

itself. Using the terms often employed in the chemical analogy, E shows a great "affinity" for the consonants N, R, S, T, but not for the vowels. Therefore, if the letters of highest fractured occurring in a given cryptogram are listed, together with the number of times each of them combines with the cipher equivalent of Σ_p , those which show considerable combining power or affinity for the cipher equivalent of Ξ_p may be assumed to be the cipher equivalents of N, R, S, T_p; those which do not show any affinity for the cipher equivalent of Ξ_p may be assumed to be the cipher equivalent of Ξ_p may be assumed to be the cipher equivalent of Ξ_p may be assumed to be the cipher equivalent of Ξ_p may be assumed to be the cipher equivalent of Ξ_p may be assumed to be the cipher equivalent of A, I, O, U_p. Applying these principles to the problem in hand, and examining the triliteral-frequency distribution, it is quite certain that $Z_c = \Xi_p$, not only because Z_c is the letter of highest frequency, but also because it combines with <u>several</u> other high-frequency letters, such as D_c , F_c , G_c , etc. The nine letters of next highest frequency are:

23 22 19 19 16 15 14 10 10 D T F G V H Y S I

Let the combinations thase letters form with Z_c be indicated in the follow-ing manner.

No. of times Z_c occurs as prefix $Z \equiv Z$ Cipher Letter:- D(23) T(22) F(19) G(19) V(16) H(15) Y(14) S(10) I(10) No. of times Z = Z Z_c occurs as suffix

Consider D_c . It occurs 23 times in the message and 18 of those times it is combined with Z_c , 9 times in the form $Z_c D_c$ (= $E \Theta_p$), and 9 times in the form $D_c Z_c$ (= ΘE_p). It is clear that D_c must be a consonant. In the same way, consider T_c , which shows 9 combinations with Z_c , 4 in the form $Z_c T_c$ (= $E \Theta_p$) and 5 in the form $T_c Z_c$ (= ΘE_p). The letter T_c appears to represent a consonant, as do also the lotters F_c , G_c , and Y_c . On the other hand, consider V_c , occurring in all 16 times but never in combination with Z_c ; it appears to represent a vovel, as does also the letters H_c , S_c , and I_c . So far, then, the following classification would seem logical:

Vowels

Consonants

 D_c , T_c , F_c , G_c , Y_c

 $Z_{c} (= I_{p}), V_{c}, H_{c}, S_{c}, I_{c}$

29. Further analysis of the letters representing vowels and consonants. -<u>a</u>. O_p is usually the vowel of second highest frequency. Is it possible to determine which of the letters V, H, S, I_c is the cipher equivalent of O_p ? Let reference be made again to Table 11 in Appendix 1, where it is seen that the 10 most frequently occurring diphthongs are.

> Diphthong - IO OU EA EI AI IE AU EO AY UE Frequency - 406 365 345 273 172 131 128 121 120 114

REF 10:A64644

If V, H, S, I_c are really the cipher equivalents of A, I, O, U_p (not respectively), perhaps it is possible to determine which is which by examining the combinations they make among themselves and with Z_c (= E_p). Let the combinations of V, A, S, I, and Z that occur in the message be listed. There are only the following:

ZZ _c (= VH	34n)		4	HI	-	ľ
VHČ	P		2	SV	-	1
HH		-	1	IS	-	1

Note the doublet HH_c ; if H_c is a vowel, then the chances are excellent that $H_c = O_p$, because the doublets AA_p , II_p , UU_p , are prictically non-existent, whereas the double vowel combination, OO_p , is of next highest frequency to the double vowel combination, EI_p . If $H_c = O_p$, then V_c must be I_p because the digraph VH_c occurring two times in the message could hardly be AO_p , or UO_p , whereas the diphthong IO_p is the one of high frequency in English. So far then, the tentative (because so far unverified) results of the analysis are as follows.

 $Z_c = E_p$ $H_c = O_p$ $V_c = I_p$

This leaves only two letters, I_c and S_c , classified as vowels, to be separated into A_p and U_p . Note the digraphs:

Only two alternatives are open.

(1) Either $I_c = A_p$ and $S_c = U_p$, (2) Or $I_c = U_p$ and $S_c = A_p$.

If the first alternative is selected, then

$$HI_{c} = OA_{p}$$
$$SV_{c} = UI_{p}$$
$$IS_{c} = AU_{p}$$

If the second alternative is selected, then

The eye finds it difficult to choose between these alternatives; but suppose the frequency values of the plain-text diphthongs as given in Table 11 of Appendix 1 are added for each of these alternatives, giving the follow-ing:

$$\begin{split} \text{HI}_{c} &= \text{OA}_{p}, \text{ frequency value = 72} \\ \text{SV}_{c} &= \text{UI}_{p}, \text{ frequency value = 46} \\ \text{IS}_{c} &= \text{AU}_{p}, \text{ frequency value = 128} \\ & \text{Total 246} \\ \end{split} \\ \text{HI}_{c} &= \text{OU}_{p}, \text{ frequency value = 365} \\ \text{SV}_{c} &= \text{UI}_{p}, \text{ frequency value = 172} \\ \text{IS}_{c} &= \text{AU}_{p}, \text{ frequency value = 48} \\ & \text{Total 585} \\ \end{split}$$

fathematically, the second alternative is almost twice as probable as the first. Let it be assumed to be correct and the following (still tentative) values are now at hand:

 $Z_c = E_p$ $H_c = O_p$ $V_c = I_p$ $S_c = A_p$ $I_c = U_p$

<u>b</u>. Attention is now directed to the letters classified as consonants. Now far is it possible to determine their values? The letter, D_c , from considerations of frequency alone, would seem to be T_p , but its frequency, 23, is not considerably greater than that for T_c . It is not much greater than that for F_c or G_c , with a frequency of 19 each. But perhaps it is possible to determine not the value of one letter alone but of two letters at one stroke. To do this one may make use of a tetragraph of considerable importance in English, viz., $TION_c$. For if the analysis pertaining to the vowels is correct, and if $VH_c = IO_p$, then an examination of the letters immediately before and after the digraph VH_c in the cipher text might disclose both T_p and N_p . Reference to the text gives the following:

GVHT _C	FVHTC
0100p	eiceb

The letter T_c follows VHc in both cases, indicates very probably that $T_c = II_p$; but as to whether G_c or F_c equals T_p cannot be decided. However, two conclusions are clear: first, the letter D_c is neither T_p nor N_p , from which it follows that it must be either R_p or S_p ; second, the letters G_c and F_c must be either T_p and S_p , respectively, or S_p and T_p respectively, because the only tetragraphs usually found (in English) containing the diphthong IO_p as central letters are $SIOI_p$ and $TIOI_p$. This in turn means that as regards D_c , the latter cannot be <u>either</u> R_p or S_p ; it <u>must</u> be K_p , a conclusion which is corroborated by the fact that ZD_c (= ER_p) and DZ_c (= RE_p) occur 9 times each. Thus far, then, the identifications, when inserted in an <u>enciphering</u> alphabet, are as follows.

```
Plain: A B C D F G H I J K L H N O P 7 R S T U V J X Y Z
Cipher: S Z V T H D G F I
F G
```

30. Substituting deduced values in the cryptogram. - a. Thus far the analysis has been almost purely hypothetical, for as yet not a single one of the values deduced from the foregoing analysis has been tried out in the cryptogram. It is high time that this be done, because the final test of the validity of the hypotheses, assumptions and identifications made in any cryptographic study is, after all, only this: do these hypotheses, assumptions and identifications ultimately yield verifiable, intelligible, plain-text when consistently applied to the cipher text?

b. At the present stage in the process, since there are at hand the assumed values of but 9 out of the 25 letters that appear, it is obvious that a continuous "reading" of the cryptogram can certainly not be expected from a mere insertion of the values of the 9 letters. However, the substitution of these values should do two things: first, it should immediately disclose the frag.ents, outlines, or "skeletons" of "good" words in the text; and second, it should disclose no places in the text where "impossible" sequences of letters are established. By the first is meant that the partially deciphered text should show the outlines or skeletons of words such as may be expected to be found in the communication; this will become quite clear in the next subparagraph. By the second is neant that sequences, such as "AODEN" or "TNRSENO" or the like, obviously not possible or extremely unusual in normal Inglish text, must not result from the substitution of the tentative identifications resulting from the analysis. The appearance of several such extremely unusual or impossible sequences at once signifies that one or more of the assumed values is incorrect.

<u>c</u>. Here are the results of substituting the nine values which have been deduced by the reasoning based on a classification of the high-frequency letters into vowels and consonants and the study of the members of the two groups:

	- 62 -																								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			- 13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
A	10 S A	19 F T S	23 D R	35 Z E	19 F T S	10 I	3 0	19 G S T	15 H O	5 L	5 ٢	35 Z E	19 F T S	19 G S T	35 Z E	23 D R	14 Y	10 S .A	5 P	19 F T S	15 H 0	4 B	35 Z E	23 D R	10 S A
В	19 G S T	16 V I	15 H O	22 T N	19 F T S	5 U	5 P	5 L	16 V I	23 D R	19 F T S	19 G S T	14 Y	16 V I	3 J	16 V I	19 F T S	16 V I	15 H O	22 T N	19 G S T	8 A	23 D R	35 Z E	35 Z E
C	8 A	10 I	22 T N	14 Y	23 D R	35 Z £	14 Y	19 F T S	35 Z E	3 J	35 Z E	22 T N	19 G S T	5 P	22 T N	16 V I	22 T N	35 Z E	4 B	23 D R	16 V I	19 F T S	15 H O	22 T N	35 Z E
D	23 D R	19 F T S	8 X	10 S A	4 B	19 G S T	10 I	23 D R	35 Z E	14 Y	l6 V I	22 T N	8 X	3 0	10 I	14 Y	16 V I	22 T N	3 Fi	19 F T S	16 V I	2 너	19 G S T	35 乙 正	35 Z E
E	22 T N	15 H 0	5 L	5 L	16 V I	8 X	35 Z E	23 D R	19 F T S	2 M	15 H O	22 T N	35 Z E	8 A	10 I	22 T N	14 Y	23 D R	35 Z E	14 , Y ,	4 B	23 D R	16 V I	19 F T S	15 H O
F	22 T N	35 Z E	23 D R	19 F T S	2 K	35 Z E	23 D R	35 乙 正	35 Z T	З Ј ,	10 S A	8 X	10 I	10 S A	19 G S T	35 乙 王	14 Y	19 G S T	8 A	16 V I	19 F T S	10 S A	5 L	19 G S T	35 Z E
G	23 D R	22 T N	15 H 0	15 H O	22 T N	l C	23 ມ R	35 乙 王	2 R	10 S A	16 V I	22 T N	14 Y	35 Z E	23 D R	3 0	35 Z E	19 F T S	19 F T S	15 H O	22 T N	35 Z E	8 A	10 I	22 T N
н	14 Y	23 D R	Z	14 Y		А 8	16 V I	23 D R	19 G S T	35 乙 王	35 乙 王	т	2 K		10 I	22 T N	14 Y	35 Z E	14 Y	10 S A	23 D R	35 고 로	19 G S T	15 H O	5 ប
J	35 2 E	19 F T S	35 Z E	22 T N	19 G S T	5 ប	5 P	19 G S T	23 D R		8 X		24 G S T	15 H O	8 X		10 S A			35 Z E	23 D R	19 F T S	5 บ	10 I	
ĸ	3 E	19 G S T		Т	16 V I	3 3	8 A	19 G S T	8 X																

<u>d</u>. No impossible sequences are b ought to light, and, moreover, several long words, nearly complete, stand out in the text. Note the following portions:

-17

-

.

REF_ID:A64644

(1)	A21 H B O	2	บ ว	S A	G S T	V I	H O	T J	85 F T S			
(2)	C15 T V M I	T N	Z E	В	D R	v İ	Г Т S	н 0	T N	Z E	I D R)2 F T S
(3)	F22 SL A	G S T	Z E	D. R	T N	н 0	н 0	G5 T N				

The words are obviously OPERATIONS, NINE PRISONERS, and AFTERNOON. The value G_c is clearly T_p ; that of F_c is S_p ; and the following additional values are certain:

 $B_c = P_p$ $L_c = F_p$

31. Completing the solution. - <u>a</u>. Each time an additional value is obtained, substitution is at once made throughout the cryptogram. This leads to the determination of further values, in an ever-widening circle, until all the identifications are firmly and finally established, and the message is completely solved. In this case the decipherment is as follows:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	:21	22	23	24	25
A.	S	F	D	Z	F	I	0	G	H	L	P	Z	F	G	Z	D	Y	S	P	F	Н	B	Z	D	S
	A	S	R	E	S	U	L	T	O	F	Y	E	S	T	E	R	D	A	Y	S	0	P	S	R	A
В	G	V	H	T	F	U	Р	L	V	D	F	G	Y	V	J	v	₽	V	н	T	G	A	D	Z	Z
	T	I	O	N	S	B	Ү	F	I	R	S	T	D	I	V	I	S	I	0	N	T	H	R	E	E
C	A	I	т	ر	ጋ	乙	Y	F	Z	J	Z	T	G	P	T	V	T	Z	B	D	V	F	H	T	Z
	H	U	N	ل	R	王	D	S	I	V	E	N	T	Y	N	I	il	Z	∙₽	- R	I	· S	O	N	E
D	D	F	X	S	B	G	I	D	Z	ץ	V	T	X	0	I	Y	v	T	E	F	V	M	G	Z	Z
	R	S	C	A	P	T	U	R	S	ב	I	N	C	L	U	D	I	N	G	S	I	X	T	E	E
	T	Н	L	L	V	X	Z	D	F	lı	H	T	Z	A	I	T	Y	D	Z	Y	B	D	V	F	Н
E	N	0	F	F	I	C	E	ג	S	X	O	N	I	H	U	N	D	R		D	P	ג	I	S	0
F	T N	Z	D R	F S	K V	Z E	D R	Z Z	Z E	J V	'S A	X C	I U	S A	G T	Z E	Y D	G T	A H	V I	F S	S A	L F	G T	Z E
G	D	T	Н	H	T	C	D	Z	R	S	V	T	Y	2	D	0	Z	F	F	Н	T	Z	A	I	T
	R	N	0	D	N	Q	R	I	LĨ	A	I	N	D	E	R	L	Z	S	S	0	11	E	H	U	N
H	Υ. .D	D. R	Z	Y D	G T	A H	V I	D र	G T	Z	Z E	T N	K V	н 0	I U	T N	Y D	Z E	ץ D	S A	D R	Z E	G T	Н О	U B
J	Z· E	F S	Z E	T N	G T	JU B	Ъ Х	G T	D R	I U	X C	W K	G T	Н 0	X C	A H	S A	R <u>1</u>	U B	Z	D R	F S	U B	I U	D R
K	e E	G T	H O	T N	V I	ह	A H	G T	X X	X X													•		

•

- 64 -

Message: AS RESULT OF RESTERDAYS OPERATIONS BY FIRST DIVISION THREE HUN-DRED SEVENTY NINE FRISONERS CAPTURED INCLUDING SIXTEEN OFFICERS ONE HUN-DRED PRISONERS WERE EVACUATED THIS AFTERNOON RELAINDER LESS ONE HUNDRED THIRTEEN WOUNDED ARE TO BE SENT BY TPUCK TO CHAMBERSBURG TONIGHT

b. The solution should, as a rule, not be considered complete until an attempt has been made to discover all the elements underlying the general system and the specific key to a message. In this case, there is no need to delve further into the general system, for it is merely one of monoalphabetic substitution with a mixed cipher alphabet. It is necessary or advisable, however, to reconstruct the cipher alphabet because this may give clues that later may become valuable.

<u>c</u>. Cipher alphabets should, as a rule, be reconstructed by the cryptanalyst in the form of <u>enciphering</u> alphabets because they will then be in the form in which the encipherer used them. This is important for two reasons. First, if the sequence in the cipher component gives evidence of system in its construction or if it yields clues pointing toward its derivation from a keyword or a key-phrase, this may often corroborate the identifications already made and may lead directly to additional identifications. A word or two of explanation is advisable here. For example, refer to the skeletonized enciphering alphabet given at the end of par. 29<u>b</u>:

Plain: A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V ' X Y Z Cipher: S Z V T H D G F I F G

Suppose the cryptanalyst, looking at the sequence DGFI or DFGI in the cipher component, suspects the presence of a keyword-mixed alphabet. Then DFGI is certainly a more plausible sequence than DGFI. Again, noting the sequence S...Z...V....TH..D, he might have an idea that the keyword begins after the Z and that the TH is followed by AB or BC. This would mean that either P, $Q_p = A$, B_c or B, C_c . Assuming that P, $Q_p = A$, B_c , he refers to the frequency distribution and finds that the assumptions $P_p = A_c$ and $Q_p =$ B_c are not good; on the other hand, assuming that P, $Q_p = B$, C_c , the frequency distribution gives excellent corroboration. A trial of these values would materially hasten solution because it is often the case in cryptanalysis that if the value of a very low-frequency letter can be surely established it will yield clues to other values very quickly. Thus, if Q is definitely identified it almost invariably will identify Up, and will give clues to the letter following the U_p , since it must be a vowel. In the case under discussion the identification $PQ_p = BC_c$ would have turned out to be correct. For the foregoing reason an attempt should always be made in the early stages of the analysis to determine, if possible, the basis of construction or derivation of the cipher alphabet; as a rule this can be done only by means of the enciphering alphabet, and not the deciphering alphabet. For example, the skeletonized deciphering alphabet corresponding to the enciphering alphabet directly above is as follows:
Cipher, ABCDEFGHIJKLINOPORSTUVIXYZ Flain, RTSOU ANI Z ST

Here no evidences of a keyword-mixed alphabet are seen at all. However, if the enciphering alphabet has been examined and shows no evidences of systematic construction, the Jeciphering alphabet should then be examined with this in view, because occasionally it is the deciphering alphabet which shows the presence of a key or keying element, or which has been systematically derived from a word or phrase. The second reason why it is important to try to discover the basis of construction or derivation of the cipher alphabet is that it affords clues to the general type of keywords or keying elements employed by the enemy. This is a psychological factor, of course, and may be of assistance in subsequent studies of his traffic. It merely gives a clue to the general type of thinking indulged in by certain of his cryptographers.

d. In the case of the foregoing solution, the complete enciphering alphabet is found to be as follows:

Plain : A B C D E F G H I J K L H H O P J L S T U V V X Y Z Cipher- S U X Y Z L E A V N W O R T H B C D F G I J K H P -

Obviously, the letter Q, which is the only letter not appearing in the cryptogram, should follow P in the cipher component. Note now that the latter is based upon the keyword LEAVIN ORTH, and that this particular cipher alphabet has been composed by shifting the mixed sequence based upon this keyword six intervals to the right so that the key for the message is $A_p = S_c$. Note also that the deciphering alphabet fails to give any evidence of keyword construction based upon the word LEAVENNORTH.

Cipher- A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P 2 R S T U V / X Y Z Plain : H P Q R G S T O U V / F X J L Y Z H A N B I K C D E

g. If neither the enciphering or the deciphering alphabet exhibits characteristics which give indication of derivation from a keyword by some form of mixing or disarrangement, the latter is nevertheless not finally excluded as a possibility. The student is referred to pars. 46 and 47 of Special Text No. 165, Elementary Military Cryptography, wherein will be found methods for deriving mixed alphabets by transposition methods applied to keyword-mixed alphabets. For the reconstruction of such mixed alphabets the cryptanalyst must use ingenuity and a knowledge of the more common methods of suppressing the appearance of keywords in the mixed alphabets.

32. General notes on the foregoing solution. - <u>a</u>. The example solved above is admittedly a more or less artificial illustration of the steps in analysis, made so in order to demonstrate general principles. It was easy to solve because the frequencies of the various cipher letters corresponded quite well with the normal or expected frequencies. However, all cryptograms of the same monoalphabetical nature can be solved along the same general lines, after more or less experimentation, depending upon the longth of the cryptogram, the skill, and the experience of the cryptanalyst.

REF-ID:A64644

<u>b.</u> It is no cause for discouragement if the student's initial attempts to solve a cryptogram of this type require much more time and effort than were apparently required in solving the foregoing purely illustrative example. It is indeed rarely the case that <u>every</u> assumption made by the cryptanalyst proves in the end to have been correct; more often is it the case that the majority of his initial assumptions are incorrect, and that he loses much time in casting out the erroneous ones. The speed and facility with which this elimination process is conducted is in many cases all that distinguishes the expert from the novice.

c. Nor will the student always find that the initial classification into vowels and consonants can be accomplished as easily and quickly as was apparently the case in the illustrative example. The principles indicated are very general in their nature and applicability, and there are, in addition, some other principles that may be brought to bear in case of difficulty. Of these, perhaps the most useful are the following.

> (1) In normal English it is unusual to find two or three consonants in succession, each of high frequency. If in a cryptogram a succession of three or four letters of high-frequency appear in succession, it is practically certain that at least one of these represents a vowel.¹

> (2) Successions of three vowels are rather unusual in English.² Practically the only time this happens is when a word ends in two vowels and the next word begins with a vowel.³

(3) hen two letters already classified as vowelequivalents are separated by a sequence of six or more letters, it is either the case that one of the supposed vowel-equivalents is incorrect, or else that one or more of the intermediate letters is a vowel-equivalent.⁴

¹ Sequences of seven consonants are not impossible, however, as in STRENGTH THROUGH.

² Note that the word RaDICID, past tense of the verb RADIO, is coming into usage.

 3 A sequence of five vowels is not impossible, however, as in <u>YOU</u> AUTHORIZE.

⁴ Some cryptanalysts place a good deal of emphasis upon this principle as a method of locating the remaining vowels after the first two or three have been located. They recommend that the latter be underlined throughout the text and then all sequences of five or more letters showing no underlines be studied attentively. Certain letters which occur in several such sequences are sure to be vowels. An arithmetical aid in the study is as follows: Take a letter thought to be a good possibility as the cipher equivalent of a vowel (hereafter termed a possible vowel-equivalent) and find the length of each interval from the possible vowel-equivalent to the next known (fairly surely determined) vowel-equivalent. Lultiply the interval by the number of times this interval is found. Add the products and divide by the total number of intervals considered. This will give the mean interval for that possible vowel-equivalent. Do the same for all the other possible vowelequivalents. The one for which the mean is the greatest is most probably a vowel-equivalent. Underline this letter throughout the text and repeat the process for locating additional vowel-equivalents, if any remain to be located.

- 67 -

(4) Reference to Table 7B of Appendix 1 discloses the following.

Distribution of 1st 18 digraphs forming 25, of English text.

No.	of	consonant-consonant digraphs	-	4
No.	of	consonant-vowel digraphs		6
		vowel-consonant digraphs	-	8
llo.	of	vowel-vowel digraphs	-	0

Distribution of 1st 53 digraphs forming 50% of English text.

No. of consonant-consonant dig	raphs - 8
No. of consonant-vowel digraph	s . – 23
No. of vowel-consonant digraph	s ['] - 18
No. of vowel-vowel digraphs	- 4

The latter tabulation shows that of the first 53 digraphs which form 50% of English text, 41 of them, that is, over 75%, are combinations of a vowel with a consonant. In short, in normal English the vowels and the high-frequency consonants are in the long run distributed fairly evenly and regularly throughout the text.

(5) As a rule, repetitions of trigraphs in the cipher text are composed of high-frequency letters forming high-frequency combinations. The latter practically always contain at least one vowel; in fact, if reference is made to Table 13 of Appendix 1, it will be noted that 36 of the 56 trigraphs having a frequency of 100 or more contain one vowel, 17 of them contain two vowels, and only three of them contain no vowel. In the case of tetragraph repetitions, Table 14 of Appendix 1 shows that no tetragraph listed therein fails to contain at least one vowel, 28 of them contain one vowel, 25 contain two vowels, and 2 contain three yowels.

(6) juite frequently then two known vowel-equivalents are separated by six or more letters none of which seens to be of sufficiently high frequency to represent one of the vowels A E I O, the chances are good that the cipher-equivalent of the vowel U or Y is present. (See Footnote No. 4, page 66)

(7) The letter ? is invariably followed by U; the letters J and V are invariably followed by a vowel.

REF_ID:A64644

d. In the foregoing example the amount of experimentation or "cutting and fitting" was practically nil. (This is not true of real cases as a rule.) Ahere such experimentation is necessary, the underscoring of all repetitions of several letters is very essential, as it calls attention to peculiarities of structure that often yield clues.

<u>e</u>. After a few basic assumptions of values have been made, if short words or skeletons of words do not become manifest, it is necessary to make further assumptions for unidentified letters. This is accomplished most often by assuming a word.¹ Now there are two places in every message which lend themselves more readily to successful attack by the assumption of words than do any other places. the very beginning and the very end of the message. The reason is quite obvious, for although words may begin or end with almost any letter of the alphabet, they usually begin and end with but a few very common digraphs and trigraphs. In this connection reference should be made to Tables 15 and 16 of Appendix 1. Very often the association of letters in peculiar combinations will enable the student to note where one word ends and the next begins. For example, suppose E, N, S and T have been definitely identified, and a sequence like the following is found in a cryptogram.

---ENTSNE---

Obviously the break between two words should fall either after the S of \pm N T S or after the T of \pm N T, so that two possibilities are offered: . . E N T S / N E . . ., or . . . \pm N T / S N E . . . Since in English there are very few words with the initial trigraph S N E, it is most likely that the proper division is . . . \pm N T S / N E . . . Obviously, when several word divisions have been found, the solution is rendered more easy by virtue of the greater ease with which assumptions of additional new values may be made.

33. The "probable-word" method; its value and applicability. - <u>a</u>. In practically all cryptanalytic studies, short-cuts can often be made by assuming the presence of certain words in the message under study. Some writers attach so much value to this kind of an "attack from the rear" that they practically elevate it to the position of a method and call it the "intuitive method" or the "probable-word method". It is, of course, merely a refinement of what in every-day language is called "assuming" or "guessing" a word in the message. The value of making a "good guess" can hardly be overestimated, and the cryptanalyst should never feel that he is accomplishing a solution by an illegitimate subterfuge when he has made a fortunate guess leading to solution. A correct assumption as to plain text will often save hours or days of labor, and sometimes there is no alternative but to try to "guess" a word, for occasionally a system is encountered the solution of which is absolutely dependent upon this artifice.

¹ This process does not involve anything more mysterious than ordinary, logical reasoning; there is nothing of the subnormal or supernormal about it. If cryptanalytic success seems to require processes akin to those of medieval magic, if "hocus-pocus" is much to the fore, the student should begin to look for items that the claimant of such success has carefully hidden from view, for the mystification of the uninitiated. (See Par. 33 in this connection.)

- 69 -

b. The expression "good guess" is used advisedly. For it is "good" in two respects. First, the cryptanalyst must use care in making his assumptions as to plain-text words. In this he must be guided by extraneous circumstances leading to the assumption of <u>probable</u> words - not just any words that come to his mind. Therefore he must use his imagination but he must nevertheless carefully control it by the exercise of <u>good</u> judgment. Second, only if the "guess" is correct and leads to solution, or at least puts him on the road to solution, is it a <u>good</u> guess. But, while realizing the usefulness and the time and labor-saving features of a solution by assuming a probable word, the cryptanalyst should exercise discretion in regard to how long he may continue in his efforts with this method. sometimes he may actually waste time by adhering to the method too long, if straightforward, methodical analysis will yield results more quickly.

c. Obviously, the "probable-word" method has much more applicability when working upon material the general nature of which is known, than when working upon more or less isolated communications exchanged between correspondents concerning whom or whose activities nothing is known. For in the latter case there is little or nothing that the imagination can seize upon as a background or basis for the assumptions.¹

d. Very frequently, the choice of probable words is aided or limited by the number and positions of repeated letters. These repetitions may be patent, that is, externally visible in the cryptographic text as it originally stands, or they may be latent, that is, externally invisible but susceptible of being made patent as a result of the analysis. For example, in a monoalphabetic substitution cipher, such as that discussed in the preceding paragraph, the repeated letters are directly exhibited in the cryptogram; later the student will encounter many cases in which the repetitions tions are patent, then the pattern or formula to which the repeated letters conform is of direct use in assuming plain-text words; and when the text is in word-lengths, the pattern is obviously of even greater assistance. Suppose the cryptanalyst is dealing with military text, in which case he may expect such words as JIVISION, BATTALION, etc., to be present in the text. The positions of the repeated letter I in DIVISION, of the reversible digraph AT, TA in BATTALION, and so on, constitute for the experienced cryptanalyst, tell-tale indications of the presence of these words, even 'then the text is not divided up into its original word lengths.

¹ General Givierge in his <u>Cours de Cryptographie</u> (p. 121) says "However, expert cryptanalysts often employ such details as are cited above [in connection with assuling the presence of 'probable words'], and the experience of the years 1914 to 1918, to cite only those, prove that in practice one often has at his disposal elements of this nature, permitting assumptions much more audacious than those which served for the analysis of the last example. The reader would therefore be wrong in imagining that such fortuitous elements are encountered only in cryptographic works where the author deciphers a document that he himself enciphered. Cryptographic correspondence, if it is extensive, and if sufficiently numerous working data are at hand, often furnishes elements so complete that an author would not dare use all of them in solving a p oblem for fear of being accused of obvious exaggeration."

REF_ID:A64644

e. The important aid that a study of word patterns can afford in cryptanalysis warrants the use of definite terminology and the establishment of certain data having a bearing thereon. The phenomenon herein under discussion, namely, that many words are of such construction as regards the number and positions of repeated letters as to make them readily identifiable, will be termed <u>idiomorphism</u> (from the Greek "idios" = one's own, individual, peculiar + "morphe" = form). Words which show this phenomena will be termed <u>idiomorphic</u>. It will be useful to deal with the idiomorphisms symbolically and systematically as described below.

<u>f</u>. Men dealing with cryptograms in which the word lengths are determined or specifically shown, it is convenient to indicate their lengths and their repeated letters in some easily recognized manner or by formulas. This is exemplified, in the case of the word DIVISION, by the formula ABCBDBEF; in the case of the word BATTALICN, by the formula ABCCBDEFG If the cryptanalyst, during the course of his studies, makes note of striking formulas he has encountered, with the words which fit them, after some time he will have assembled a quite valuable body of data. And after more or less complete lists of such formulas have been established in some systematic arrangement, a rapid comparison of the idiomorphs in a specific cryptogram with those in his lists will be feasible and will often lead to the assumption of the correct word. Such lists can be arranged according to word length, as shown herewith:

		3/aba	:	DID, EVE, EYE
:	•	abb	6	Alu, ALL, ILL, OFF, etc.
		4/abac	:	ARAB, AREA, AJAY, etc.
		abca	:	BOLB, JEAD, etc.
		abbc	4	• • •
		. abcb	1	• • •
		etc.		etc.
	:	: .	: abb 4/abac abca abbc abcb	· . abb : 4/abac :

g. Men dealing with cryptographic text in which the lengths of the words are not indicated or otherwise determinable, lists of the foregoing nature are not so useful as lists in which the words (or parts of words) are arranged according to the intervals between identical letters, in the following manner:

l Interval .	2 Intervals	3 Intervals	Repeated digraphs
-DiD-	AbbAcy	AbeyAnce	COCOa
-EvE-	ArAbiA	hAbitAble	derer
-EyE-	AbiAtive	laborAtory	ICICle
dIvIsion	AboArd	AbreAst	ININg
revision	-AciA-	AbroAd	ЪAGgAGe
etc.	etc.	etc.	et c.

In Appendix 2 will be found some useful lists of words arranged in this manner.

34. Solution of additional cryptograms produced by the same cipher component. - \underline{a} . To return, after a rather long digression, to the cryptogram solved in pars. 28 - 31, once the cipher component of a cipher alphabet

- 71 -

has been reconstructed, subsequent messages which have been enciphered by means of the same cipher component may be solved very readily, and without recourse to the principles of frequency, or application of the "probableword" method. It has been seen that the illustrative crypto; ram treated in paragraphs 24 - 31 was enciphered by juxtaposing the cipher component against the normal sequence so that $A_p = S_c$. It is obvious that the cipher component may be set against the plain component at any one of 26 different points of coincidence, each yielding a different cipher alphabet. After a cipher component has been reconstructed, however, it becomes a known sequence, and the method of converting the cipher letters into their plain-component equivalents and then completing the plain-component sequence begun by each equivalent can be applied to solve any cryptogram which has been enciphered by that cipher component.

<u>b</u>. An example will serve to make the process quite clear. Suppose the following message passing between the same two stations as before was intercepted shortly after the first message had been solved.

IYEWK CERNI OFOSE LFOOH EAZXX

It is assumed that the same cipher component was used, but with a different key letter. First the initial group or two groups are converted into their plain-component equivalents by setting the cipher component against the normal sequence at any arbitrary point of coincidence. The initial letter of the former may as well be set against A of the latter, with the following result.

Plain - A B C D E F G H I J K L H N O P Q R S T U V '/ X Y Z Cipher, L E A V N '/ O R T H B C D F G I J H H P (S U X Y Z

Cryptogram : IYEVK CERNV ... Equivalents, FYBFR LBHEF ...

The normal sequence initiated by each of these conversion equivalents is now completed, with the results shown in Fig. 15. Note the plain-text generatrix, CLOSIFOURS, which manifests itself "ithout further analysis. The rest of the message may be read either by continuing the same process, or, what is even more simple, the key letter of the message may now be determined quite readily and the message deciphered by its means.

_ REF ID:A64644

	ī	Y	E	i	K	C	Z	R	N	đ
	P	Y	B	F	_		В	H	E	
	ŷ	Z	C	G	S	ie.	C	I	F	G
	R	A	D	Н	т	N	D	J	G	Н
	ន	В	Е	Ι	U	0	З	К	H	I
	Т	C	F	J	V	Р	F	\mathbf{L}	I	J
	U	С	G	K	М	2	G	.1	J	κ
	v	Ξ	Н	L	х	R	Н	N	ĸ	L
	Ч	F	I	ħ	Y	\$	I	0	$\mathbf{\tilde{L}}$	ì:
	Х	G	J	И	Z	Т	J	Ъ	lvi	IJ
	Y	H	Κ	0	А	U	ĸ	Ş	N	0
	Z	Ι	L	P	в	V	L		0	Р
	x	J	M	り	C	IJ	M	S	Р	Q
	В	K	1Ī	Ŕ	D	Х	N	т	Q	R
*	С	\mathbf{L}	0	S	£	Y	0	U	R	
	D	īÆ	P	\mathbf{T}	F	Z	Ρ	٧	S	т
	Ŧ	N	3	U	G	А	ş	4	т	U
	F	0	R	٧	H	В	R	Х	U	V
	G	P	S	V	I	C	S	Y	V	v
	Η	Ĵ	т	х	J	D	т	Z	Ч	Х
	Ι	R	U	Y	iC	Е	U	A	Х	Y
	J	S	V	Z	\mathbf{L}	F	У	В	Y	Z
	Ľ	т	V	À	Μ	G	M	С	Z	А
	\mathbf{L}	U	X	В	N	Н	Х	D	A	В
	M	V	Y	C	0	I	Y	R	В	C
	N	1	Z	D	Р	J	Z	7	C	D
	0	Х	A	E			A	G	D	Ξ
		•		F	Ĺg	• -	15			

<u>c</u>. In order that the student may understand without question just what is involved in the latter step, that is, discovering the key letter after the first two or three groups have been deciphered by the conversion-completion process, the foregoing example will be used. It was noted that the first cipher group was finally deciphered as follows.

> Cipher. IYEVK Plain: CLOSE

Now set the cipher component against the normal sequence so that $C_p = I_c$. Thus:

Plain : A B C D J F G H I J K L M N O P ? R S T U V / X Y Z Cipher: F G I J K M P ? S U X Y Z L E A V N ' / O R T H B C D

It is seen here that when $C_p = I_c$ then $A_p = F_c$. This is the key for the entire message. The decipherment may be completed by direct reference to the foregoing cipher alphabet. Thus:

Cipher: IYEVK CERNV OFOSE LFOOH EAZXX Plain: CLOSE YOURS TATIO NATTV OPNXX

Message. CLOSE YOUR STATION AT T /O PI

- 73 -

<u>d</u>. The student should make sure that he understands the fundamental principles involved in this quick solution, for they are among the most important principles in cryptanalytics. How useful they are will become clear as he progresses into more and more complex cryptanalytic studies.

SECTION VII

POLYLIT RAL SUBSTITUTION WITH MONO-EQUIVALENT CINER AL HABETS

Paragraph

35. Analysis of polyliteral, monoalphabetic substitution systems. -<u>a</u>. Substitution methods in general may be classified into monoliteral and polyliteral systems.¹ In the former there is a strict "one-to-one" correspondence between the length of the units of the plain and those of the cipher text; that is, each letter of the plain text is replaced by a single character in the cipher text. In the latter this correspondence is no longer lp.lc but may be lp.2c, where each letter of the plain text; or lp.3c, where a 3-character combination in the cipher text represents a single letter of the plain text, and so on. A cipher in which the correspondence is of the lp.lc type is termed monoliteral in character; one in which it is of the lp:2c type, biliteral; lp 3c, triliteral, and so on. Those beyond the lp:1c type are classed together as <u>polyliteral</u>.

<u>b</u>. When a polyliteral system employs biliteral equivalents, the cipher alphabet is said to be bipartite. Such alphabets are composed of a set of 25 or 26 combinations of a limited number of characters taken in pairs. An example of such an alphabet is the following.

Plain. A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V V X Y 4 Cipher. VA WH /C /T /E HE HH HI HT HE I/ IH II IT IE TV TH TI TT TE EV EH EI ET 3

This alphabet is derived from the square shown in Fig. 16.

(2)

		W	Η	I	Т	E
	W	A	В	C	D	Ξ
	Н	F	G	Н	I-J	K
(1)	I	L	M	N	0	Р
	т	୍ବ	R	S	Т	U
	E	V	W	Х	Y	Z
			Fi	g.	16	

<u>c</u>. If a message is enciphered by means of the foregoing bipartite alphabet the cryptogram is still monoalphabetic in character. A frequency distribution based upon pairs of letters vill obviously have all the

¹ See Par. 29, Special Text No. 166, Advanced Lilitary Cryptography.

characterístics of a simple, monoliteral distribution for a noncalphabetic substitution cipher.

d. Ciphers of this type, as well as of those of the triliteral, tetraliteral, ... type are readily detected externally by virtue of the fact that the cryptographic text is composed of but a very limited number of different characters. They are handled in exactly the same manner as are monoliteral, monoalphabetic substitution ciphers. So long as the same character, 'or combination of characters is always used to represent the same plain-text letter, and so long as a given letter of the plain text is always represented by the same character or combination of characters, the substitution is strictly monoalphabetic and can be handled in the simple manner described under Par. 31 of this text.

<u>e</u>. An intersting example in which the cipher equivalents are pentaliteral groups and yet the resulting cipher is strictly monoalphabetic in character is found in the cipher system invented by Sir Francis Eacon over 300 years ago. Despite its antiquity the system possesses certain features of merit which are well worth noting. Bacon¹ proposed the following cipher alphabet, composed of permutations of two elements taken five at a time:²

A =	aaaaa	G =	aabba	N -	= abbaa	T = baaba
B =	aaaab	H =	aabbb	0	= abbab	U-V = baabb
C =	aaaba	I-J =	abaaa	P	= abbba	W = babaa
D =	aaabb	K ==	abaab	Ĵ,	= abbbb	X = babab
E =	aabaa	L =	ababa	R	= baaaa	Y = babba
F =	aabab	li =	ababb	S	= baaab	$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{babbb}$

If this were all there were to Bacon's invention it would be hardly worth bringing to attention. But what he pointed out, with great clarity and simple examples, was how such an alphabet might be used to convey a secret message by enfolding it in an innocent, external message which might easily evade the strictest kind of censorship. As a very crude example, suppose that a message is written in capital and lower case letters, any capital letter standing for an "a" element of the cipher alphabet, and any small letter, for a "b" element. Then the external sentence "All is well with me today" can be made to contain the secret message "Help". Thus:

	V31L VIt		
aab bb	aaba aab	a ba	abbba
H	E	\mathbf{L}	P

¹ For a true picture of this cipher, the explanation of which is often distorted beyond recognition even by cryptographers, see Bacon's own description of it as contained in his <u>De Augmentis Scientiarum (The Advancement of</u> <u>Learning)</u>, as translated by any first-class editor, such as Gilbert watts (1640) or Ellis, Spedding, and Heath (1857, 1870). The student is cautioned, however, not to accept as true any alleged "decipherments" obtained by the application of Bacon's cipher to literary works of the l6th century. These readings are purely subjective.

 $\frac{2}{2}$ In the 16th Century, the letters I and J were used interchangeably, as were also U and V.

- 75 -

Instead of employing such an obvious device as capital and small letters, suppose that an "a" will be indicated by a very slight shading, or a very slightly heavier stroke. Then a secret message might easily be thus enfolded within an external message of exactly opposite meaning. The number of possible variations of this basic scheme is very high. The fact that the characters of the cryptographic text are hidden in some manner or other has, however, no effect upon the strict monoalphabeticity of the scheme.

36. Historically interesting examples. - <u>a</u>. Two examples of historical interest will be cited in this connection as illustrations. Juring the campaign for the presidential election of 1376 many cipher messages were exchanged between the Tilden managers and their agents in several states where the voting was hotly contested. Two years later the New for: Tribune¹ exposed many irregularities in the campaign by publishing the decipherments of many of these messages. These decipherments were achieved by two investigators employed by the Tribune, and the plain text of the messages seems to show that illegal attempts and measures to carry the election for Filden were made by his managers. Here is one of the messages.

JACKSONVILLE, Nov. 16 (1876).

GLO. F. RANEY, Tallahassee.

Ppyyemnshyyypimashnsyyssitepaaensh nsseusshnsmmpiyysnppyeaapieissyeshai nss speeiyyshnynsssyepiaanyitnsshyysp yypinsyyssitemeipimmeisseiyyeissitel epyypeeiaassimaayespnsyyianssseissmm ppnspinssnpinsimimyyitemyysspeyymmns yyssitspyypeepppmaaayypiit L'Ingle goes up tomorrow.

D. . IEL.

Examination of the message discloses that only ten different letters are used. It is probable, therefore, that that one has here is a cipher which employs a bipartite alphabet and in which combinations of two letters represent single letters of the plain_text. The message is therefore rewritten in pairs and substitution of arbitrary letters for the pairs is made, as seen below:

ĻΡ	ΥY	<u>11.</u>	iIS	ΗY	Ϋ́Υ	цţ	ΊA	SH	NS	YY	SS	etc.	
A	В	C	כ	۲.	В	F	Ġ	Н	Ċ.	З	I	etc.	

¹ New fork Tribune - Extra No. 44' - "The Cipher Dispatches" - New York, 1879.

REF_ID:A64644

A triliteral-frequency distribution is then made and analysis of the table along the lines illustrated in the preceding section of this text yields solution, as follows:

JACKSONVILLE, Nov. 16.

GEO. F. R.NEY, Tallahassee:

Have Marble and Coyle telegraph for influential men from Delaware and Virginia. Indications of weakening here. Press advantage and watch Board. L'Engle goes up tomorrow.

JANIL

b. The other example, using numbers, is as follows:

JACKSONVILLE, Nov. 17.

S. PASCO and E. L. L'ENGLE.

84	55	84	25	93	34	82	31	31	75	93	82	77	33	55	52
93	20	90	66	77	65	33	84	63	31	31	93	20	82	33	66
52	48	44	55	42 ·	82	48	89	42	93	31	82	66	75	31	93
											DA	niei			

There were, of course, several messages of like nature, and examination disclosed that only 26 different numbers in all were used. Solution of these ciphers followed very easily, the decipherment of the one given above being as follows.

J CLISONVILLE, Nov. 17.

S. PASCO and E. M. L'ENGLE:

Cocke will be ignored, Lagan called in. Authority reliable.

DWIEF'

c. The Tribune experts gave the following alphabets as the result of their decipherments:

AA	=	0	EN	=	ſ	IT	=	G	NS	=	នើ	PP	=	H	ສຣັ	=	Ч
AI	=	ប	\mathbf{EP}	=	С	мA		в	NY	=	Н	SH	8	Г	YE	=	F
EI	æ	Ι	IA	=	Κ	1.divi	85	G	\mathbf{FI}	=	Т	SN	=	Р	YI	*	X
Eia	=	V	Ili	=	S	1111	Ξ	J	ΡI	Ξ	R	SP	Ξ	7.1	ΥY	=	A
20	5	Ð	33	=	И	44	=	H	62	2	X	77	2	G	89	#	Y
25	-	Κ	34	Ξ	W	48	=	т	66	=	A	82	Ŧ	Ι	93	=	Ξ
27	-	ន	39	=	Ъ	52	=	U	68	72	F	84	76.	С	96	=	М
31	=	L	42	=	ъ	55	Ħ	0	75	-	в	87	55	V	99	=	J

- 77 -

They did not attempt to correlate these alphabets, or at least they say nothing about a possible relationship. The present author has, however, reconstructed the rectangle upon which these alphabets are based, and it is given herewith:

2d Letter or Number

H I S P A Y M E N T 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

Н	1										
	2					К		S			D
ន	3	L		N	W					P	
Р	4		R		H				Т		
A	5		ប			0					
Y	6		X				A		F		
М	7					B		G			
Е	8		Ι		C			V		Y	
N	9			E			М			J	
\mathbf{T}	0										

It is amusing to note that the conspirators selected as their key a phrase quite in keeping with their attempted illegalities; HIS PAYLENT; for bribery seems to have played a considerable part in that campaign. The blank squares in the diagram probably contained proper names, numbers, etc.

SECTION VIII

POLYLITERAL SUBSTITUTION WITH POLY-EQUIVALENT CIPHER ALPHABETS.

· .	,	-				•	: 1	, in ac	 amo mb
rurpose of providing poly-equivalent	cipher	alphabets	•			•	•	•	37
Solution of a simple example			•	· •	•			4	38
Solution of a more complicated examp					6	•	•	` •	39
A subterfuge to prevent decompositio		•							
component units	• • • •	• • • • •	•	• •	٠	•	0		40

37. Furpose of providing poly-equivalent cipher alphabets. - a. It has been seen that the characteristic frequencies of letters composing normal plain text, the associations they form in combining to form words, and the peculiarities certain of them manifest in such text all afford direct clues by means of which ordinary monoalphabetic substitution encipherments of such plain text may be more or less speedily solved. This has led to the introduction of simple methods for disguising or suppressing the manifestations of monoalphabeticity, so far as possible. Basically these methods are polyliteral and they will now be presented.

- 78 -

b. Polyliteral substitution may be of two types:

(1) That wherein each letter of the plain text is represented by one and only one polyliteral equivalent. For example, in the Francis Bacon cipher described in Par. 35 \underline{e} , the letter K_p is invariably represented by the permutation <u>abaab</u>. For this reason this type of system may be more completely described as monoalphabetic, <u>polyliteral</u> <u>substitution</u> with <u>mono-equivalent</u> cipher <u>alphabets</u>.

(2) That wherein, because of the large number of equivalents made available by the combinations and permutations of a limited number of elements, each letter of the plain text may be represented by several polyliteral equivalents which may be selected at random. For example, if 3-letter combinations are employed there are available 263 or 17,576 equivalents for the 26 letters of the plain text; they may be assigned in equal numbers of different equivalents for the 26 letters, in which case each letter would be representable by 676 different 3-letter equivalents; or they may be assigned on some other basis, for example, proportionately to the relative frequencies of plain-text letters. For this reason this type of system may be more completely described as monoalphabetic, polvliteral substitution with poly-equivalent cipher alphabets. Some authors term such a system "simple substitution with multiple equivalents"; others term it moncalphabetic substitution with variants. For the sake of brevity, the latter designation will be employed in this text.

c. The primary object of monoalphabetic substitution with variants is, as has been mentioned above, to provide several values which may be employed at random in a simple substitution of cipher equivalents for the plain-text letters. In this connection, reference is made to section X of Special Text 165, Elementary Military Cryptography, wherein several of the most common methods for producing and using variants are set forth.

<u>d</u>. A word or two concerning the underlying theory from the cryptanalytic point of view of monoalphabetic substitution with variants, may not be amiss. Thereas in simple or mono-equivalent, monoalphabetic substitution it is seen that:

(1) The same letter of the plain text is invariably represented by but one and always the same character of the cryptogram, and

(2) The same character of the cryptogram invariably represents one and always the same letter of the plain text;

- 79 -

in monoalphabetic substitution with variants;

(1) The same letter of the plain text may be represented by one or more different characters of the cryptogram, but

(2) The same character of the cryptogram nevertheless invariably represents one and always the same letter of the plain text.

38. Solution of a simple example. - <u>a</u>. The following cryptogram has been enciphered by the method explained in Par. 52 <u>b</u> of Special Text No. 165, Elementary Military Cryptography, and the steps in solution will now be scrutinized.

CRYPTOGRAM

68321	09022	48057	65111	88648	42036	45235	09144	05764	22684
00225	57003	97357	14074	82524	40768	51058	93074	92188	47264
09328	04255	06186	79882	85144	45886	32574	55136	56019	45722
76844	68350	45219	71649	90528	65106	11886	44044	89669	70553
18491	06985	48579	33684	50957	70612	09795	29148	56109	08546.
62062	65509	32800	32568	97216	44282	34031	84989	68564	53789
12530	77401	68494	38544	11368	87616	56905	20710	58864	67472
22490	09136	62851	24551	351,80	14230	50886	44084	06231	12876
05579	58980	29503	99713	32720	36433	82689	04516	52263	21175
06445	72255	68951	86957	76095	67215	53049	08567	9730	

b. Assuming that the foregoing remarks had not been made and that the cryptogram has just been submitted for solution with no information concerning it, the first step is to make a preliminary study to determine whether the cryptogram involves cipher or code. The cryptogram appears in 5-figure groups, which may indicate either cipher or code. A few remarks will be made at this point with reference to the method of determining whether a cryptogram composed of figure groups is in code or cipher, using the foregoing example.

c. In the first place, if the cryptogram contains an even number of digits, as for example 330 in the foregoing message, this leaves open the possibility that it may be cipher, composed of 165 pairs of digits; were the number of digits an exact <u>odd</u> multiple of five, such as 125, 135, etc., the possibility that the cryptogram is in code of the 5-figure group type must be considered. Noxt, a preliminary study is made to see if there are many repetitions, and what their characteristics are. If the cryptogram is code of the 5-figure group type, then such repetitions as appear should <u>generally</u> be in whole groups of five digits, and they should be visible in the text just as the message stands, unless the code message has undergone encipherment also. If the cryptogram is in cipher, then the repetitions should extend beyond the 5-digit groupings; if they

- 80 -

conform to any definite groupings at all they should for the most part contain even numbers of digits since each letter is probably represented by a pair of digits. If no clues of the foregoing nature are present, doubts will be dissolved by making a detailed study of frequencies.

<u>d</u>. A simple 4-part frequency distribution is therefore decided upon. Shall the alphabet be assumed to be a 25- or a 26- character one? If the former, then the 2-digit pairs from Ol to OO fall into exactly four groups each corresponding to an alphabet. Since this is the most common scheme of drawing up such alphabets, let it be assumed to be true of the present case. The following distributions result from the breaking up of the text into 2-digit pairs.

01 -///	26 -///	51 - 14/	76 - //// /
02 -	27 -	52 - /XL/	77 -/
03 -///	28 -/	53 - ///	78 -
04 -/	29 -/	54 -	79 -/
05 - M/	30 -///	55 <i>- ////</i>	80 - ///
06 - ////	31 -	56 -1741	81 -
07 - ///	32 - <i>M</i> //	57 - MUI	82 - ////
03 -	33 -/	58 - //	83 -/
09 -///	34 -/	59 -	84 -/// /
10 _///	35 -//	60 -	85 - /X//
11 - M/	36 - / X /	61 <i>-</i> .	86 -///
12 -///	37 -1	62 - //	87 -
13 -/	38 -	63 -	88 _////
14 -/	39 -/	64 -///	89 - M
15 -/	40 - ///	65 -	90 -/H/ /
16 -///	41 _	66 - /	91 -///
17 -	42 -////	67 -//	92 _/
18 -////	43 -/	, 68 - /////	93 -/
19 -	44 - MX//	69 - //	94 -/
20 -/	45 -/X//	70 -/	95 -///
21 -//	46 -///	71 -/	96 -
22 -/ X /	47 -	72 - ////	97 - 174/ /
23 -//	48 - ///	73 -	98 - /
24 -	49 - 114	74 - ////	99 -
25 -/	50 - ///	75 - /	00 -11

Fig. 16

<u>e</u>. If the student will bring to bear upon this problem the principles he learned in Section V of this text, he will soon realize that what he now has before him are four, simple, monoalphabetic frequency distributions similar to those involved in a monoalphabetic substitution cipher using standard cipher alphabets. The realization of this fact immediately provides the clue to the next step: "fitting each of the distributions to the normal" (See Par. 17 <u>b</u>). This can be done without difficulty in this case (remembering that a 25-letter alphabet is involved and assuming that

... - 81 -

I and J are the same letter) and the following alphabets result:

01 - I-J	26 - U	51 - N	·76 - E	f. The keywerd is seen to
02 - K	27 - V	52 - 0-	·77 - F	bo JUNE and the first fow groups
03 _ L	28 - W ·	53 🛥 P	78 - G	of the cryptogram dociphor as
04 – M	29 - X	54 - Q	79 - H	follows:
05 _ N	30 - Y	55 - R	80 - I-J	
06 - 0	31 - Z	56 - S	81 <u> </u> K .	· 68 32 10 90 22 48 05 76 51
07 - P	32 – A	57 - T	82 - L	EASTÆRNEN
08 - Q	33 - B	58 - U	83 - M	
09 - R	34 - C	59 - V	84 - N	11 88 64 84 20 36 45 23
10 - S	35 - D	60 - W	85 - 0	TRANCEOF
11 - T	36 - E	61 - X	86 - P	
12 - U	37 - F	62 – Y	87 - Q	g. From the dotailed pro-
13 - V	38 - G	63 [.] – Z	88 - R	coduro given above, the student
14 _ V	39 - H	64 – A	89 - S	should be able to draw his own
15 - X	40 - I-J	65 - B	90 - T	conclusions as to tho procoduro to
16 <u> </u> Y	41 - K	66 - C	91 - U	be followed in solving cryptograms
·17 - Z	42 - L	67 - D	92 - V	producod by mothods which aro more
18 _ A	43 - M	68 - E	93 - W	or less simple variations of that
19 - B	44 - N	69 - F	94 - X	just discussed. In this connection
20 - C	45 - 0	70 🕳 G	'95 - Y ·	ho is referred to Par. 53 of Special
21 _ D	46 - P	71 - H	96 - Z	Text No. 165, Elemontary Military
22 – E	47 - Q	72 - I-J		Cryptography, whorein a few of
23 - F I	48 - R	73 _ K	98 - B	these variations are montioned.
24 - G	49 - S	74 - L	99 - C	*
25 — Н	50 - T	75 - M	00 - D	39. Solution of a moro com-
	Fig.	17		plicatod example <u>a</u> . As soon as

plicatod example. - a. a boginnor in cryptography realizos

the consequences of the fact that letters are used with greatly varying frequencies in normal plain text, what sooms to him as a new idea very speedily comes to him. Why not disguise the natural frequencies by a system of substitution using many oquivalents, and lot the numbers of equivalents assigned to the various lotters be more or less in direct proportion to the normal frequencies of the lotters? Let E, for example, have 13 or more equivalents; T, 10; N, 9; etc., and thus (he thinks) the enemy cryptanalyst can have nothing in the way of tell-tale or characteristic frequoncios to use as an ontoring wodge.

If the text available for study is small in amount and if the <u>b</u>. variant values are wholly independent of one another, the problem can bocome exceedingly difficult. But in practical military communications such methods are rarely encountered, because the volume of text is usually great enough to pormit of the establishment of equivalent values. To illustrate what is monnt, suppose a set of cryptograms produced by the monoalphabeticvariant method described above shows the following two sets of groupings in tho toxt:

- 82 -

Sot A

<u>Sot</u> <u>B</u>

	1.
12-37-02-79-68-13-03-37-77	71-12-02-51-23-05-77
82-69-03-79-13-68-23-37-35	11-82-51-02-03-05-35
82-69-51-16-13-13-78-05-35	11-91-02-02-23-37-35
91-05-02-01-68-42-78-37-77	97-12-51-03-78-69-77

An examination of these groupings would lead to the following tentative conclusions with regard to probable equivalents:

12,	82,	91	01,	16,	79	03,	23,	78
05,	37,	69	13,	42,	68	35,	and	77
02.	and	51	-	•		•		

The establishment of these equivalencies would scener or later lead to the finding of additional sets of equal values. The completeness with which this can be accomplished will determine the ease or difficulty of solution. Of course, if many equivalencies can be established the problem can then be reduced practically to monoalphabetic terms and a speedy solution can be attained.

c. Theoretically, the determination of equivalencies may seem to be quite an easy matter, but practically it may be very difficult, because the cryptanalyst can never be <u>certain</u> that a combination showing what may appear to be a variant value is really such, and is not a different word. For example, take the groups

17-82-31-82-14-63, and 27-82-40-82-14-63

Here one might suspect that 17 and 27 represent the same letter, 31 and 40 another letter. But it happens that one group represents the word MANAGE, the other DAMAGE.

<u>d</u>. When reversible combinations are used as variants, the problem is perhaps a bit more simple. For example, using the accompanying Fig. 18 for encipherment, two messages with the same initial words, REFERENCE YOUR, may be enciphered as follows:

				,	
W,S	N	н	A	0	E
F,X	D	Т	М	F	Р
G,J	Q,	В	U	I	v
C,N	G	х	R	C	S
P,T	Z	L	Y	VI	К

K,Z Q,V B,H M,R D,L

Fig. 18

- 83 -

	R	Ī	3	F	ק	I	6	I	2	 ž	}	1	1		C		I	3		Y		0	Ţ	J		R	
(1)	NH	W	כ	R	X	L	S.	H	C	D	τ;	4	Z	N		R	S	L	H	P	ຣ	R	В	J	C	H	ł
(2)	СН	כ	V	R	Х	s	L	Н	N	D	7.1	z	W	N		R	L	S	н	Р	R	W	J	B	N	F	I

The experienced cryptanalyst, noting the appearance of the very first few groups, assumes that he is here confronted with a case involving biliteral reversible equivalents, with variants.

e. The probable-wrd method of solution may be used, but with a slight variation introduced by virtue of the fact that, regardless of the system, letters of low frequency in plain text remain infrequent. Hence, suppose a word containing low-frequency letters, but in itself a rather common word strikingly idiomorphic in character is sought as a "probable word"; for example, words such as <u>CAVALRY</u>, ATTACK, and PREPARE. Friting such a word on a slip of paper, it is slid one interval at a time under the text, which has been marked so that the high and lowfrequency characters are indicated. Each coincidence of a low-frequency letter of the text with a low-frequency letter of the assumed word is examined carefully to see whether the adjacent text letters correspond in frequency with the other letters of the assumed word; or, if the latter presents repetitions, whether there are correspondences between repetitions in the text and those in the word. Many trials are necessary but this method will produce results when the difficulties are otherwise too much for the cryptanalyst to overcome.

40. A subterfuge to prevent decomposition of cipher text into component units. - g. A few words should be added with regard to certain subterfuges which are sometimes encountered in monoalphabetic substitution with variants, and which, if not recognized in time, cause considerable delays. These have to deal with the insertion of nulls so as to prevent the cryptanalyst from breaking up the text into its real cryptographic units. The student should take careful note of the last phrase; the more insertion of symbols having the same characteristics as the symbols of the cryptographic text, except that they have no meaning, is not that is meant. This class of nulls rarely achieves the purpose for which they are intended. What is really meant can best be explained in connection with an example. Suppose that a 5 x 5 checkerboard design with the row and indicators shown in Fig. 19 is adopted for encipherment. Normally, the cipher units would consist of 2-letter combinations of the indicators, invariably giving the row indicator first (by agreement).

	RI	ΞF	ID	:A6	46	44					
	- 6	34 -									
	V A T F	G H O U	I P E R	W S B L	D M N C						
V,A,T,F	Á	В	C	D	E						
G ,H ,O ,U	F	G	н	I-J	K						
I,P,E,R	L	М	N	0	q						
W,S,B,L	ç	R	S .	Т	ប						
D,M,N,C	v	W	х	Y	Z						
	Fig. 19										

The phrase COMMANDER OF SPECIAL TROOPS might be enciphered thus:

С 0 М M А Ν D Æ R 0 F VI EΒ PHIU \mathbf{FT} AB \mathbf{T} -10 $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{W}$ GT ΙE

These would normally then be arranged in 5-letter groups, thus:

VIEBP HIUFT IEABT' MVOPV GT...

<u>b</u>. It will be noted, however, that only 20 of the 26 lotters of the alphabet have been employed as row and column indicators, leaving J, K, Q, X, Y, and Z unused. Now suppose these five letters are used as nulls, not in pairs, but as individual letters inserted at random just before the real text is arranged in 5-letter groups. Occasionally, a pair of nulls is inserted. Thus, for example:

VIEXB PHKIU FJXTI EAJBT MWOQP WGKTY

The cryptanalyst, after some study, suspecting a biliteral cipher, procoods to break up the text into pairs:

VI EX BP HK IU FJ XT IE AJ BT MW OQ PJ GK TY

Compare this set of 2-lotter combinations with the correct set. Only 3 of the 15 pairs are "proper" units. It is easy to see that without a knowledge of the <u>existence</u> of the nulls, and even with a knowledge, if he does not know <u>which</u> letters are nulls, the cryptanalyst would be confronted with a quite difficult problem, for the solution of which a very large amount of text might be necessary. The careful employment of the variants also very materially adds to the security of the method because repetitions can be rather effectively suppressed. 14

- .85 -

11

- E :

-

c. From the cryptographic standpoint, the fact that in this system the cryptographic text is more than twice as long as the plain text constitutes a serious disadvantage. From the cryptanalytic standpoint, the masking of the cipher units constitutes the most important source of strength of the system; this, coupled with the use of variants, makes it a quite difficult system to solve, despite its monoalphabeticity.

SECTION IX

17

Paragraph

POLYGRAPHIC SUBSTITUTION SYSTEMS

41. Monographic and polygraphic substitution systems. - a. The student is now referred to Sections VII and VIII of Special Text No. 166, Advanced Military Cryptography, wherein polygraphic systems of substitution are discussed from the cryptographic point of view. These will now be discussed from the cryptanalytic point of view.

<u>b</u>. Although the essential differences between polyliteral and polygraphic substitution are treated with some detail in Pars. 29 and 30 of Special Text No. 166, a few additional words on the subject may not be amiss at this point.

<u>__a</u>. The two primary divisions of substitution systems into (1) monoliteral and polyliteral methods and into (2) monographic and polygraphic methods are both based upon considerations as to the number of elements constituting the plain-text and the equivalent cipher-text units. In monoliteral as well as in monographic substitution, each plain-text unit consists of a single element and each cipher-text unit consists of a single element. The two terms monoliteral and monographic are therefore identical in significance, as defined cryptographically. It is when the terms polyliteral and polygraphic are examined that an essential difference is seen. In polyliteral substitution the plain-text unit always consists of a single element (one letter) and the cipher-text unit consists of a group of two or more elements; when biliteral, it is a pair of elements, when triliteral, it is a set of three elements, and sc on. In what will herein be designated as true or complete polygraphic substitution the plain-text unit consists of two or more elements forming an indivisible compound; the cipher-text unit usually consists of a corresponding number

- 86 -

of elements.¹ When the number of elements comprising the plain-text units is fixed and always two, the system is <u>digraphic</u>; when it is always three, the system is <u>trigraphic</u>, and so on.² It is important to note that in true or complete polygraphic substitution the elements combine to form indivisible compounds having properties different from those of either of the constituent letters. For example, in monoliteral substitution AB_p may yield XY_c and AC_c may yield XZ_c ; but in true digraphic substitution AB_p may yield XY_c and AC_p may yield QN_c . A difference in identity of one letter affects the whole result.³ An analogy is found in chemistry, when two elements combine to form a molecule, the latter usually having properties quite different from those of either of the constituent elements. For example: sodium, a metal, and chlorine, a gas, combine to form sodium chloride, common table salt. Furthermore, sodium and fluorine, also a gas similar in many respects to chlorine, combine to form sodium fluoride, which is much different from table salt. Partial and pseudo-polygraphic substitution will be treated under subparagraphs <u>d</u> and <u>e</u> below.

d. Another way of looking at polygraphic substitution is to regard the elements comprising the plain-text units as being enciphered individually and polyalphabetically by a fairly large number of separate alphabets. For example, in a digraphic system in which 676 pairs of plaintext letters are representable by 676 cipher-text pairs assigned at random, this is equivalent to having a sot of 26 different alphabets for enciphering one member of the pairs, and another set of 26 different alphabets for enciphering the other member of the pairs. According to this viewpoint the different alphabets are brought into play by the particular combination of letters forming each plain-text pair. This is, of course, quite different from systems wherein the various alphabets are brought into play by more definite rules; it is perhaps this very absence of definite rules guiding the selection of alphabets which constitutes the cryptographic strength of this type of polygraphic system.

e. When regarded in the light of the preceding remarks certain systems which at first glance seem to be polygraphic, in that groupings of plain-text letters are treated as units, on closer inspection are seen

The qualifying adverb "usually" is employed because this correspondence is not essential. For example, if one should draw up a set of 676 arbitrary single signs, it would be possible to represent the 2-letter pairs from AA to ZZ by single symbols. This would still be a digraphic system.

² In this sense a code system is merely a polygraphic substitution system in which the number of elements constituting the plain-text units is variable.

 3 For this reason the two letters are marked by a ligature, that is, by a bar across their tops.

·:.

.

۰.

.

ĩ

1

7

Э

£ . .

•

1.11

• :

to be only partially polygraphic, or pseudo-polygraphic in character. For example, in a system in which encipherment is by pairs and yet one of the letters in each pair is enciphered monoalphabetically, the other letter, polyalphabetically, the method is only <u>pseudo</u>-polygraphic. Cases of this type are shown in Par. 31 of Special Text No. 166, Advanced Military Cryptography. Again, in a system in which encipherment is by pairs and the encipherments of the left-hand and right-hand members of the pairs show group relationships, this is not pseudo-polygraphic but only <u>partially</u> polygraphic. Cases of this type are shown in Pars. 33 - 37, Special Text No. 166.

f. The fundamental purpose of polygraphic substitution is again the suppression of the frequency characteristics of plain text, just as is the case in monoalphabetic substitution with variants; but here this is accomplished by a different method, the latter arising from a somewhat different approach to the problem involved in producing cryptographic security. When the substitution involves replacement of <u>single</u> letters in a <u>monoalphabetic</u> system, the cryptogram can be solved rather readily. Basically the reason for this is that the principles of frequency and the laws of probability, applied to individual units of the text (single letters), have a very good opportunity to manifest themselves. A given volume of text of say <u>n</u> plain-text letters, enciphered purely monoalphabetically, affords <u>n</u> cipher characters, and the same number of cipher units. The same volume of text, enciphered digraphically, still affords <u>n</u> cipher characters but only <u>n</u> cipher units.

within which the laws of probability now apply has been cut in half. Furthermore, from the point of view of frequency, the very noticeable diversity in the frequencies of individual letters, leading to the marked crests and troughs of the monoliteral frequency distribution is no longer so strikingly in evidence in the frequencies of digraphs. Therefore, although true digraphic encipherment, for example, cuts the cryptographic textual units in half, the difficulty of solution is not doubled, but, if a matter of judgment arising from practical experience can be expressed or approximated mathematically, squared or cubed.

g. Sections VII and VIII of Special Text Nr. 166 show various methods for the derivation of polygraphic equivalents and for handling these equivalents in cryptographing and decryptographing messages. The most practicable of those methods are digraphic in character and for this reason their solution will be treated in a somewhat more detailed manner than will trigraphic methods. The latter can be passed over with the simple statement that their analysis requires much text to permit of solution by the frequency method, and hard labor. Fortunately, they are infrequently encountered because they are difficult to manipulate without extensive tables.¹ If the latter are required they must be compiled in the form of

¹A patent has been granted upon a rather ingenious machine for automatically accomplishing true polygraphic substitution, but it has not been placed upon the market. See U. S. Patent No. 1,845,947 issued in 1932 to Weisner and Hill. In U. S. Patent No. 1,515,680 issued to Henkels in 1924, there is described a mechanism which also produces polygraphic substitution.

- 88 -

a book or pamphlet. If one is willing to go that far, one might as well include in such document more or less extensive lists of words and phrases, in which case the system falls under the category of code and not cipher.

42. Tests for identifying digraphic substitution. - a. The tests which are applied to determine whether a given cryptogram is digraphic in character are usually rather simple. If there are plenty of repetitions in the cryptogram and yet the monolitoral-frequency distribution gives no clear-cut indications of monoalphabeticity; if most of the repetitions contain an even number of letters; and if the cryptogram contains an even number of letters, it may be assumed to be digraphic in nature.

b. The student should first try to determine whether the substitution is completely digraphic, or only partially digraphic, or pseudodigraphic in character, as are the cryptograms produced by the methods indicated in Par. 31 f to i of Special Text No. 166, Advanced Military Cryptography. As mentioned above, there are cases in which, although the substitution is effected by taking pairs of letters, one of the members of the pairs is enciphered monoalphabetically, the other member, polyalphabotically. A distribution based upon the letters in the odd positions and one based upon those in the even positions should be made. If one of these is clearly monoalphabetic, then this evidence that the message represents a case of pseudo-digraphism of the type here described. By attacking the monoalphabetic portion of the messages, solution can soon be reached by slight variation of the usual method, the polyalphabetic portion being solved by the aid of the context and considerations based upon the probable nature of the substitution chart (see Tables 2, 3, and 4 of Special Text No. 166). It will be noted that the charts referred to show definite symmetry in their construction.

 \underline{c} . On the other hand, if the foregoing steps prove fruitless, it may be assumed that the cryptogram is completely digraphic in character.

d. Just as cortain statistical tests may be applied to a cryptogram to establish its monoalphabeticity, so also may a statistical test be applied to a cryptogram for the purpose of establishing its digraphicity. The nature of this test and its method of application will be discussed in a subsequent text.

43. General procedure in the analysis of digraphic substitution ciphers. - <u>a</u>. The analysis of cryptograms which have been produced by digraphic substitution is accomplished largely by the application of the simple principles of frequency of digraphs, with the additional aid of such special circumstances as may be known to or suspected by the cryptanalyst. The latter refer to peculiarities which may be the result of the particular method employed in obtaining the equivalents of the plain-text digraphs in the cryptographing process. In general, however, only if there is sufficient text to disclose the normal phenomena of repetition will solution be feasible or possible. - 89 -

.

7

-

.~.

..

τ

1.2.1

2

٠.

والمراجعة والمراجع

b. However, when a digraphic system is employed in regular service, there is little doubt but that traffic will rapidly accumulate to an amount more than sufficient to permit of solution by simple principles of frequency. Sometimes only two or three long messages, or a half dozen of average length are sufficient. For with the identification of only a few cipher digraphs, larger portions of messages may be read because the skeletons of words formed from the few high-frequency digraphs very definitely limit the values that ban be inserted for the intervening unidentified digraphs. For example, suppose that the plain-text digraphs TH, ER, IN, IS, OF, NT, and TO have been identified by frequency considerations, cerroborated by a tentatively identified long repetition; and suppose also that the enemy is known to be using a method which yields reciprocal equivalents between plain and cipher-text digraphs, as for instance the quadricular table shown in Far. 31 a of Special Text No. 166. Suppose the message begins as follows (in which the assumed values have been inserted):

			OL NT						HN	IN IN	ΥL
	ku On	ΞĻ	Γ£	YW	••	••	••				

The words FOURTH INFANTRY REGIMENT are readily recognized. The reciprocal pairs EL_c and LE_c suggest ATTACK. The beginning of the message is now completely disclosed: FOURTH INFANTRY REGIMENT NOT YET IN POSITION TO ATTACK. The values more or less automatically determined are $VO_c = UR_p$, $AL_c = TY_p$, $HN_c = ET_p$, $VL_c = PO_p$, $OZ_c = TI_p$, $YW_c = CK_p$.

<u>ğ</u>. Once a good start has been made and a few words have been solved, subsequent work is quite simple and straightforward. A knowledge of enemy correspondence, including data regarding its most common words and phrases, is of great assistance in breaking down new digraphic tables of the same nature but with different equivalents.

<u>d</u>. The remarks made in above also apply to the details of solution in cases of partially digraphic substitution.

44. Analysis of digraphic substitution ciphers based upon 4-square checkerboard designs. - a. In Section VIII of Special Text No. 166, Advanced Military Cryptography, there are shown various examples of digraphic substitution based upon the use of checkerboard designs. These may be considered cases of partially digraphic substitution in that in the checkerboard system there are certain relationships between plain-text digraphs having common elements and their corresponding cipher-text digraphs, which will also have common elements. For example, take the following 4-square checkerboard design:

- 90 -

В	W	G	R	M	0	Р	A	ប	L
N	Y	v	x	Е	н	Z	Q	D	F
S	I	С	Т	ĸ	К	I	т	S	C
U	Р	L	A	0	М	W	R	В	G
D	Z	F	Q	н	E	Y	х	N	v
W	A	L	E	s	C	X	К	P	в
F	н	U	I	т	0	М	Y	D	v
P	X	В	К	C	S	A	E	W	L
N	Z	R	Q	G	G	Z	Q	Ŋ	R.
D	М	v	Y	0	т	н	I	F	ប

Fig. 20

Here $BC_p = OW_c$, $BO_p = OF_c$, $BS_p = OP_c$, $BG_p = ON_c$ and $BT_p = OD_c$. In each case when B_p is the initial letter of the plain-text pair, the initial letter of the cipher-text equivalent is O_c . This, of course, is the direct result of the method; it means that the encipherment is moncalphabetic for the first half of each of these <u>five</u> plain-text pairs, polyalphabetic for the second half. This relationship holds true for <u>four</u> other groups of pairs beginning with B_p . In other words, there are five alphabets employed, not 25. Thus, this case differs from the case discussed under Par. 42 <u>b</u> only in that the monoalphabeticity is not complete for one half of all the pairs, but only among the members of certain groups of pairs. In a completely digraphic system using a 676-cell randomized square, (for example, the cipher square illustrated in Par. 31 <u>a</u> of Special Text No. 166) such relationships are entirely absent and for this reason the system is cryptographically more secure than the checkerboard system.

<u>b</u>. From the foregoing, it is clear that when solution has progressed sufficiently to disclose a few values, the insertion of letters within the cells of the checkerboard design to give the plain-text and cipher relationships indicated by the solved values immediately leads to the disclosure of additional values. Thus, the solution of only a few values soon leads to the breakdown of the entire checkerboard design.

<u>c</u>. (1) The following example will serve to illustrate the procedure. Let the message be as follows:

•

4

` `I Z 3 4 5	678910 11 12 13 14 15	16 17 18 19 20	21 22 23 24 25	26 27 28 29 30
A. HFCAP	GOQIL <u>BSPK</u> M	NDUKE	онолг	BORÚŅ
в. бсісн	Q В Q <u>В Г Н Й</u> АГХ	S I O K O	QYFNS.	XMCĠY
C. XIFBE	XAFDX LPMXH	HRGKG	ÓK <u>ÓML</u>	FEQQI
D. <u>GOIH</u> M	UEORD CLTUF			
E. FLBUQ	FCHQO QMAFT	XSYCB	E P'F N <u>B</u>	<u>SPK</u> NU
F. QITXE	U <u>QMLF EQQIG</u>	<u>OI</u> EUE	ΗΡΙΑΝ	YTFLB
G. FEEPI	DHPCG NQIH <u>B</u>	<u>FHM</u> HF	ХСК-ИР	DGQPN
н. свсуь	QPNFN PNITC	RTENC	• B C N <u>Ť</u>	FHHAY
I. <u>ŽLQCI</u>	AAIQÚ CHTPC	BIFGW	KFCQS	LQMCP
J. TYCRQ	QDPRX FNQML	<u> </u>	CGIO <u>G</u>	<u>OIH</u> HF
K. IRCGG	GNDLN OZTFG	EERRP	IFHO <u>T</u>	FHHAY
L. ZLQCI	ÁAIQU CHTP			

(2) The cipher having been tested for standard alphabets (by the method of completing the normal components) and found to give negative results, a monoliteral-frequency distribution is made. It is as follows:

 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X
 X

Fig. 21

....

1

2.4

- 92 -

(3) At first glance this may appear to the untrained eye to be a monralphabetic frequency distribution but upon closer inspection it is noted that aside from the frequencies of four or five letters the frequencies for the remaining letters are . not very dissimilar. There are, in reality, no very marked crests and troughs, certainly not as many as would be expected. in a monoalphabetic substitution cipher of equal length.

λ.

. :

٦.

(4) The message is now carefully examined for repetitions of 4 or more letters. Here are all of them:

	-Frequency	Located	in	Lines .
TFHHAYZLQCIAAIQUCHTP (20 letters)	2	H and	ĸ	
QMLFEQQIGOI (11 letters)	. 2	C and	F	
XIFBEX (6 letters)	• • 2	C and	D	
Feqq	3	0, D,	F	•
QMLF	3	C, F,	J	
BFHM *	- 2	B and	G	
BSPK	2	A and	Е	
GOIH	. , 2	. Dand	J	

.Since there are quite a few repetitions, two of considerable . length, since all but one of them contain an even number of letters, and since the message also contains an even number . of letters, 344, digraphic substitution is suspected. The cryptogram is transcribed in 2-letter groups, for greater convenience in study. It is as follows:

Message transcribed in pairs

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
A.	HF	CA	FG	୦ର୍	$\mathbf{I}\mathbf{L}$	BS	PK	MN	DU	KE	OH	ЗN	FB	OR	UN
в.	ሪር	LC	нұ	ΒĴ	BF	HM	AF	XS	IO	KO	QY	FN	SX	MC	GY
C.	<u>xi</u>	FB	EX	Af	D X	$_{\rm LP}$	МХ	HH	RG	KG	QK	<u>QM</u>	LF	ΕQ	QI
D.	<u>G0</u>	IH	MU	EO	RD	$C\Gamma$	TU	FE	<u> </u>	CG	QN	HF	<u>x</u> ı	FB	EX
E.	FL	BU	QF	CH	3 0	QМ	AF	тх	SY	CB	EP	FN	<u>BS</u>	<u> </u>	NÜ
F.	QI	тх	EU	<u>QM</u>	LF	ΕQ	δī	GO	<u> </u>	UE	ΗP	IA	NY	TF	LE
G.	FE	EP	ID	HP	CG	NQ	IH	BF	HM	HF	xc	ĸu	PD	ଟ୍ୱର୍	PN
H.	CB	CĈ	LQ	PN	FN	PN	IT	OR	TE	NC	СВ	CN	TF	HH	AY
J.	<u>ZI</u>	ନ୍ତ	IA	<u>AI</u>	QU	CH	TP	CB	IF	G₩	KF	୯ଦୃ	SL	QM	CP
K.	OY	CR	ନ୍ଦୁ	DP	RX	FN	<u>QМ</u>	LF	ID	GC	CG	10	<u>G0</u>	IH	HF
L.	IR	СĞ	GG	ND	LN	0 Z	.TF	GE	ER	RP	IF	НΟ	TF	нн	AY
м	71.	00	Ŧ۸	٨Τ		сu	σm								

м. ZL QC IA AI QU CH TP

- 93 -

ŝ,

- **F**-

.

It is noted that all the repetitions listed above break up properly into digraphs except in one case, viz., FEQQ in lines C, D, and F. This seems rather strange, and at first thought one might suppose that a letter dropped out or was added in the vicinity of the FEQQ in line D. But it is immediately seen that the FEQQ in line D has no relation at all to the .F EQ Q. in lines C and F, and that the FEQQ in line D is merely an accidental repetition.

(5) A digraphic frequency distribution is made and is shown in. Fig. 22.

	A	В	Ċ	D"	Ê	F	G	H	I	K	Ľ.	M	N	0	P	ହ	R	S	т	ת	V	W	X	Y	Z
A						3			2															2	
В						2										1		2		1					
C	1	5					4	3			1		1			2	1								
D															1					1			1		
E														1	2	2	1			l			2		
F		3			ຂ						1		4												
G			1		1		1							3		,1						1		1	
Ħ						4		3				2		1	2	1									
I	3			2	1	2		3		/	1			2			1		1						
К					1	1	l							1						1					
L		1	1			3							1		1	1									
М			1										l							1			1		
N			1	1									-		••	•1				1				1	
Q								1								1	2							1	1
P				1			1			2			3												
Q			3			1			3	1		5	2	1		2				2				1	
R				1			1								1								1		
ន											1												1	1	
T					1	4									2					1			2		
U					1								1												
V																									
W																									
x			1						2									1			L	l			
Y																									
Z											2														

Fig. 22

;

• 🛓

- 94 -

(6) The appearance of the digraphic distribution for this message is quite characteristic of that for a digraphic substitution cipher. There are many blank cells; although there are many cases in which a digraph appears only once, there are quite a few in which a digraph appears two or three times, four cases in which a digraph appears four times, and two cases in which a digraph appears five times. The absence of the letter J is also noted; this is often the case in a digraphic system based upon a checkerboard design.

(7) In another common type of checkerboard system known as the Playfair cipher, described in Par. 46, one of the telltale indications besides the absence of the letter J is the absence of double letters, that is, two successive identical letters. The occurrence of the double latters GG, HH and QQ in the message under investigation eliminates the possibility of its being a Playfair cipher. The simplest thing to assume is that a 4-square checkerboard is involved. One with normal alphabets in Sections 1 and 2 is therefore set down (Fig. 23 a).



(8) The recurrence of the group QMLF, three times, and at intervals suggesting that it might be a sentence separator, leads to the assumption that it is the word STOP. The letters Q, M, L, and F are therefore inserted in the appropriate cells in Sections 3 and 2 of the diagram. Thus (Fig. 23 b):

.. . .

- 95 -

• #

:-:-





These placements seem logical. Moreover, in Section 3 the number of cells between L and Q is just one less than enough to contain all the letters M to P, inclusive, and suggests that either N or O is in the keyword portion of the sequence, that is, near the top of Section S. Without making a commitment in the matter, suppose both N and O, for the present, be inserted in the cell between M and P. Thus (Fig. 23 c):



Fig. 23 c.

- 96 -

(9) Now, if the placement of P in Section 3 is correct, the cipher equivalent of TH_p will be $P\Theta_c$, and there should be a group of adequate frequency to correspond. Noting that PN_c occurs three times, it is assumed to be TH_p , and the letter N is inserted in the appropriate cell in Section 4. Thus (Fig. 23 <u>d</u>):

	A	В	C	D	Έ						
	मि	G	Ħ	I-J	К						
1	L	M	N	0	Р					L	3
	ର୍	R	ន	T	υ	М	N	P	ର		
	V	W	x	Y	Z						
						A	В	C	D	E	
				N		P	G	H	I–J	ĸ	
4				F		L	М	N	0	Р	2
-			M			Q	R	s	Т	ប	
						V	W	X	Y	Z	

Fig. 23 d.

(10) It is about time to try out these ascumed values in the message. The proper insertions are made, with the following results:

	l	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
A.	HF	CA	PG	୦ର	IL	BS	PK	MN	DU	KE	OH	ÇN	FB	OR	UN
в.	QC	rc	HQ	BÒ	BF	ни	AF	ΧS	10	KO	QY	FN	SX	MC	GΥ
C.	XI_	FB	EX	AF	DX	LP	MX	НH	RG	KG	QK	QM ST	LF OP	EQ	QI
D.	GO	TH	MU	ĘO	ŖD	CL	<u>T</u> U	FE	ର୍ପୁ	CG	QN	HF	XI	FB	EX
E.	FL	BU	QF	CH	C0	OM ŠT	AF	TX	SY	СЭ	EP	FN	BS	PK	NU
F.	ΟΊ	TX	EU	OM Sm	LF	EQ	QI	GO	<u> </u>	UE	HP	IA	NY	TF	LB
G.	FE	EP	ID	ST HP	OP CG	NQ	IH	BF	HM	HF	XC	ĸu	PD	GQ	PN TH
H.	СВ	CQ	LQ	PN TH	FN	PN TH	IT	OR	ΤE	NC	CB	CN	TF	HH	AY
J.	<u> 71</u>	QC	IA	AI	QU	<u>CH</u>	TP	CB	IF	GW	KF	ርሪ	SL	OM ST	CB

.

.

.

•

à

2

.

4

7-

1 3 1 E 1 . . . ,

•

•

.

.

•

					-			-							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	io '	11	12	13	14	15
K.	OY	CR	ବୃତ୍	ĽΡ	R X	FN	QM ST	LF OP	ĪD	GC	CG	10	<u>G0</u>	<u>IH</u>	HF
L.	ÌR	ĊĠ	GG	ND	LN	02	TF	GE i	ER	RP	IF	HO	<u>TF</u>	нн	<u>AY</u>
М.	ZL	QC	IA	AI	QU	CH	TP		د .						
, ا بر	the Ass N in of it e wil the the the the the the the the the the	defolusiset I metatatione and the second sec	e. lowi i be ent a i be lrea f i be e th i be lrea f i be e th i be e th i be e th i be e th i be e th i be i c i f t i be e th i of t i be i f t i f i f i f t i f i f t i f i f i f i f i f i f i f i f i f i f	Beging so onset of the set of the	nine THAde Ttprne. Theorem is session DIF, TF, TF, TF, TF, TF, TF, TF, TF, TF, T	gnc NH T Tionas; T Tionas;	th g F N F N E N S as w S as w	roup PT Hanoport The set of the s	H4 AT print of the set	OK IK IK	ne m Ne m Sute in art fac in art art art fac in art fac in art f art art f art f art fac in art fac in art f art art f art art fac i	essa and ini we M this cover t t t t T t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	ge i the second of the second	s se lett in- ctio mn 4 thau uden thau ccur must is T is and G,	or n t t t

Let it be assumed that this is the case, and let the letters be placed in the appropriate cells in Section 3. Thus (Fig. 23 \underline{e}).

· -	A	В	С	D	E							
	F	G	н	I-J	К						• •	
· 1	L	М	N	0	P	F	G	н.	К	L	3	
۰.	2	R	S	т	U	М	N O	Р	Q			
	v	W	х	Y	Z						•	
						A	в	C	D	E.		
				N		F	G	н	I-J	к		
4				Ŧ		L	м	N	Ò	Þ	2	
•			М	Q		Q	R	S.	т	ប		
						v	W	х	Y	Z		
•												

Fig. 23 <u>e</u>.

Let the resultant derived values be checked against the frequency distribution. If the position of H in Section 3 is correct, then the digraph ON_p , normally of high frequency should be represented several times by HF_c . Reference to Fig. 22 shows a frequency of 4 times. And HM_c 2 occurrences, represents NS_p. There is no need to go through all the possible corroborations.

(12) Going back to the assumption that TH..TH PNFNPN is part of the expression .NORTHOFTHE. or CQLQPNFNPNIT

. SOUTHOFTHE., it is seen at once from Fig. CQLQPNFNPNIT

23 <u>e</u> that the latter is apparently correct and not the former, because LQ_c equals OU_p and not CR_p . If $\Theta S_p = CQ_c$, this means that the letter C of the digraph CQ_c must be placed in row 1 column 3 or row 2 column 3 of Section 3. Now the digraph CB_c occurs 5 times, CG_c , 4 times, CH_c , 3 times, CQ_c , 2 times. Let an attempt be made to deduce the exact position of C in Section 3 and the positions of B, G, and H in Section 4. Since F is already placed

- 99 --

. .



(moméry) is the specific field of the specific adaptive description of the specific and the field of the specific adaptive description of the specific adapt

- 100 -

(13) The identifications given by these placements are inserted in the text, and solution is very rapidly completed. The final checkerboard and deciphered text are given below.

				_		i			-		
	A	В	C	D	E	S	0	C	I	E	
	F	G	H	I-J	K	T	Y	A	в	D	
1	L	M	N	0	P	F	G	H	K	L	3
	Q	R	S	т	U	M	N	Р	Q	R	
	v	Ŵ	X	Y	Z	U	v	W	x	Z	
	E	X	P	ប	L	A	В	C	D	E	
	s	I	0	N	A	F	G	н	I-J	ĸ	ĺ
4	В	С	D	F	G	L	M	N	0	P	2
	H	ĸ	M	Q	R	Q	R	s	T	U	
	Т	v	W	Y	Z	v	W	x	Y	z	
			7	. 1	23	<u>h</u> .	,				

A.	H F C A P	G O Q I L	BSPKM	N D U K E	O H Q N F	BORUN
	O N E H U	N D R E D	FIRST	F I E L D	A R T I L	LERYF
В.	Q C L C H	Q B Q B F	H M A F X	SIOKO	QYFNS	X M C G Y
	R O M P O	S I T I O	N S I N V	ICINI	TYOFB	A R L O W
¢.	XIFBE	X A F D X	L P M X H	H R G K G	Q K Q M L	F E Ç Q I
	WILLB	E I N G E	N E R A L	S U P P O	R T S T O	P D U R I
D.	G O I H M	U E O R D	C L T U F	E Q Q C G	⊌NHFX	IFBEX
	N G A T T	A C K S P	E C I A L	A T T E N	TIONW	ILLBE
E.	F L B U Q	F C H Q O	Ç M A F T	X S Y C B	E P F N B	S P K N U
	P A I D T	O A S S I	S T I N G	A D V A N	C E O F F	I R S T B
F.	QITXE	U Q M L F	E Q Q I G	O I E U E	H P I A N	YTFLB
	RIGAD	E S T O P	D U R I N	G A Ď V A	N C E I T	WILLP
G	FEEPI	DHPCG	N Q I H B	F H M H F	X C K U P	DGQPN
	LACEC	ONCEN	T R A T I	O N S O N	W O O D S	NORTH
H.	C B C Q L	Q P N F N	PNITO	RTENC	C B C N T	F H H A Y
	A N D S O	U T H O F	THAYE	RFARM	A N D H I	L L S I X
J.	Z L Q C I	A A I Q U	СНТРС	BIFGW	KFCQS	L Q M C B
	Z E R O E	I G H T D	АЅНАЛ	NDONW	OOD,SE	A S T A N
К.	OYCRQ	Q D P R X	F N Q M L	́ I D G C	C G I O G	O I H H F
:	DWEST	T H.E R E	O F S T O	P C Э M M	E N C I N	G A T O N
L.	I R C G G	G N D L N	O Z T F G	E L R R P	I F H O T	F H H A Y
	E T E N P	M S M O K	E W I L L	B E U S E	D O N H I	L L S I X
Μ.	Z L Q C I Z E R O E	A A I Q U I G H T D	СНТР АЅНА	·		

<u>d</u>. (1) It is interesting to note how much simpler the matter becomes when the positions of the plain-text and cipher-text sections are reversed, or, what amounts to the same thing, when in encipherment the plain-text pairs are sought in the sections containing the mixed alphabets, and their
cipher equivalents are taken from the sections containing the normal alphabets. For example, referring to Fig. 23 <u>h</u>, suppose that sections 3-4 be used as the source of the plain-text pairs, and sections 1-2 as the source of the cipher-text pairs. Then $ON_p = DG_c$, $EH_p = AU_c$, etc.

101

(2) To solve a message enciphered in that manner, it is necessary morely to make a square in which all four sections are normal alphabets, and then perform two steps. First, the eigher text pairs are converted into their normal alphabet equivalents morely by "deciphering" the message with that square; the result of this operation yields two mondalphabets, one composed of the odd letters, the other of the even r letters. The second step is to solve these two monoalphabets.

(3) Where the same mixed alphabet is inserted in sections 3 and 4, the problem is still easier, since the letters resulting from the conversion into normal-alphabet equivalents all belong to the same, singlemixed alphabet.

45. Analysis of ciphers based upon other types of checkerboard designs. - The solution of cryptograms enciphered by other types of checkerboard designs is accomplished along lines very similar to those set forth in the foregoing example of the solution of a message propared by means of a 4-square checkerboard design. There are, unfortunately, no means or tests which can be applied to determine in the early stages of the analysis exactly what type of design is involved in the first case under study. The author freely admits that the solution outlined in subparagraph c is quite artificial in that nothing is demonstrated in step (7) that obviously leads to or warrants the assumption that a 4-square checkerboard is involved. This point was passed over with the quite bald statement that this was "the simplest thing to assume" - and then the solution proceeds exactly as though this more hypothesis has been definitely established. For example, the very first results obtained were based upon assuming that a cortain 4-letter repetition represented the word STOP and immediately inserting certain letters in appropriate cells in a 4-square checkerboard. Several more assumptions zero built on top of that and very rapid strides were made. What if it had not been a 4-square checkerboard at all? What if it had been a 2-square checkerboard of the type shown in

M	Â	N	<u>'</u> U	F	0	S	Q	L	Р
G	T	R	_I_	G	١,	ż	Y	V	Y
В	D	Е	H	ĸ	D	**	H	в	Ξ
L	0	Р	Ŋ	S	Λ	य	Ū	ħ	N
v	19	X	Y	4	T	G	I	C	R
	-								

Fig. 24?

The only defense that can be made of what may seem to the student to be purely arbitrary procedure based upon the author's advance information or knowledge is the following: In the first place, in order to avoid making the explanation a too-long-drawn-out affair, it is necessary, and pedagogical experience warrants, that certain alternative hypotheses be passed over in silence. In the second place, it may now be added, after the principles and procedure have been elucidated (which at this stage is the primary object of this text) that if good results do not follow from a first hypothesis, the only thing the cryptanalyst can do is to -102-

reject that hypothesis, and formulate a second hypothesis. In actual practice he may have to reject a second, third, fourth, ...<u>nth</u> hypothesis. In the end he may strike the right one - or he may not. There is no guaranty of success in the matter. In the third place, one of the objects of this text is to show how certain systems, if employed for military purposes, can readily be broken down. Assuming that a checkerboard system is in use, and that daily changes in keywords are made, it is possible that the traffic of the first day might give considerable difficulty in solution, if the type of checkerboard were not known to the cryptanalyst. But the second or third day's traffic would be easy to solve, because by that time the cryptanalytic personnel would have analyzed the system and thus learned what type of checkerboard the enemy is using.

46. Analysis of the Playfair cipher system. - a. An excellent example of a practical, partially digraphic system is the Playfair cipher.¹ It was used for a number of years as a field cipher by the British Army, before and during the World War, and for a short time, also during that war, by field units of the American Expeditionary Forces.

<u>b</u>. Fublished solutions² for this cipher are quity similar basically and vary only in minor details. The earliest, that by Lieut. Mauborgne, used straightforward principles of frequency to establish the values of three or four of the most frequent digraphs. Then, on the assumption that in most cases in which a keyword appears on the first and second rows, the last five letters of the normal alphabet, VWXYZ, will rarely be disturbed in sequence and will occupy the last row of the square, he "juggles" the letters given by the values tentatively established from frequency considerations, placing them in various positions in the square, together with VWXYZ, to correspond to the plain-text cipher relationships:tentatively established. - A later solution by Lieut. Frank Moorman, as doscribed in Hitt's Manual, assumes that in a Playfair cipher prepared by means of a - square in which the keyword occupies the first and second rows, if a digraphic frequency distribution is made, it will be found that the letters having the greatest combining power are very probably letters of the key. . The latest published solution by Lieut. Commander Smith is perhaps the

¹ This cipher was really invented by Sir Charles Wheatstone but receives its name from Lord Playfair, who apparently was its sponsor before the British Foreign Office. See Wemyss Reid, "Memoirs of Lyon Playfair", London, 1899.

Mauborgne, Lieut. J. O. An advanced problem in cryptography and its solution, Leavenworth, 1914.
Hitt, Captain Parker. Manual for the solution of military ciphers, Leavenworth, 1918.
Smith, Lieut. Commander W. V., U. S. N. In "Cryptography" by Anire Langie, translated by J. C. H. Macbeth, New York, 1922.

<u>.</u>.

.

. 1 -

most lucid and systematized of the three. He sets forth in definite language certain considerations which the other two writers certainly entertained but failed to indicate.

٩

<u>ار، ا</u>

-

c. The following details have been summarized from Communder Smith's solution:

 (1) The Playfair cipher may be recognized by virtue of the fact that it always contains an even number of letters, and that when divided into groups of two letters each, no group contains a repetition of the same letter, as NN or EE. Repetitions of digraphs, trigraphs, and polygraphs will be evident in fairly long messages.

(2) Using the square¹ shown in Fig. 25 <u>a</u>, there are two general cases to be considered, as regards the results of encipherment:

В	A	N	K	R
D	E	F	G	H
I-J	L	М	0	Ś
ប	P	Т	C	Y
ន	V	W	Х	Z

. Fig. 25 a.

Case 1. Letters at opposite corners of a rectangle. The following relationships are found:

 $TH_{p} = YF_{c}$ $HT_{p} = FY_{c}$ $YF_{p} = TH_{c}$ $FY_{p} = HT_{c}$

Reciprocity is complete.

Case 2. Two letters in the same line or column. The following relationships are found:

 $AN_p = NK_c$ $NA_p = KN_c$

¹ The Playfair square accompanying Commander Smith's solution is based upon the keyword BANKRUPTCY, "to be distributed between the first and fourth lines of the square." This is a simple departure from the original Playfair scheme in which the letters of the keyword are written from left to right and in consecutive lines from the top downward.

But NK_p does not = AN_c , nor does

 $KN_{D} = NA_{C}$

Reciprocity is only partial.

(3) The foregoing gives rise to the following.

RULE I:

(a) Regardless of the position of the letters in the square, if

1.2 = 3.4, then 2.1 = 4.3

(b) If 1 and 2 form possite corners of a rectangle, the following equations obtain.

> 1.2 = 3.42.1 = 4.33.4 = 1.24.3 = 2.1

(4) A letter considered as occupying a position in a row can be combined with but four other letters in the same row; the same letter considered as occupying a position in a column can be combined with but four other letters in the same column. Thus, this letter can be combined with only 8 other letters all told, under Case 2, above. But the same letter considered as occupying a corner of a rectangle can be combined with 16 other letters, under Case 1, above. Commander Smith derives from these facts the conclusion that "it would appear that Case 1 is twice as probable as Case 2." He continues thus:

"Now in the square, note that:

 $AN_{p} = NK_{c} \qquad EN_{p} = FA_{c}$ $GN_{p} = FK_{c} \qquad EM_{p} = FL_{c}$ $ON_{p} = MK_{c} \qquad also \qquad ET_{p} = FP_{c}$ $CN_{p} = TK_{c} \qquad EW_{p} = FV_{c}$ $XN_{p} = WK_{c} \qquad EF_{p} = FG_{c}$

"From this it is seen that of the 24 equations that can be formed when each letter of the square is 'employed either as the initial or final letter of the group, five

- 105 -

5

÷.

·---

۰.

will indicate a repetition of a corresponding letter of plain text.

"Hence, RULE II. - After it has been determined, in the equation 1.2 = 3.4, that, say, $EN_{\odot} = FA_{c}$, there is a probability of one in five that any other group beginning with F_{c} indicates EO_{p} , and that any group ending in A_{c} indicates ON_{p} .

"After such combinations as ER_p OR_p and EN_p have been assumed or determined, the above rule may be of use in discovering additional digraphs and partial words."¹

RULT III. - In the equation 1.2 = 3.4, 1 and 3 can never be identical, nor can 2 and 4 ever be identical. Thus, AN_p could not possibly be represented by AY_c , nor could ER_p be represented by KR_c . This rule is useful in .elimination of certain possibilities when a specific message is being studied.

¹. There is an error in this reasoning. Take, for example, the 24 equations having F as an initial letter:

Case				Case				Cas	е			Ca	ase			
_1	F₿c	Ξ	DNp	2	FE	16	ED	2	E	T :	= NĪ	XÎ.	1	FΧ	=	GW
2	FD	æ	ΞH [*]	1	FL	Ħ	EM	2	J	TW :	= N'	Т	1	\mathbf{FR}	=	HN
· 1				1	FP	72	\mathbf{ET}	1	I	FK :	= G!	N '	2	FH	11	ΞG
٠l	F ប	Ξ	\mathbf{DT}	1	FV	*	EW	2	I	FG :	= E	F	1	FQ	2	HM
l	FS ,	Ŧ	DW	2	FN	Ŧ	NW	1	I	FO :	= Gl	M	1	FΥ	=	\mathbf{HT}
٦	FA	-	EN	2	FM	z	NF	, I	ł	FC (= G.	r	l	FZ	÷	HW

Here, the initial letter F_c represents the following initial Θ_ps :

DÈNGH

It is seen that F_c represents D_p , N_p , G_p , H_p 4 times each, and E_n , 8 times. Consequently, supposing that it has been determined that $FA_c = EN_p$, the probability that F_c will represent E_p is not 1 in 5 but 8 in 24, or 1 in 3; but supposing that it has been determined that $FW_c = NT_p$, the probability that F_c will represent N_p is 4 in 24 or 1 in 6. The difference in these probabilities is occasioned by the fact that the first instance, $FA_c = EN_p$ corresponds to a Case 1 encipherment, the second instance, $FW_c = NT_p$, to a Case 2 encipherment. But there is no way of knowing initially, and without other data, whether one is dealing with a Case 1 or Case 2 encipherment. Only as an approximation, therefore, may one say that the probability of F_c representing a given Θ_p is 1 in 5.

- 106 -

RULE IV. - In the equation $1.2_{p} = 3.4_{c}$, if 2 and 3 are identical, the letters are all in the same row or column, and in the rolative order 124. In the square shown, $AN_{p} = NK_{c}$ and the absolute order is ANK. The relative order 124 includes five absolute orders which are cyclic permutations of one another. Thus: ANK--, NK--A, K--AN, --ANK, and -ANK-.

RULE V. - In the equation $1.2_{p} = 3.4_{c}$, if 1 and 4 are identical, the letters are all in the same row or column, and in the relative order 243. In the square shown, $\text{KN}_{p} = \text{RK}_{c}$ and the absolute order is NKR. The relative order 243 includes five absolute orders which are cyclic permutations of one another. Thus NKR--, KR--N, R--NK, --NKR, and -NKR-.

RULE VI. - "Analyze the message for group recurrences. Select the groups of greatest recurrence and assume them to be high-frequency digraphs. Substitute the assumed digraphs throughout the message, testing the assumptions in their relation to other groups of the cipher. The reconstruction of the square proceeds simultaneously with the solution of the message and aids in hastening the translation of the cipher."

d. (1) When solutions for the Playfair cipher system were first developed, based upon the fact that the letters were inserted in. the cells in keyword-mixed order, cryptographers thought it desirable to place stumbling blocks in the path of such solution by departing from strict, keyword-mixed order. Playfair squares of the latter type are designed as "modified Flayfair squares". One of the simplest methods is illustrated in Fig. 25, wherein it will be noted that the last five letters of the keyword proper are inserted in the fourth row of the square instead of the second, where they would naturally fall. Another method is to insert the letters within the cells from left to right and top downward but use a sequence that is a keyword-mixed sequence developed by a columnar transposition based upon the keyword proper. Thus, using the keyword BANKRUPTCY:

> 2 15479 3 10 6 8 BANKRUPT С Ү DE F G H I L M 0 0 S v W Х Z

Sequence: A E V B D S C O K G X N F W P L R H Z T M U I Y Q

- 107 -

Playfair Square

•	A	E	V	В	D
•	S	C	0	K	G
	X	N	F	. W	P
	L	R	H	Z	T
	M	U	I	Y	Q

Fig. 25 <u>b</u>

(2) In the foregoing square practically all indications that the square has been developed from a keyword have disappeared. The principal disadvantage of such an arrangement is that it requires more time to locate the letters desired, both in cryptographing and decryptographing, than it usually does when a semblance of normal alphabetic order is preserved in the square.

(3) Note the following three squares:

ZTLRH	AEVBD	NFWPX
YQMUI	SCOKG	RHZTL
BDAEV	XNFWP	UIYQM
KGSCQ	LRHZT	EVBDA
WPXNŦ	MUIYQ	COKGS
Fig. 25 c	Fig. 25 d	Fig. 25 e

At first glance they all appear to be different, but closer examination shows them to be cyclic permutations of one another and of the square in Fig. 25 b. They - yield identical equivalents in all cases. However, if an attempt be made to reconstruct the original keyword, it would be much easier to do so from Fig. 25 b than from any of the others, because in Fig. 25 b the keywordmixed sequence has not been disturbed as much as in Figs. 25 c, d, e. In working with Playfair ciphers, the student should be on the lookout for such instances of cyclic permutation of the original Playfair square, for during the course of solution he will not know whether he is building up the original or an equivalent, cyclic permutation of the original square; only after he has completely reconstructed the square will he be able to determine this point.

e. (1) The steps in the solution of a typical example of this cipher may be useful. Let the message be as follows:

L

- 108 -

.

	12345	678910	11 12 13 14 15	16 17 18 19 20	21. 22 23 24 25	\$6 27 28 29 30
Α.	ντQΕυ	HIOFT	сн <u>х s с</u>	AKTVT	RAZEV	TAGAE
Β.	ОХТҮМ	HCRLZ	ZTQTĎ	Ù M C Y C	хстсм	түсги
C.	SNOPD	GXV <u>X</u> S	CAKTV.	<u></u>	тŹРТ₩	ZFNBG
D.	ΡΤΓΚΧ	IXBPR	ZOEPU	TOLZE	KTTCS	N H C Q M
E.	VTRKM	WCFZU	внтуу	ABGIP	RZKPC	QFNLV
F.	υτοχο	Z <u>FACX</u>	XCPZX	нсумо	ΤΥΟΙG	ххіін
G.	тмѕм <u>х</u>	CPTOT	<u>сх</u> отт.	CYATE	XH <u>FAC</u>	XXCPZ
н.	<u>хн</u> үст	XWLZT	SGPZT	VYWCE	TWGCC	мвнмQ
J.	Y X Z P ₩	GRTIV	UXPŬM	QRKMW	CXTMR	SWGHB
K.	<u>X C P T O</u>	<u>TCX</u> OT	MIPYD	NFGKI	TICIULX	UETPX
L.	XFSRS	UZTDB	HOZIG	XRKIX	ZPPVZ	I D U H Q
М.	отктк	сснх,х	à	• • ~~		

(2) Without going through the preliminary tests in detail, with which it will be assumed that the student is now familiar,¹ the conclusion is reached that the cryptogram is digraphic in nature, and an ordinary, simple digraphic frequency distribution is made.

٩.

See Par. 48 <u>c</u>.

1

•

6657 N 489

· , -

ь.

.

_ 109 _

-

	A	В	C	D	Ë	F	G	н	I	K	L	M	Ŋ	0	Р	Q	R	ຮ້	Т	ឋ	V	W	Х	Y	Z
A					1		1																		
В							2	2																	
C	2				1	1		2				1			-	1	1						5	1	
D		1					1						1				•			2					
E					L	L					.			_	1				1						
F	2						1						2						1						
G			1								L												2		
H		1	1											1		1									
I				_		L		1						1	1						1		1		
К	ŀ		1						_1_						1				4						
L											L	<u> </u>									lı				1
M			1					11	11							2			1			2			
N						L		 .						1 _						L					
0								1			3	L			1	L			6			Ŀ	2		
P										1			L	L			1		4	2	1		1	1	3
ູ					1	L_				L		1							1						
R	1									4		L						1	1		·				1
S						L	1					1	2				1			1					
т			3		1		1		L		L	2	L								2	1	1	2	1
U						L		1				L.							1				1		1
V									L.		L _								5						
W							2				1	L													1
х ॅ		гı	5			1		3	2									2		1	1				
Y	2		3																			1	1		
Z					2				2					1	2				3	2					

· ---

1

ı

.

-y -

.

....

.

• -

•

1

.

÷ .

-. "

- 110 -

Since there are no double-letter groups, the conclusion is reached that a Playfair cipher is involved and the message is rewritten in digraphs.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
A.	VT	QE	UH	10	FT	CH	<u>xs</u>	CA	KT	VT	RA.	ΖΞ	VT	AG	AE
в.	оx	ТΥ	MH	CR	LZ	ZT	QT	DU	MC	YC	XC	TG	MT	YC	ZU
C.	SN	OP	DG	xv	<u>xs</u>	CA	KT	VT	PK	PU	TZ	PT	WZ	FN	PG
D.	PT	RK	XI	ХB	PR	zo	EP	UT	OL	ZE	KT	тC	SN	HC	QM
E.	VT	RK.	MW	CF	ZU	BH	τv	YA	ВG	IP	RZ	KP	CQ	FN	ΓÅ
F.	٥x	OT	U Z	FA	CX	xc	PZ	X H	CY	NO	ΤY	сг	GХ	XI	IH
G.	TM	SM	<u>xc</u>	PT	OT	<u>_cx</u>	OT	TC	YA	ΤE	ХН	FA	CX	xc	PZ
H.	<u>xн</u>	YC	тх	WL	ZT	SG	PZ	TV	_YW	CE	TW	GC	CM	BH	MQ
J.	YX	ZP	WG	RT	IV	UX	PU	MQ	RK	MW	сх	TM	RS	WG	HB
ĸ.	<u>xc</u>	PT	OT	CX	OT	MI	РҮ	DN	FG	ΚI	TC	OL	XU	ET	PX
L.	XF	SR	ទប	ZT	DB	но	ZI	GX	RK	IX	ZP	PV	ZI	DU	HQ
M.	OT	KT	KC	CH	хх										

(3) The following three fairly lengthy repetitions are noted:

.

Lines F:	OT	UZ	FA	сх	XC	P7.	хн	CY	NO
T •	C1	02	<u> </u>	$\xrightarrow{\circ x}$		<u></u>	- 1011	<u> </u>	
G:	TE	ХH	<u>FA</u>	CX	XC	PZ	<u>XH</u>	YC	ТХ
A:	FT	CH	<u>xs</u>	CA	KT	VT	RA	ZE	
C:	DG	XV	XS	CA	KT	VT	PK	PU	
G:	TM	SM	XC	\mathbf{PT}	OT	CX	OT	TC	
К:	ΨG	HB	<u>XC</u>	PT	CT	CX	$_{\rm OT}$	MI	
					R		~		

The first long repetition, with the sequent reversed digraphs CX and XC immediately suggests the word BATTALION, split up into -B AT TA LI ON

-

- 111 -

and the sequence containing this repetition in lines F and G becomes as follows:

4

	-							·					
	Line	F:	0X	\mathbf{OT}	UŻ	FA	CX	XC	PZ	XH	CY	NO	TE
~ •								$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}$			•		
						•		•				•	
	Line	G:	YA	TE				XC TA			YC	ТХ	WL.

(4) Because of the frequent use of numerals before the word BATTALION and because of the appearance of ON before this word in line G, the possibility suggests itself that the word before BATTALION in line G is either ONE or SECOND. The identical digraph FA in both cases gives a hint that the word BATTALION in line F may also be preceded by a numeral; if ONE is correct in line G, then THREE is possible in line F. On the other hand, if SECOND is correct in line G, then THIRD is possible in line F. Thus:

Line F: lst hypothesis 2nd hypothesis	 $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	RE	EB		PZ LI	СY	NO	TE
Line G: lst hypothesis 2nd hypothesis	 	ON	ΈB		PZ LI	YC	ŤΧ	WL.

First, note that if either hypothesis is true, then $OT_c = TH_p$. The frequency distribution shows that OT occurs 6 times and is in fact the most frequent digraph in the message. Moreover, by Rule I of subparagraph <u>b</u>, if $CT_c = TH_p$ then $TO_c = HT_p$. Since HT_p is a very rare digraph in normal plain text, TO_c should either not occur at all in so short a message or else it should be very infrequent. The frequency distribution shows its entire absence. Hence, there is nothing inconsistent with the possibility that the word in front of BATTALION in line F is THREE or THIRD, and some evidence that it is actually one or the other.

(5) But can evidence be found for the support of one hypothesis against the other? Let the frequency distribution be examined with a view to throwing light upon this point. If the first hypothesis is true, then $UZ_c = RE_p$, and, by Rule I, $ZU_c = ER_p$. The frequency distribution shows but one occurrence of UZ_c and but two occurrences of ZU_c . These do not look very good for RE and ER. On the other hand, if the 2nd hypothesis is true, then $UZ_c = IR_p$ and, by Rule I, $ZU_c = RI_p$. The frequencies are much more favorable in this case. Is there anything inconsistent with the assumption, on the basis of the 2nd hypothesis, that $TE_c = EC_p$? The frequency distribution shows no inconsistency, for TE_c occurs once and ET_c (= CE_p , by Rule I) occurs once. As regards whether $FA_c = EB_p$ or DB_p , both hypotheses are tenable; possibly the 2nd hypothesis is a shade better than the lst, on the following reasoning.

- 112 -

By Rule I, if $FA_c = EB_p$ then $AF_c = EE_p$, or if $FA_c = DB_p$ then $AF_c = BD_p$. The fact that no AF_c occurs, whereas at least one EE_p may be expected in this message, inclines one to the 2nd hypothesis, since BD_p is very rare.

(6) Let the 2nd hypothesis be assumed to be correct. The additional values are tentatively inserted in the text, and in lines G and K two interesting repetitions are noted:

Line	G:	TM	SM										XC TA		
Line	K:	WG	ΗB		OT TH		MI.	РҮ	DN	FG	KI	TC	OL	X U	ET

This certainly looks like STATE THAT THE ..., which would make $TE_p = PT_c$. Furthermore, in line G the sequence STATETHATTHE..SECONDBATTALION can hardly be anything else than STATE THAT THEIR SECOND BATTALION, which would make $TC_c = EI_p$ and $YA_c = RS_p$. Also $SM_c = -S_p$.

(7) It is perhaps high time that the whole list of tentative equivalent values be studied in relation to their consistency with the positions of letters in the Playfair square; moreover, by so doing, additional values may be obtained in the process. The complete list of values is as follows:

Assumed values	Derived by Rule I
$AT_p = CX_c$	$TA_p = XC_c$
$LI_p = PZ_c$.	ILp = ZPc
ON _p = XH _c	$NO_p = HX_c$
$TH_p = OT_c$	HT _p = TO _c
$IR_p = UZ_c$	$RI_p = ZU_c$
$DB_p = FA_c$	$BD_p = AF_c$
$EC_p = TE_c$	$CE_p = ET_c$
$_{\rm TE}_{\rm p} = {\rm PT}_{\rm c}$	$_{\rm erp}$ = TP _c
$EI_p = TC_c$	IE _p = CT _c
$RS_p = YA_c$	$SR_p = AY_c$.
$-S_p = SM_c$	s _{-p} = ms _c

•

REF ID:A64644'

- 113 -

74.

2

1

4

· · · · ·

۶.

(8) By Rule V, the equation $TH_p = OT_c$ means that H, T, and O are all in the same row or column and in the relative order 243; similarly, C, E, and T are in the same row or column and in the relative order 243. Further E, P, and T are in the same row and column; and their relative order is also 243. That is, these sequences must occur in the square:

(1)(2)(3) HT0.., or CET.., or ETP.., or T0..H, or ET..C, or TP.E, or 0..HT, or T. CE, or P.ET, or •••• H T O _ •• . . CET, or . • ETP, or . НТО. . ĈĒT. .ETP.

(9) Noting the common letters E and T in the second and third sets of relative orders, these may be combined into one sequence of four letters. Only one position remains to be filled and noting, in the list of equivalents that $EI_p = TC_c$, it is obvious that the letter I belongs to the CET sequence. The complete sequence is therefore as follows:

C	Е	Т	P	Ι	,	or
E	Т	Р	I	С	,	or
						or
P	I	C	Ε	т	,	or
I	С	E	т	P		

(10) Taking up the HTO sequence, it is noted, in the list of equivalents that $ON_p = XH_c$, an equation containing two of the three letters of the HTO sequence. From this it follows that N and X must belong to the same row or column as HTO. The arrangement must be one of the following:

Н	Т	0	Х	Ν	
т	0	Х	Ν	H	
0	Х	N	H	т	
Х	Ν	H	т	0	
Ν	H	\mathbf{T}	0	х	

(11) Since the sequence containing HTOXN has a common letter (T) with the sequence CETPI, it follows that if the HTOXN sequence occupies a row, then the CETPI sequence must occupy a column; or, if the HTO sequence occupies a column, then the CETPI sequence must occupy a row; and they may be combined by means of their common letter, T. Simple calculation will show that the two sequences may be combined in 50 different ways, all of them yielding identical sets of equivalents. -114-

н

Here are a few of them:

	(1)							
	C							
	CEF							
1	Т	0	X	N	H			
	P							
	I							

2



3)(4)	
CI III	Ĉ
E	E T
E T C X X N H	T
P	Ρ
I	I

	(5)							
	1					C		
OXNHT						E		
	i	0	Х	N	Н	Т		
P						Ρ		
IIII						I		







(12) Before trying to discover means whereby the actual cr absolute arrangement may be detected from among the full set of 50 possible arrangements, the question may be raised: is it necessary? Since any one of the 50 arrangements will yield the same equivalents as any of the remaining 49, perhaps a relative arrangement will do.

(13) Let arrangement 13 be arbitrarily selected for trial.



(14) What additional lettors can be inserted, using as a guide the list of equivalents in subparagraph (7)? There is $AT_p = CX_c$, for example. It contains only one letter, A, not in the arrangement selected for trial, and this letter may immediately be placed, as shown:

1			Ρ		
			I		
			C		A
į			E		
i	N	H	T	0	X

Scanning the list for additional cases of this type, none are found. But seeing that several high-frequency letters have already been inserted in the square, perhaps reference to the cryptogram itself in connection with values derived from these inserted letters may yield further clues. For example, the vowels A, E, I, and O are all in position, as are the very frequent consonants N and T. The following combinations may be studied:

 AT_p (= CX_c), TA_p (= XC_c), ON_p (= XH_c), TE_p (= PT_c) and ET_p (= TP_c) have already been inserted in the text. Of the others, only OX_c (= TO_p) occurs two times, and this value can be at once inserted in the text. But can the equivalents of AN, EN, or IN bo found from frequency considerations? Take EN_p , for example; it is represented by $?T_c$. What combination of ?T is most likely to represent EN_p among the following candidates:

 KT_c (4 times); by Rule I, NE_p would = TK_c (no occurrences) VT_c (5 times); " " NE_p " = TV_c (2 times) ZT_c (3 times); " " NE_p " = TZ_c (1 time)

: 1

÷.,

27

- 116 -

 VT_c cortainly looks good: it bogins the message, suggesting the word ENEMY; in line H, in the sequence PZTV would become LINE. Let this be assumed to be correct, and let the word ENEMY also be assumed to be correct. Then $EM_p = QE_c$ and the square then becomes as shown herewith:

		Р		
		Ι		
		C		Α
V	М	E	Q	
N	H	Т	0	X

(15) In line E is seen the following sequence:

Lino E: VT RK MW CQ CFZU BH ΤV Y. BG ΙP RZKΡ FN LV EN RI NE RS PT Е

The sequence RI..NERS .. PT suggests PRISONERS CAPTURED, as follows:

2

MW CF ZU RH TV YA BG IP RZ KP P RI SO NE RS CA PT UR ED

This gives the following new values: $-P_p = CF_c$; $SO_p = BH_c$; $CA_p = BG_c$; $UR_p = RZ_c$; $ED_p = KP_c$.

The letters B and G can be placed in position at once, since the positions of C and A are already known. The insertion of the letter B immediately permits the placement of the letter S, from the equation $SO_p = BH_c$. Of the remaining equations only $ED_p = KP_c$ can be used. Since E and P are fixed, and are in the same column, D and K must be in the same column, and moreover the K must be in the same row as E. There is only one possible position for K, viz., immediately after Q. This automatically fixes the position of D. The square is now as shown herewith:

		Р		D
		I		
G	S	С	В	A
V	M	E	Q	ĸ
N	H	Т	0	X

(16) A review of all equations, including the very first ones established, gives the following which may now be used: $PB_p = FA_c$; $RS_p = YA_c$. The first permits the immediate placement of F; the second, by elimination of possible positions, permits the placement of both R and Y. The square is now as shown herewith: - 117 -

و الإمامين الم

. .

		P	F	D	ľ
	Y	I		R	
G	S	C	В	A	ľ
V	M	E	Q	K	
N	Н	Т	0	X	

Once more a review is made of all remaining thus far unused equations. $LI_p = PZ_c$ now permits the placement of L and Z. $IR_p = UZ_c$ now permits the placement of U, which is confirmed by the equation $UR_p = RZ_c$ from the word C.PTURED.

L		Р	F	D
Z	Y	I	U	R
G	S	C	B	Â
V	М	E	Q	K
N	H	Τ	0	X

There is then only one cell vacant, and it must be occupied by the only letter left unplaced, viz., W. Thus the whole square has been reconstructed, and the message can now be decryptographed.

(17) Is the square just reconstructed identical with the original, or is it a cyclic permutation of a keyword-mixed Playfair square of the type illustrated in Fig. 25b? Even though the message can be read with ease, this point is still of interest. Let the sequence be written in five ways, each composed of five partial sequences made by cyclicly permuting each of the horizontal rows of the reconstructed square. Thus:

	Row 1	Row 2	Rew 3	Rew 4	Rew 5
(a)	LWPFD 2	LYIUR (GSCBÀ V	MEQK N	нтох
(b)	WPFDL	YIURZ (SCBAG M	ЕQКV _. н	тохи
(c)	PFDLW I	LURŻY (CBAGS E	чкум т	охин
(d)	FDLWP	JRZYI	BAGSC Q	KVME O	хинт
- (o)	DLWPF H	RZYIU .	AGSCB K	VMEQ X	мнт о

By experimenting with these five sequences, in an endeavor to reconstruct a transposition rectangle conformable to a keyword sequence, the last sequence yields the following:

 P
 Y
 A
 C
 M
 N

 D
 F
 I
 G
 B
 E
 H

 L
 R
 U
 S
 K
 Q
 T

 W
 Z
 V
 X
 O

- 118 -

By shifting the 0 from the last position to the first, and rearranging the columns, the following is obtained:

```
2 5 3 6 1 4 7
C O M P A N Y
B D E F G H I
K L Q R S T U
V W X Z
```

The original square must have been this:

A	G	S	C	Б
Κ	۷	М	E	Q
X	N	H	Т	0
D	L	Ŵ	Р	F
R	Z	Y	I	U

<u>f</u>. Continued practice in the solution of Playfair ciphers will make the student quite expert in the matter and will enable him to solve shorter and shorter messages. Also, with practice it will become a matter of indifference to him as to whether the letters are inserted in the square with any sort of regularity, such as simple keyword-mixed order, columnar transposed keyword-mixed order, or in a purely random order.

g. It may porhaps soom to the student that the foregoing steps are somewhat too artificial, a bit too "cut and dried" in their accuracy to portray the process of analysis, as it is applied in actuality. For example, the critical student may well object to some of the assumptions and the reasoning in stop (5) above, in which the words THREE and ONE (1st hypothesis) were rejected in favor of the words THIRD and SECOND (2nd hypothesis). This rested largely upon the rejection of RE, and ER, as the equivalents of UZ_c and ZU_c , and the adoption of IR_p and RI_p as their equivalents. Indeed, if the student will examine the final message with a critical eye he will find that while the bit of reasoning in step (5) is perfectly logical, the assumption upon which it is based is in fact wrong, for it happens that in this case ER occurs only once and RE, does not occur at all. Consequently, although most of the reasoning which led to the rejection of the 1st hypothesis and the adoption of the 2nd was logical, it was in fact based upon erroneous assumption. In other words, despite the fact that the assumption was incorrect, a correct deduction was made. The student should take note that in cryptanalysis situations of this sort are not at all unusual. Indeed they are to be expected and a few words of explanation at this point may be useful.

h. Cryptanalysis is a science in which a very large role is played by making deductions from observational data and the deductions usually rest upon assumptions. It is most often the case that the cryptanalyst is forced to make his assumptions upon a quite limited amount of text.

- 119 -

It cannot be expected that assumptions based upon statistical generalizations will always hold true when applied to data comparatively very much smallor in quantity than the total data used to derive the generalized rules. Consequently, as regards assumptions made in specific mossages, most of the time they will be correct, but occasionally they will be incorrect. In cryptanalysis it is often found that among the correct deductions there will be cases in which subsequently discovered facts do not bear out the assumptions on which the deduction was based. Indeed, it is sometimes true that if the facts had been known before the deduction was made, this knowledge would have prevented making the correct deduction. For example, suppose the cryptanalyst had somehow or other devined that the message under consideration contained no RE, only one ER, one IR, and two RI's (as is actually the case). He would certainly not have been able to choose between the words THREE and ONE (1st hypothesis) as against THIRD and SECOND (2nd hypothesis). But bocause he assumes that there should be more ERp's and REp's than IR's and RI's in the message, he deduces that UZ_c cannot be RE_p, rejects the 1st hypothesis and takes the 2nd. It later turns out, after the problem has been solved, that the deduction was correct, although the assumption on which it was based (expectation of more frequent appearance of RE_n and ER_p) was not in fact true in this particular case. The cryptanalyst can only hope that the number of times when his deductions are correct, even though based upon assumptions which later turn out to be erroneous, will abundantly exceed the number of times when his deductions are wrong, even though based upon assumptions which later prove to be correct. If he is lucky, the making of an assumption which is really not true will make no difference in the end and will not delay solution; but if he is specially favored with luck, it may actually help him solve the message -- as was the case in this particular oxample.

i. Another comment of a general nature may be made in connection with this specific example. The student may ask what would have been the procedure in this case if the message had not contained such a tell-tale repetition as the word E.TT.LION, which formed the point of departure for the solution, or, as it is often said, permitted an "entering wedge" to be drivon into the message. The answer to his query is that if the word BATTALION had not been repeated, there would probably have been some other repetition which would have permitted the same sort of attack. If the student is looking for cut and dried, straightforward, unvarying methods of attack, he should remember that cryptanalysis, while it may be considered a branch of mathematics, is not a science which has many "general solutions" such as are found and expected in mathematics proper. It is inhoront in the very nature of cryptanalytics that, as a rule, only general principlos can be established; their practical application must take advantage of peculiarities and particular situations which are noted in "specific messages. This is especially true in a text on the subject. The illustration of a general principle requires a specific example, and the latter must of necessity manifest characteristics which make it different from any other example. The word BATTALION was not purposely repeated

ŝ

REF ID:A64644`

- 120 -

in this example in order to make the demonstration of solution easy: "it just happened that way". In another example, some other entering wedge would have been found. The student can be expected to learn only the <u>general principles</u> which will enable him to take advantage of the <u>specific characteristics</u> manifested in <u>specific cases</u>. Here it is desired to illustrate the general principles of solving Playfair ciphers and to point out the fact that entering wedges must and can be found. The specific nature of the entering wedge varies with specific examples.

SECTION X

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Paragraph

Special remarks concerning the initial classification of

cryptograms			47
Ciphers employing characters other than letters or figures			48
Concluding remarks concerning monoalphabetic substitution.	٠	•	49
Analytical key for cryptanalysis	•	•	50

47. Special remarks concerning the initial classification of cryptograms. - <u>a</u>. The student should by this time have a good conception of the basic nature of monoalphabetic substitution and of the many "changes" which may be "rung" upon this simple "tune". The first step of all, naturally, is to be able to classify a cryptogram properly and place it in either the transposition or the substitution class. The tests for this classification have been given and as a rule he will encounter no difficulty in this respect.

b. There are, however, certain kinds of cryptograms whose class cannot be determined in the usual manner, as outlined in Par. 13 of this text. First of all there is the type of code message which employs bona fide dictionary words as code groups.¹ Naturally, a frequency distribution of such a message will approximate that for normal plain text. The appearance of the message, however, gives clear indications of what is involved. The study of such cases will be taken up in its proper place. At the moment it is only necessary to point out that these are <u>code</u> messages and not <u>cipher</u>, and it is for this reason that in Pars. 12 and 13 the words "cipher" and "cipher messages" are used, the word "cryptogram" being used only where technically correct.

<u>c</u>. Secondly, there come the unusual and borderline cases, including cryptograms whose nature and type can <u>not</u> be ascertained from frequency distributions. Here, the cryptograms are technically not ciphers but special forms of disguised secret writings which are rarely susceptible of being classed as transposition or substitution. These include a large share of the cases wherein the cryptographic messages are disguised and carried under an external, innocuous text which is innocent and seemingly

¹ See Par. 71, Special Text No. 165, Elementary Military Cryptography.

- 121 -

withcut cryptographic content - for instance, in a message wherein specific letters are indicated in a way not open to suspicion under censorship, these letters being intended to constitute the letters of the cryptographic message and the other letters constituting "dummies". Obviously, no amount of frequency tabulations will avail a competent, expert cryptanalyst in domonstrating or disclosing the presence of a cryptographic messago, written and secreted within the "open" message, which serves but as an envelop and disguise for its authentic or real import. Certainly, such frequency tabulations can disclose the existence neither of substitution nor transposition in these cases, since both forms are absent. Another very popular method that resembles the method mentioned above has for its basis a simple grills. The whole words forming the secret text are inserted within perforations cut in the paper and the remaining space filled carefully, using "nulls" and "dummies", making a seemingly innocuous, ordinary message. There are other methods of this general type which can obviously neither be detected nor cryptanalyzed, using the principles of frequency of recurrences and repetition. These can not be further discussed herein, but at a subsequent date a special text may be written for their handling.

d. In view of the foregoing remarks, when so-called "symbol ciphers", that is, ciphers employing peculiar symbols, signs of punctuation, diacritical marks, figures of "dancing men", and so on are encountered in practical work nowadays, they are almost certain to be simple, monoalphabetic ciphers. They are adequately described in romantic tales, " in popular books on cryptography, and in the more common types of magazine articles. No further space need be given ciphers of this type in this text, not only because of their simplicity but also because they are encountered in military cryptography only in sporadic instances in censorship activities. Even in the latter cases, it is usually found that such ciphers are employed in "intimate" correspondence for the exchange of sentiments that appear less decorous when set forth in plain language. They are very seldom or never used by authentic enemy agents. When such a cipher is encountered nowadays it may practically always be regarded as the work of the veriest tyro, when it is not that of a "crank" or a mentally deranged person.

<u>e</u>. The usual preliminary procedure in handling such cases, where the symbols may be somewhat confusing to the mind because of their unfamiliar appearance to the eye, is to substitute letters for them consistently throughout the message and then treat the resulting text as an ordinary cryptogram composed of letters is treated. This procedure also facilitates the construction of the necessary frequency distributions, which would be tedicus to construct by using symbols.

¹ The subparagraph which the student has just read (47c) contains a hidden cryptographic message. With the hints given in Par. 35c let the student see if he can find it.

² The most famous: Poe's "Gold Bug"; Arthur Conan Doyle's "The Sign of Four".

- 122 -

<u>f</u>. A final word must be said on the subject of symbol ciphers by way of caution. When symbols are used to replace lettors, syllables and entire words, then the systems approach code methods in principle, and can become difficult of solution.¹ The logical extension of the use of symbols in such a form of writing is the employment of arbitrary characters for a specially developed "shorthand" system bearing little or no resemblance to well-known, and therefore nonsecret, systems of shorthand, such as "Grogg", "Pitman", etc. Unloss a considerable amount of text is available for analysis, a privately-devised shorthand may be very difficult if not impossible to solve. Fortunately, such systems are never encountered in military cryptography. They fall under the heading of cryptographic curiosities, of interest to the cryptanalyst in his leisure moments.²

48. Ciphors employing characters other than lotters or figures. - <u>a</u>. In practical cryptography today, the use of characters other than the 26 letters of the English alphabet is comparatively rare. It is true that there are a few governments which still adhere to systems yielding cryptograms in groups of figures. These are almost in every case code systems and will be treated in their proper place. In some cases cipher systems, or systems of enciphering code are used which are basically mathematical in character and operation, and therefore use numbers instead of letters. Some persons are inclined toward the use of numbers rather than letters because numbers lend themselves much more readily to certain arithmetical operations such as addition, subtraction, and so on, than do letters. But there is usually added some final process whereby the figure groups are converted into letter groups, for the sake of economy in transmission.

<u>b</u>. The only notable exceptions to the statement contained in the first sentence of this paragraph are those of Russian messages transmitted in the Russian Morse alphabet and Japanese messages, transmitted in the Kata Kana Morse alphabet.

49. Concluding remarks concerning monoalphabetic substitution. - a. The alort student will have by this time gathered that the solution of monoalphabetic substitution ciphers of the simple or fixed type are particularly easy to solve, once the underlying principles are thoroughly understood. As in other arts, continued practice with examples leads to facility and skill in solution, especially where the student concentrates his attention upon traffic all of the same general nature, so that the type of text

¹ The use of symbols for abbraviation and speed in writing goes back to the days of antiquity. Ciccro is reported to have drawn up "a book like a dictionary, in which he placed before each word the notation (symbol) which should represent it, and so great was the number of notations and words that whatever could be written in Latin could be expressed in his notations."

2 An example is found in the famous Pepys Diary, which was written in shorthand, purely for his own eyes by Samuel Pepys (1633-1703). "He wrote it in Shelton's system of tachygraphy (1641), which he complicated by using foreign languages or by varieties of his own invention whenever he had to record passages least fit to be seen by his servants, or by 'all the world'." - 123 -

which he is continually oncountering becomes familiar to him and its peculiarities or characteristics of construction give clues for short cuts to solution. It is true that a knowledge of the general phraseology of messages, the kind of words used, their sequences, and so on is of very great assistance in practical work in all fields of cryptanalysis. The student is urged to note particularly these finer dotails in the course of his study.

b. Another thing which the student should be on the lookout for in simple monoalphabetic substitution is the use, consecutively of several different mixed cipher alphabets in a single long message. Obviously, a single, composite frequency distribution for the whole message will not show the characteristic crest and trough appearance of a simple monoalphabetic cipher, since a given cipher letter will represent different plaintext letters in different parts of the message. But if the cryptanalyst will carefully observe the distribution as it is being compiled, he will note that at first it presents the characteristic crest and trough appearance of monoalphabeticity, and that after a time it begins to lose this appearance. If possible he should be on the lookout for some peculiarity of grouping of letters which serves as an indicator for the shift from one cipher alphabet to the next. If he finds such an indicator he should begin a second distribution from that point on, and proceed until another shift or indicator is encountered. By thus isolating the different portions of the text, and rostricting the frequency distributions to the separate monoalphabets, the problem may be treated then as an ordinary simple monoalphabetic substitution.

c. Monoalphabetic substitution with variants represents an extension of the basic principle, with the intention of masking the characteristic frequencies resulting from a strict monoalphabeticity, by means of which solutions are rather readily obtained. Some of the subterfugos applied in the establishment of variant or multiple values are simple and more or less fail to serve the purpose for which they are intended; others, on the contrary, may interpose sorious difficulties to a straightforward solution. But in no case may the problem be considered of more than ordinary difficulty.' Furthormore, it should be recognized that where these subterfuges are really adequate to the purpose, the complications introduced are such that the practical manipulation of the system becomes as difficult for the cryptographer as for the cryptanalyst.

d. As already montioned in monoalphabetic substitution with variants it is most common to employ figures or groups of figures. The reason for this is that the use of numerical groups seems more natural or easier to the uninitiated than does the use of varying combinations of letters. Moreover, it is easy to draw up cipher alphabets in which some of the letters are represented by single digits, others by pairs of digits. Thus, the decomposition of the cipher text which is an irregular intermixture of monoliteral and polyliteral equivalents, is made more complicated and correspondingly difficult for the cryptanalyst, who does not know which digits are to be used separately, which in pairs.

- 124 -

<u>e</u>. A few words may be added here in regard to a method which often suggests itself to laymen. This consists in using a book possessed by all the correspondents and indicating the letters of the message by means of numbers referring to specific letters in the book. One way consists in selecting a certain page and then giving the line number and position of the letter in the line, the page number being shown by a single initial indicator. Another way is to use the entire book, giving the cipher equivalents in groups of three numbers representing page, line, and number of letter. (Ex.: 75-8-10 means page 75, 8th line, loth letter in the line.) Such systems are, however, extremely cumbersome to use and, when the cryptographing is done carelessly, can be solved. The basis for solution in such cases rosts upon the use of adjacent letters on the same line, the accidental repetitions of certain letters, and the occurrence of unenciphered words in the messages, when laziness or fatigue intervenes in the cryptographing.

<u>f</u>. It may also be indicated that human nature and the fallibility of cipher clerks is such that it is rather rare for an encipherer to make full use of the comploment of variants placed at his disposal. The result is that in most cases certain of the equivalents will be used so much more often than others that diversities in frequencies will soon manifest themselves, affording important data for attack by the cryptanalyst.

g. In the World War the cases where monoalphabetic substitution ciphers were employed in actual operations on the Western Front were exceedingly rare because the majority of the belligerents had a fair knowledge of cryptography. On the Eastern Front, however, the extensive use, by the poorly prepared Russian Army, of monoalphabetic ciphers in the fall of 1914 was an important, if not the most important, factor in the success of the German operations during the Battle of Tannenberg.¹ It seems that a somewhat more secure cipher system was authorized, but proved too difficult for the untrained Russian cryptographic and radio personnel. Consequently, recourse was had to simple substitution ciphers, somewhat interspersed with plain text, and sometimes to messages completely in plain language. The damage which this faulty use of cryptography did to the Russian Army and thus to the Allied Powers is incalculable.

<u>h</u>. Many of the messages found by censors in letters sent by mail during the World War were cases of monoalphabetic substitution, disguised in various ways.

¹ Gyldon, Yves. <u>Chifferbyråernas Insatser I Världskriget Till Lands</u>, Stockholm, 1931. Translation under the title <u>The Contribution of the</u> <u>Cryptographic Bureaus in the World War</u>, appeared in the Signal Corps Bulletin in seven successive installments, from November-December 1933 to November-December 1934, inclusive.

Nikolaioff, A. M. <u>Secret Causes of German success on the Eastern Front</u>. Coast Artillery Journal, September-October, 1935.

- 125 -

۰<u>ر</u> ۱

50. Analytical key for cryptanalysis. - a. It may be of assistance to indicate, by means of an outline, the relationships existing among the various cryptographic systems thus far considered. This graphic outline will be augmented from time to time as the different cipher systems are examined, and will constitute what has already been alluded to in Par. 6 <u>d</u> and there termed an analytical key for cryptanalysis.¹ Fundamentally its nature is that of a schematic classification of the different systems examined.

<u>b.</u> Note, in the analytical key, the rather clear-cut, dichotomous method of treatment, that is, classification by subdivision into pairs. For example, in the very first step there are only two alternatives: the cryptogram is either (1) cipher, or (2) code. If it is cipher, it is either (1) substitution (2) transposition. If it is a substitution cipher, it is either (1) monographic, or (2) polygraphic, and so on. If the student will study the analytical key attentively, it will assist him in fixing in mind the manner in which the various systems covered thus far are related to one another, and this will be of benefit in clearing away some of the mental fog or haziness from which he is at first apt to suffer.

This analytical key is quite analogous to the analytical keys usually found in the handbooks biologists commonly employ in the classification and identification of living organisms. In fact, there are several points of resemblance between, for example, that branch of biology called taxonomic botany and cryptanalysis. In the former the first steps in the classificatory process are based upon observation of externally quite marked differences; as the process continues, the observational details become finer and finer, involving more and more difficulties as the work progresses. Towards the end of the work the botanical taxonomist may have to dissect the specimen and study internal characteristics. The whole process is largely a matter of painstaking, accurate observation of data and drawing proper conclusions therefrom. Except for the fact that the botanical taxonomist depends almost entirely upon ocular observation of characteristics while the cryptanalyst in addition to observation must use some statistics, the steps taken by the former are quite similar to those taken by the latter. It is only at the very and of the work that a significant dissimilarity between the two sciences arises. If the botanist makes a mistake in observation or deduction, he merely fails to identify the specimen correctly; he has an "answer" -- but the answer is wrong. He may not be cognizant of the error; however, other more skillful botanists will find him out. But if the cryptanalyst makes a mistake in observation or deduction, he fails to get any "answer" at all; he needs nobody to tell him he has failed. Further, there is one additional important point of difference. The botanist is studying a bit of Nature -- and she does not consciously interpose obstacles, pitfalls, and dissimulations in the path of those trying to solve her mysteries. The cryptanalyst, on the other hand, is studying a piece of writing prepared with the express purpose of preventing its being read by any persons for whom it is not intended. The obstacles, pitfalls, and dissimulations are here consciously interposed by the one who cryptographed the message. These, of course, are what make cryptanalysis different and difficult.

<u>c</u>. The numbers in parentheses refer to specific paragraphs in this text, so that the student may readily turn to the text for detailed information or for purposes of refreshing his memory as to procedure.

- 126 -

In addition to these reference numbers there have been affixed d. to the successive steps in the dichotomy, numbers that mark the "routes" on the cryptanalytic map (the analytical koy) which the student cryptanalyst should follow if he wishes to facilitate his travels along the rather complicated and difficult road to success in cryptanalysis, in somewhat the same way in which an intelligent motorist follows the routes indicated on a geographical map if he wishes to facilitate his travels along unfamiliar roads. The analogy is only partially valid, however. The motorist usually knows in advance the distant point which he desires to reach and he proceeds thereto by the best and shortest route, which he finds by observing the route indications on a map and following the route markors on the road. Occasionally he encounters a detour but these are unexpected difficulties as a rule. Least of all doos he anticipate any necessity for journeys down what may soon turn out to be blind alleys and "dead-ond" streets, forcing him to double back on his way. Now the cryptanalyst also has a distant goal in mind -- the solution of the cryptogram at hand -- but he does not know at the outset of his journey the exact spot where it is located on the cryptanalytic map. The map contains many routos and he proceeds to tost them one by one, in a successive chain. He encounters many blind alleys and dead-ond streets, which force him to retrace his steps; he makes many detours and jumps many hurdles. Some of these retracings of steps, doubling back on his tracks, jumping of hurdles and letours are unavoidable, but a few are avoidable. If properly employed, the analytical key will help the careful student to avoid those which should and can be avoided; if it does that much it will serve the principal purpose for which it is intended.

e. The analytical key may, however, serve another purpose of a somewhat different nature. When a multitude of cryptographic systems of diverse types must be filed in some systematic manner apart from the names of the correspondents or other reference data, or if in conducting instructional activities classificatory designations are desirable, the reference numbers on the analytical key may be made to serve as "type numbers". Thus, instead of stating that a given cryptogram is a keyword-systematically-mixed-monoliteral-monoalphabetic-monographic substitution cipher one may say that it is a "Type 901 cryptogram".

<u>f</u>. The method of assigning type numbers is quite simple. If the student will examine the numbers he will note that successive levels in the dichotomy are designated by successive hundreds. Thus, the first level, the classification into cipher and code is assigned the numbers 101 and 102. On the second level, under cipher, the classification into monographic and polygraphic systems is assigned the numbers 201 and 202, etc. Numbers in the same hundreds apply therefore to systems at the same level in the classification. There is no particular virtue in this scheme of assigning type numbers except that it provides for a considerable degree of expansion in future studies.

REF ID;, A64644

н

TABLE 1-A

Absolute frequencies of letters appearing in five sets of Governmental plain-text telegrams, each set containing 10,000 letters. Arranged alphabetically.

2

Message No. 1	Nessage No. 2	No. 3	Message No. 4	Mussage No. 5
Letter Åbsolute Frequency	Letter Absolute Frequency	Letter Absolute Frequency	Letter Absolute Frequency	Letter Absolute Frequency
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	A = 681 B = 98 C = 238 D = 423 E = 1292 F = 309 G = 161 H = 335 I = 787 J = 10 K = 22 L = 333 M = 238 N = 815 O = 791 P = 317 C = 45 R = 762 S = 585 T = 894	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	A = 741 B = 99 C = 301 D = 448 E = 1275 F = 281 G = 150 H = 349 I = 797 J = 16 K = 31 L = 344 M = 268 N = 780 O = 762 P = 260 Q = 30 R = 786 S = 604 T = 928
U - 270 V - 163 W - 166 X - 43 Y - 191 Z - 14	U - 233 V - 173 W - 163 X - 50 Y - 155 Z - 17	U - 31? V - 142 W - 136 X - 144 Y - 179 Z - 2	U = 247 V = 133 W = 133 X = 53 Y = 213 Z = 11	U - 238 V - 155 V - 182 X - 41 Y - 229 Z - 5
Totals 10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000

Table 2-A

Absolute frequencies of letters appearing in the combined five sets of messages totalling 50,000 letters arringed alphabetically.

A - 3683	G - 819	L - 1821	Q - 175	V - 766
B - 487	H - 1694	M - 1237	R - 3788	W — 780
C - 1534	I - 3676	N - 3975	S - 3058	X - 231
D – 2122	J - 82	0 - 3764	T - 4595	Y - 967
E - 6498	K - 148	P - 1335	U - 1300	Z - 49
F - 1416				

" |.

1

REF_ID:A64644

TABLE 1-B

Absolute frequencies of letters appearing in five sets of Government plain-text telegrams, each set containing 10,000 letters.

Arranged according to frequency.

Message	Message	Message	Message	Message
No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No: 5
Letter	Letter	Letter	Letter	Letter
Absorute	Absolute	Absolute	Absolute	Absolute
Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$E -1294 \\ T - 879 \\ N - 794 \\ A - 783 \\ O - 770 \\ I - 750 \\ R - 745 \\ S - 583 \\ D - 413 \\ L - 393 \\ H - 351 \\ C - 300 \\ F - 287 \\ P - 272 \\ M - 240 \\ U - 233 \\ G - 175 \\ V - 173 \\ W - 163 \\ Y - 155 \\ B - 103 \\ X - 50 \\ K - 38 \\ Q - 22 \\ J - 17 \\ Z - 17 \\ R - 17 $	$\begin{array}{rcrr} E & -1292 \\ T & - & 894 \\ N & - & 815 \\ O & - & 791 \\ I & - & 787 \\ R & - & 762 \\ A & - & 681 \\ S & - & 585 \\ D & - & 423 \\ H & - & 335 \\ I & - & $	$E - \frac{1}{270}$ $T - 958$ $N - 800$ $O - 756$ $A - 740$ $R - 735$ $I - 700$ $S - 628$ $D - 451$ $L - 386$ $H - 349$ $C - 326$ $F - 287$ $M - 249$ $U - 247$ $P - 245$ $Y - 213$ $G - 167$ $V - 133$ $W - 133$ $B - 83$ $X - 53$ $Q - 38$ $K - 21$ $J - 21$ $Z - 11$	E - 1275 T - 928 R - 786 N - 780 0 - 762 A - 741 I - 697 S - 604 D - 448 H - 349 L - 344 C - 301 F - 281 M - 268 P - 260 U - 238 Y - 229 W - 182 V - 155 G - 150 B - 99 X - 41 K - 31 Q - 30 J - 16 Z - 5
Totals 10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000

TABLE 1-C

Absolute frequencies of vowels, high frequency consonants, medium frequency consonants, and low frequency consonants a pearing in five sets of Government plain-text telegrams, each set containing 10.000 letters.

Message No.	Vowels.	High Freq. Consonents.	Medium Freq. Conson nts.	Low Freq. Consonants.
1	3993	3527	2329	151
2	3985	3414	2457	144
3	4042	3179	2356	123
4	3926	3572	2358	144
5	3942	3546	2389	123
Totals	19,388	17,538	11,889	685 50,000

e

ر با <u>سرایی</u> بورستار و

- 129 -

FABLE 2-B

Absolute frequencies of letters appearing in the combined five sets of messages totalling 50,000 letters arranged according to frequencies.

L -6498	. 1 -2676	0 -1534	Y - 96	7 X - 2	231
T -4595.	S -3058	F -1416	G - 81	9 ଜୁ-1	L75
N -3975	D -2122	P -1335	W - 78	0 K – J	L48
R -37 88	L –1821	U -1.300 [°]	V 76	6 J	82
0 -3764	H -1.694	M -1237	B - 48	7 Z -	49
A -3683					

TABLE 2--C

Absolute frequencies of vowels, high frequency consonants, medium frequency consonants, and low frequency consonants appearing in the combined five sets of messages totalling 50,000 letters.

Vowels	19,888
High Frequency Consonants (D, N, R, S, and T)	17,538
Medium Frequency Consonants (B, C, F, G, H, L, M, P, V and W)	11,889
Low Frequency Consonants (J, K, Q, X and Z)	685
Total	

TABLE 2-D

Absolute frequencies of letters as initial letters of 10,000 words found in Government plain-text telegrams.

(1) Arranged alphabetically

A - 905	G - 109	L - 196	Q - 30	V - 77
B – 287	H - 272	M - 384	R - 611	W - 320
C - 664	I - 341	N - 441	S - 965	X - 4
D - 525	J - 44	0 - 646	T -1253	¥ - 88
E - Ŝ9O	K - 23	P - 433	U - 122	Z - 12
<u>F - 853</u>				
			Total	10,000

(2) Arranged according to absolute frequencies.

·····			Total	10,000
0 - 646				
C <u>- 664</u>	E - 390	Н — 272	V - 77	X 4
F - 855	P - 433	B 287	Y - 88	Z - 12
A - 905	N - 441	W - 320	G - 109	K - 23
5 - 965	D - 525	I - 344	U - 122	କ୍ – 3 0
T <u>-125</u> 3	R - 611	M - 384	L - 196	J - 44

- 130 -

TABLE 2-E

Absolute frequencies of letters as final letters of 10,000 words found in Government plain-text telograms.

(1) Arranged alphabetically.

A - 269	G - 225	L - 354	Q 8	V - 4
B - 22	II - 450	M - 154	R - 769	W - 45
C - 86	I - 22	N - 872	S - 962	X - 113
D -1002	J - 6	0 - 575	T -1007	Y - 866
E -1628	K - 53	P - 213	U - 31	Ζ– 9
<u>F - 252</u>				
			Total	10,000
				۰,

(2) Arranged according to absolute frequencies.

E -1628	R - 769	F - 252	C - 86	I – 22
T -1007	0 - 575	G - 225	K - 53	Z – 9
D -1002	H - 450	P - 213	W - 45	Q.— 8
s - 962	L - 354	M - 154	U 31.	J- 6
N - 872	A - 269	X - 116	B - 22	V - 4
<u>Y - 866</u>			·	
			Totul	10,000

TADLE 3

Relative frequencies of letters appearing in 1,000 letters besed upon table 2.

(1) Arranged alphobetically

$\begin{array}{r} A = 73.66 \\ B = 9.74 \\ C = 30.68 \\ D = 42.44 \\ E = -129.96 \\ F = 28.32 \end{array}$	G - 16.38 H - 33.88 I - 73.52 J - 1.64 K - 2.96	L - 36.42 M - 24.74 N - 79.50 O - 75.28 P - 26.70	Q = 5.50 R = 75.76 S = 61.16 T = 31.90 U = 26.00	V - 15.32 W - 15.60 X - 4.62 Y - 19.54 Z98
1NO 0 0 N			'fotal	1000.00
	(2) Aman	and negoriary	to farming	r

(2) Arranged according to frequency.

E -129.96 T - 91.90 N - 79.50 R - 75.76 O - 75.28 A - 73.66	I - 73.52 S - 61.16 D - 42.44 L - 36.42 H - 33.88	C = 30.68 F = 28.32 P = 26.70 U = 26.00 M = 24.74	Y - 19.3 G - 16.38 W - 15.60 V - 15.32 B - 9.74	X = 4.62 Q = 3.50 K = 2.96 J = 1.64 Z = .98
			Total	1000.00

REF <u>ID;</u>A64644

ŕ

(3) <u>↓ Vowels</u> Å - 73.66 È -129.96 I - 73.52	(4) High Freq. <u>Consonants</u> D - 42.44 N - 79.50 R - 75.76	TABLE 3 (Con (5) Medium Fr <u>Consor</u> B - 9.74 C - 30.68 F - 28.32	equency ants L - 36.42 M - 24.74	(6) Low Freq. <u>Consonants</u> X - 4.62 Q - 3.50 K - 2.96						
$\ddot{O} = 75.28$ $\ddot{Q} = 26.00$ $\dot{Y} = 19.34$ Totals 397.76	S - 61.16		V - 15.32							
, 10 curs 291.10	000.70	TABLE 4		1000.00						
Frequency Distribution for 10,000 letters of literary English, as compiled by Hitt.1										
A - 778	A. <u>Alphab</u> G - 174	etically arra L - 372	Q - 8	V - 112						

A		110		G		174	ıL		212		ų		0	v	-	775
₿		141		H		595	М		288		R		651	W		176
Ċ	-	296		Ţ		667	N	-	686		S		622	Х		27
D	-	402		J		51	0		807		Т		855	Y		196
E	-]	.277		Κ		74	P	-	223		U		308	Z		17
F	-	197														
			B	•	٤Λ	ranged	acc	ord	ling	to	frec	ເບຍ	ency.			

E -1277	R - 651	U - 308	Y - 196	K - 74
T - 855	S - 622	C - 296	W - 176	J - 51
0 - 807	H - 595	M - 288	G - 174	X - 27
A - 778	D - 402	P - 223	B - 141	Z - 17
Ň - 686	L - 372	F - 197	V - 112	Q 8
I - 667				

TABLE 5

Frequency Distribution for 10,000 lotters of telegraphic English as compiled by Hitt.

	A. <u>Alphabetically arranged</u> .	
Λ - 813 Β - 149 C - 306 D - 417 E -1319 F - 205	H = 201L = 392Q = 38H = 386M = 273R = 677I = 711N = 718S = 656J = 42 O = 844T = 634K = 88P = 243U = 321	V - 136 W - 166 X - 51 Y - 208 Z - 6
	B. Arranged according to frequency.	
É -1319 O - 844 A - 813 N - 718 I - 711 R - 677	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K - 88 X - 51 J - 42 Q - 38 Z - 6

1 Hitt, Capt. Parker. Manual for the Solution of Military Ciphers. Army Service Schools Press, Fort Leavenworth, Kensas, 1916.

.....

-

-

z

-__

÷

---2 -

.

a

,

,

۳.

M 2.11	e: te	الم مقلع	,	- í '·y.		÷	:	er 4.		;	• • •									•								-		
		A	B		D	E		G			J	S	ECO L	й м	SET'	TER:	'] . P	۵		S	T	υ		W	X	Y	10 7	Tot		anks
1	A	7	6		27	1	Ι.	6	1	T	71	1	T-	1		# 2	1	I		1 –	F -		7			12		374	3	
-	B -	1				18			<u> </u>	2		1-	6	1	1-	4			2	1	1	2				7			14	IADIM 0.,
	C C	20	_	7	1	32	<u> </u>		1,1	+ 7		14	1		1	43		<u> </u>	4	1	<u>1</u> 4	4		1		1		1 55	1	
1	. D.	32			8			5	T	2 2		╀╧	3	+=	4	7	5	2		-	1	5	3	4		1		209	1	Based on 50,000 letters of Government plain
-	E	35	- 1	T	60			<u> </u>	1	1 27		+-	12			12	T		87	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	20			4		648	1	Reduced to 5 000 digraphs
		22	-				11		<u> </u>	39		+-			1	40	1	1-2-			1	1	20					_	1	
	Ŧ			2						1		+	1	11	+		1	<u> </u>			1			1		1		141	9	
-	G		-+	2		14		1	20		키그	+-	2	1	3	6	2	+	5	3	4	2	┟──┤	1				82		
1	H	20	-1	3		20	-			3	2	+-	1	2	3	20	t	1		4	28	·	<u> </u>	1		1		171		-
	I	8	2	22	_6	13	10	19				2	12	39	175	<u>; 41</u>	7	┣	27	35	27		25		15		2	368	T	-
	J	누		-+		2			ļ	_		+-	+		<u> </u>	<u> 2</u>		 	 '			2						_7	22	
	K	1	-+	1		6					<u> - </u>		1		1	 		 	 '	1			 	\vdash				13	19	
臣	L	28	3	3	9	37	3	1	1	20	기		5.		2	13	3	ļ'	2	6	8	2	2	2		10		183	5	
LETTER	М	36	6	3	1	26	1		1		2			17	5	10	8		2	4	2	2				2		126	10	
	N	26	2	19	52	57	9	27	4	1 30	21	2	5	5 5	<u>. </u>	18	3	1	4	24	82	7	3	3		_5		397	2	
FIRST	0	7	4	8	12	3	25	2	3	5 5	5 1	2	1	25	5 77	/ 6	25		64	14	19	37	7	8	1	2		376	2	
臣	P	14	1	1	1	23	2		3	5 6	5		1	3 1	H]	. 17	11		18	6	8	3	1	11		1		135	6	
	Q									Γ	Τ	Τ							1			15	\square	\square					1	
	R	39	2	9	17	98	6	7	3	3		11	1	5 9) 7	28	13		11	31	42	1	5	4		9		382	1	
	S	24	-	13		49		<u> </u>	1	5 34	1	1	-	2	+	15						11	<u> </u>	L		1		307	11	
	T.	28	3	6		71	1		T	5 45		+-		5 6	i	50			17		1			36		41		454	1	
	ד. ע	5	3	3		11	1	1	1	5	-	+-			23		2				12		1					1 <u>30</u>	1	
	v	6	4	-4		57			┼╼╸	12		+	+			<u>+-</u>	<u> </u>	-			1	 	لشا					77	1	
	W	12				22			<u> </u>	13			+		+	2 19	┼──	<u> </u>	1	1	┝───		<u>├</u> ───┘	<u>├</u>					16	
			-+							1		+	┼╌	╘┼╼╸		119	1	<u> </u>	4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	┼		┟──┤		_1			1	
	X	2		2 4	1 4		h	-	<u> </u>]	+	-	+	+	+	$\frac{1}{1}$		2		1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> '</u>	$\left - \right $					13	
	Y		2	-4	-4		11	1	┼─┶		2	+		2 2		10	3	<u> </u>	4	11	15	1	'	1				96	1	-1
Total	Z	270			7	2	1	an	h			+						+					<u> </u>					4	23	
Blank	S	1	11	6	-±14 7	774 1	121 7	12		<u>-121</u> 2 3		81	<u>411 8</u> 9 6	16	2159 7	1313 13	18	21	1368 4							_99 _10			17	<u>→</u> 5,000 <u>→</u> 248

.

.

REF³ID:A64644

7

TABLE 7-Á

1	THE 438 TABLE 6 - THEIR	ARRANGED A	DIGRAPHS CCORDING REQUENCI	TO		
RENTOLTADSENVENTSATAERACITFFREQLMTEISDHACDER	19872875144307742077420774207742077420777666666555420997777666555433322222 19872877514430774207742000NSIPIWUCEEGHHHLSTINOUTW 198877751443077420997777666555433322222 1988777514433077742099777766555433322222 198877777666555433322222 198877777666555433322222 1988777777666555433322222 198877777666555433322222 1988777777666555433322222 198877777766655543332222 198877777766655543332222 198877777766655543332222 1988777777766655543332222 19887777777666555433333333333333333333333333	, 33332222 277777766665555544 2999 299	TDDIQSYAACCEGUPPRSWMDAILADEAEUSUUVWFP ROTXUOTCMHTMESALPCIMSUEOPRQYUDFSTIAFP	111111111111111111111111111111111111	A Y I H A W X A P U V G N E E G I N O R R	1111111100000099999999988888888887777777777

1 The 18 digraphs above this line compose 25% of the total. 2 The 53 digraphs above this line compose 50% of the total. 5 the 117 digraphs above this line compose 75% of the total.

 $\{\cdot,$

çı.

~

-.--

<u>المب</u>د : .

.....

2

.3

×.

134

TABLE 7 A CONCLUDED

	IABLE	'7 A	υμευ
FNTBGLOAODESBISFCDMLANLMPUIAIAFLMYLUVDRLUMFAOKRU777666666666666666666666666665555555555	44444444444444444444444444444444444444	MMMARAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	QRRRRRRR IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
NNWHHKIRUGHOOYCLCFLPUDMBKZEOUIMRUVWRTUYBKGKFBGLPP NNWHHKIRUGHOOYCLCFLPUDMBKZEOUIMRUVWRTUYBKGKFBGLPP 44444444444444444444444444444444444	MMMMAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		

- 135 -

🐉 25 F

ŧ

1. . .

•

and a start of the
۲ :: :-

. .

: .

. -

- 41-4

<u>i</u> -

ر،مر. • و سد TABLE 7-B

THE 18 DIGR PHS COMPOSING 25% OF THE DIGRAPHS IN TABLE NO. 6. ARRANGED ALPH/BETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR INTITAL LETFERS,

	int , , ,					٠	
		(1)				(2)	
			C: Trat	A NTT		ING TO T	UTTO
- ,	AND ACCORI		119.LK				
-	FINAL	LETTERS.		ΛE	SOLUTE	FREQUENC	LES.
•	,						
•	AN 64	RE	· 98	ΔN	64	.RE	98
. 3	 			1121	01		
-		L. J	•		.	077	40
:	ËD 60	SE	49	EN	111	SE	49
	ÉN 111	ST	63	ER	87	ST	63
-	ĒR 87			ED	60		
	ËN 111 ER 87 ËS 54	'TE	71	ES	51	TH	78
	TUD 04			00	U I	TE	71
, ; ,		TH	78				
	ĮN 75	TO	50	IN	75	TO	50
<i>.</i>							
•••	ND 52	VE	57	NT	82	VE	57
14 51				NE	57		
0.7		Total	1249			Total	1249
ه مو	NT 82			ND	52		
	•			-			
	ON 77	•		ON	77		
	DR 64			OR	64		
() 🕫	· · · ·	-					
3, I	•	-					
	,						
4.2							
1.12	-		•				
به شد	`					•	
			-				
7	1 1						
i i		-		-			
3 5					_	-	
• •	4				-		
TABLE 7-C

THE 53 DIGRAPHS COMPOSING 50% OF THE 5000 DIGRAPHS OF TABLE 6,

ΛNI		(1) NG TO THEI LET TERS	R			(2) RDING TO THE FREQUENCIE	
AL AH AR AS AT	32 64 44 41 47	N D N E N I N T	52 57 30 82	A N A T A R A S A L	64 47 44 41 32	N T N E N D N 1	82 57 52 30
C E C U	32 41	0 N 0 R 0 U	77 64 37	C U C E	4 1 3 3	0 N 0 R 0 U	77 64 37
D A D E A C E E E E E	32 335 352 62	R A R E R I R O R S R T	39 930 230 31 32 42	Ð E D A E R E R	33 32 111 87 60	R E R T R A R S R 1 R 0	98 429 31 308
E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	29 111 87 54 37	5 E 5 5 T	49 34 63	E S E E E T E A E C	54 42 37 35 32	S T S E S I	63 49 34
F I F V	39 40	T A T E T H T I	28 71 78 45	E L F U F 1	29 40 39	Т Н Т Е Т О Т I	78 71 50 45
н 1 Н Т I N	33 28 75	Т U Т W Т Y	50 36 41	H I H T I N	3 28 75	T Y T W T A	41 36 28
	41 35	U R V E	31 57		41 35	U R V E	31 57
L A L E	28 37	Total -	2495	- LE LA	37 28	Total -	2495
-							

36

14 A

ARRANGED ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR INITIAL LETTERS;

MA 36

\$

		REF		A6464	4	
1	с. р	,		w	• ••• •	e ^p
* *mina 11	מונואסיטידרו	ం గిర్మణంగ	TABLE	ະ໔ ດາ <u>ສ</u> ຫາກຳ	E 5000 DIČ	DIDIG OF
TABLE	6, ARRANG	ED ALPH.	ABETIC	ALLY ACCO	DRDING TO	THEIR
· · ·	(1) AND .			-	NAL LETTE	is.
+ _	;				1	
- A (H A H E	2 0 2 0	РА ⁻ РЕ	14 23
A 7	17		ні	33	Ρυ	17
	w 14		H O H R	20 17	PR	
'A] ∦'AF			Н́Т	8 8	0 U	15
₩ - A 3 A	S 41		I C I E	22 13	R A	39 17
- A 1	U 13		IG	19	R D R E	98 30
₹- : ₹ B1	E 18	2	L TV	23 75	R R	30 28
∦ C	A 20	-	0 R	41 27	К	
4 QI	E 32		IS	3 5		
i Ci	Ů 41		T V	27 25	S A S E	49
i. C	T 14		IX	15	S H S I	26 34
לי. D ל D			L A L E	28 37	ន	15
- D	1 27		LI	20	ST	63
ם אי ם ;			L L L O	27 13	ТА	28
· D			MA.	36	- ТЕ ТН	71 78
E			ME	26	ΤI	45
E E	C 32 D 60		NA	26	T U T R	50 17
. E . F	E 42 F 18		N C N D	19 52	Т S Т Т	19 19
E E E	l 27 L 29		N E N G	57 27	T W T Y	19 36 41
E	M 14		NI	30		
. F	N 111 P 20	·	N O N S	18 24	U N U R	21 31
	K 87 S 54		NT	82	vĒ	57
, **** ÷ Ĕ	T 37		0 F	25		
•		-	0 L 0 M	1 9 25	W E W O	22 19
۲ ۲	1 39 0 40		0 N 0 P	77 25	ΥT	15
G		7	0 R 0 S	64 14	Total -	3745
,,≂G	H 20		OT	19		
ئىستى . -	1		ָּט <u>ָ</u> ט	37	- - #	
			••• -			

いたがい そうてい かんせい 一般的 しょう かいしょう かんしょう オン・バ

*

.

REF ID:A64644 TABLE 7-D (Concluded)

(2) AND ACCORDIN	G TO THEIR ABSOLU	ITL FREQUENCIES.
AN 64 AT 47 AR 44 AS 41 AL 32	HI 33 HT 28 HA 20 HE 20 HU 20	PE 23 PR 18 PU 17 PA 14
AD 27 AJ 17 AC 14 AM 14	HR 17 N 75 0 41	QU 15 KE 98 KT 42
AU 13 BE 18 CU 41	IS 35 IR 27 IT 27 IV 25 IL 23 IC 22	RA 39 RS 31 RI 30 RU 28 RD 17
CE 32 CA 20 CH 14 CT 14	IC 22' IG 19 IX 15 IE 13	ST 63 SE 49 SI 34
DE 33 DA 32 DI 27 DU 16	LE 37 LA 28 LL 27 LI 20	SH 26 SA 24 SS 19 SU 15
DT 15 DS 13 EN 111	LU 13 MA 36 ME 26	TH 78 TE 71 TU 50 TI 45
ER 87 ED 60 ES 54 EE 42 ET 37 EA 35	NT 82 NE 57 ND 52 NI 30 NG 27	TY 41 TW 36 TA 28 TS 19 TT 19 TK 17
EC 32 EL 29 EL 27 EP 20	NA 26 DO 4 NC 19 NO 18	UR 31 UN 21
EV 20 EF 18 EM 14	0N 77 0R 64 0U 37	VE 57 WE 22 WU 19
F0 40 FI 39	0F 25 0M 25 0P 25	YT 15 Total - 3745
GH 20 GE 14	0L 19 0T 19 0S 14	

.

TABLE 7 -- E

ALL THE 438 DIGRAPHS OF TABLE 6, A"RANGED FIRST ALPHA-BETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR INITIAL LETTERS AND THEN ALPHA-BETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR FINAL LETTERS.

۰.

.

(SEE TABLE 6. READ ACROSS THE ROWS)

- 139 -

TABLE 8

THE 438 DIFFERENT DIGRAPHS OF TABLE 6 ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR INITIAL LETTERS, AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES UNDER EACH INITIAL LETTER.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
--

- 140 -

TABLE 8 CONTINUED.

NUSRTVLCGXEFMAPDBKZ EOU	515775A295A09876888 &220 743888881111	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	7870309863333382222111 v	イヨローのASCUFYULWYTXPVWBK	872076498987555443332241	PPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPP	3874318664332111111151 1
JU JA.	2 1	МА МЕ ММ	36 26 13	• N J N Q	1 1	Ю K Ю K	1 1
K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	6 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	M O I PBSCRTUYDFH M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	10986432222111	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	76322211111	KKRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR	94333211199977655543211 82910873199977655543211

- 24

- 111 -

ş

1

٤

1

Ĵ

1 .

÷.,

å

3

7

4. 4.

:

۰.

....

. .

"-

•••

16 ·

TABLE 8 CONCLUDED.

63 49 ST υR 31 ΧŢ 7 21 ŝΕ UN 2222 ΧA 4 ڌ S 1 US 12 ХС 26 24 ε H 12 υΤ X I A S O C F U P UΕ 11 ΧP í 1 1 1953210 1111554 υG ΧD 8655533321 X E X F υL 1 UΑ 11 UΙ ХН UΜ ΧN ΧŪ 1 UΒ S D X R X S 1 UC S R UD 1 S N UΡ υF 15 11 -S W 43322 ΥT SВ 1 υo ΥF 11 10 9 1 ΥS SМ υν SG ΥU S L VΕ 57 ΥE .s ĸ 1 1 1 12 6 VI ΥA .s.V S.Y 6 VΑ 6' ΥN ۷ 0 1 ΥC 4 1 ΥD h VΤ 78 YR 4332221111 ТН TE, 71 WΕ 22 Υł тυ 50 19 WØ ΥP ΤI 45 WL 13 ΥB ΤY 41 12 WΑ ΥL ΤW 36 4 ΥM WΗ ΤA 28 2 W N ΥG тѕ 19 19 WL 1 ΥH ΤT 1 ΥU WR 17 7 W S 1 ΤR ΥW ΤF WΥ 1 7 TN 2 1 1 ΖE ТС 66655M2 ΖA ΤD ΖI ΤM Total -5000 ΤL ΤU ΤВ ТΡ 1 1 ΤG ΤIJ ΤZ 1

(Note: For arrangement alphabetically first under initial letters and then under final letters, see Table 6.)

$\operatorname{RE}_{\operatorname{H2}}$ ID:A64644

TABLE 9-A

THE 438 DIFFERENT DIGRAPHS OF TABLE 6 ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THUR FINAL LETTERS AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES.

R M E D L T N S C H P ₩ I G O V I G O V	3352886400428770 3352886400428770	E I N A S R O T U Y C H L M U L	ぷぷら 4 み 9 8 6 4 4 ろろろろろ	R T N N S E L D C N P W H B C I U F Y E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	, 97554475386380847 11	N I URAEDUSFGLTY	79876422211111
VYFUBAXJKZ AMDEOLSTUINRYHPAAAAAA おおおおおおおおおおおおおお	6655432111 6644433338222211	FGXKP ENAROLUITSYUHCFGMPX ENAROLUITSYUHCFGMPX	x2211 0277298665432111111	LUFYKOJZAX UESFYINDTRHALGPCMUX	0109632211 58211098765432211111 21111	Т \$ G \$ E N W O P R A O ↓ H X Y	7221744333221111

÷

• -

۹. - ,

÷ žį

۰,

4

· :

... --

¦

- 143 -

TABLE 9-A CONTINUED.

THSHNRDELAWVMCPGOUYBKXX ABDEGNOR CALNORS	43333322221111 43333322221111	AEL-OPBUCNRTDFGSYHKW OAEM-RTDNUPSHLYBCFGLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM	29739366555532222111 54439965555432221111 222211	EOIAUNRTYDSGHWCKLPX TCIFRHWNPDSLEMYGOBAJ	1 177541877644332111111 5444422111111111111 1 17541877644332111111	OERAPSMIDLNYGTUXFH EDHNT -	7 221110875333222211 1 221111
--	----------------------------------	---	---	--	--	-------------------------------	--

<u>ـــ</u>

8

٩

••

4

- 144 -

TABLE 9-A CONCLUDED.

X & 0 M L B 人 A C & D J H D L H D L A C A C & O M L A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	8643211111 1111 1111 1111 1111	NSAREHI ()TUYCUFLPXGMBV О	864432211111111	IEAORDNLPSU TOEDRSANLCF	30775332111 68744433211 22	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
EAIRNSTODUYLPHMFGBCKWX 888888888888888888888888888888888888	54332111111 111111	UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU	311187555 43338 22221	FGHWW PYW XXX IEO	1 1 1 1 5 7 1	

•

- 145 -

TABLE 9-B

THE 18 DIGRAPHS COMPOSING 25% OF THE 5000 DIGRAPHS

FINAL LETTERS,

.

5

いい とん いい 一般語 たいとう ちょう

÷

191....

÷

ŝ

ł	÷ (1	L) .				(2)	
THE	ND TCCC	ORDING	TO TTERS		AND ACC ABSOLU	ORDI	NG TO	
	*		*					
6.5	ÈD	60				ED	60	
5	ŅD	52				ND	52	
en.	ŅE	57				RE	98	
à	RE	9 8				ΤE	71	
٠.	SE TE	49				NE	5 7	
-		71				VE	57	
्र स	. ĀĒ	57				SE	49	
<u> 1</u>	ŤĦ	78				TH	7 8	
र्ष्ट्र (क रू.	ÀN	64	•	+		ÉŃ	111	
(A) ~	ĒN	111				ON	77	
• •	ÈŃ IN	75				IN	75	
	,on	77				AN	64	
, n.	TO	50				TO	50	
Lar	ÉR	8 7				ER	87	
С ЦФ	OR	64	-			OR	64	
<u>, </u> , ,	ĘS	54				ES	54	
	NT	82			,	NT	82	
	ST	63				ST	63	
	Total -						1249	

۷

i.

TABLE 9-C

-

THE 53 DIGRAPHS COMPOSING 50% OF THE 5000 DIGRAPHS

OF TABLE 6, ARRANGED /LPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR FINAL

LETTERS,

	AND ACC	CORDING TO TH	'EIR				ORDING TO	THEIR
DA	32	CO	41	ī	RA	39	то	50
EA	35 35	FO	40		MA	36	CO	21
LΛ	28	IO	-10		EΛ	35	IO	41
MA	36	10	28		DV	32	FO	40
RA	39	TO	50		LA	28	RO	28
ΤΛ	26	1.0	0.5		TA	28		
	100	ΔR	44	-			FR	87
EC	32	ER	87	I	EC	32	OR	64
	•	OR	64	-			AR	44
ED	60	UR	31	I	ED	60	UR	31
ND	52				ND	52		
		٨S	41				ES	54
CE	32	ES	54	I	RE	98	ΔS	41
DE	33	IS	35	ŗ	TE	71	IS	35
EE	42	RS	31]	NE	57	RS	31
LE	37				VE	57		
NE	. 57	AT	47	ŝ	SE	49	NT	82
\mathbf{RE}	98	FT	37		EE	42	ST	63
SE	49	HT	28		LE	37	AT	47
TE	71	NT	32		DE	33	RT	42
VE	57	RT	42	(CE	32	ET	37
		ST	63				HT	28
TH	78			,	TH	78		
		UO	37	-			- ០ប	37
FI	39				TI	45		
HI	33	TW	36		FI	39	TW	36
NI	30				SI	34		
RI	30	TY	41		HI	33	TY	41
SI	34	Total -	2495		NI	30	Totel -	2495
ΤT	.15]	RI	30		
	70				٨L	32		
AL DT	32 80					52 29		
EL	29			د	EL	29		
AN	64]	EN	111		
EN	111				ON	77		
IN	75				IN	75		
ON	77				AN	61		

- 147 -

.

TABLE 6, ARRANGED ALPHASETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR FINAL

• 7

TABLE 9-D

THE 117 DIGRAPHS COMPOSING 75% OF THE 5000 DIGRAPHS OF

1	LETTERS								
	4 2 4	(1)	VND VCCOJE	ING TO	THEIR I	ENT?	TIAL LETTE	RS.	
	CDEHLMNPRST	2 2 5 0 8 6 6 4 9 4 8 2 8 8 6 6 4 9 4 8	EF OF IG NG CH SH TH	18 25 19 27 14 26 78 17	00 F H 		4160013878509 1112151	A T C T T T H T T T N O T T T T Y T	4745787292395
,	AC EC IC NC	14 32 22 19) D F F H L	27 27 39 33 20	E O	Р	2 0 2 5 4 4	A U 0 U Q U	13 37 15
	AD ED ND RD	27 60 52 17	N I R I S I T I	30 30 34 45	T U E O		17 31 87 64 18	E V I V T ₩	20 25 36
	BECE	18 32	A L E L	3 2 2 9	н	R R	17 27	I X	15
	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	324037673891722 341232528947522	L L C L M M M M M N N N N N N N N N	23 27 19 14 25 6115 77 21	S T D E N O I	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	4199344 199344 1321 331	<u>TY</u> Total -	<u>4 1</u> 3745

١.

i i i

1

۰. ۳

- 11 -

t i Changerjahdit in in

-

1

A State of States

_ REF_ID:A64644

N

÷.,

TABLE 9-D Continued.

(2) AND ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES.

R A M A	39 36	() F E F	25 13	Τ U C U	50 41	N T S T	82 63
E A D A	35 32	N G	27	1 U F U	41 40	A T R T	47 42
L A T A	2 8 2 8	IG	19	R U H U	2 0 2 8	ЕТ НТ	37 28
N A S A	26 24	TH SH	78 26	W O N O	19 18	Ι Τ Ο Τ	27 19
САНА	2 0 2 0	G H C H	20 14	ΡŬ DU	17 16	Т Т D Т	19 15
PA	14	TI		sυ	15 13	Y T C T	15 14
ЕC	32	FΙ	45 39	LU			
IC NC	22 19	S H	34 33	0 P E P	25 20	0 U Q U	37 15
AC	14	N I R I	30 30	ER	87	ΑU	13
E D N D	60 52	U I E I	27 27	O R A R	64 44	I V E V	2 S 2 O
A D R D	27 17		20 17	U R I R	31 27	т₩	36
RE	98	AL	32	P R H R	18 17	I X	15
T E N E	71 57		29 27	TR	17	тү	41
VE SE	57 49		23 19	E S A S	54 41	Total -	
EELE	42 37		25	1 S - R S	35		
DE	33	ОМ АМ	14	NS	31 24 19		
C E ⋈ E P E	32 26	EH	14	S S T S	19		
WE	2	E N U N	$\begin{array}{r}1 1 1 \\ 77\end{array}$	0 S D S	14 13		
H E B E	20 18	I N A N	7.5 64				
G E I E	14 13	NU	21				

TABLE 9-E

ALL THE 438 DIFFERENT DIGRAPHS OF TABLE 6 ARANGED ALPHA-BETICALLY FIRST ACCORDING TO THEIR FINAL LETTERS AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR INITIAL LETTERS.

(SEE TIBLE 6. READ DOWN THE COLUMNS)

- 149 -

· · · .

. . .

;

۲

. ;-,

TABLE 10 A

THE 56 TRIGRAPHS APPEARING 100 OR MORE TIMES IN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES ۰. Ŗ . . •

,

			_
ENT	569	FOU	152
ION	260	ORT	146
AND	228	REE	. 146
ING	226	SIX	146
IVE	225	ASH	143
TIO	221	DAS	140
FOR	218	IGH	140
OUR	211	ERE	138
'THI	211	COM	136
ONE	210	ATE	135
NIN	207	EIG	135
STO	202	FIV	135
EEN	196	MEN	131
GHT	196	SEV	131
INE	192	ERS	126
VEN	190	UND	125
EVE	177	NET	118
EST	176	PER	115
TEE	174	STA	115
TOP	174	TER	115
NTH	171	EQU	114
TWE	170	RED	113
TWO	163	TED	112
ATI	160	ERI	109
THR	158	HIR	106
NTY	157	IRT	105
HRE	153	DER	101
WEN	153	DRE	100

٩,

- 150 -

TABLE 10 B

THE 56 TRIGRAPHS APPEARING 100 OR MORE TIMES IN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR INITIAL LETTERS AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES

AND ATI	228 160	MEN	131
ASH	143	NIN	207
ATE	135	NTH	
ATE	199		171
		NTY	157
COM	136	NET	118
DAS	140	OUR	211
DER	101	ONE	210
DRE	100	ORT	146
ENT	569	PER	115
EEN	196		
EVE	177	REE	146
EST	176	RED	113
ERE	138		
EIG	135	STO	202
ERS	126	SIX	146
EQU	114	SEV	131
ERI	109	STA	115
	200		
FOR	218	TIO	221
FOU	152	THI	211
FIV	135	TEE	174
T. T. A.	TOO	TOP	174
GHT	196	TWE	170
GIIL	190	TWO	163
HRE	153	THR	158
HIR	106	TER	115
штх	100	TED	112
ION	260		
ING	226	UND	125
IVE	225		
INE	192	VEN	190
IGH	140		
IRT	105	WEN	153

TABLE 10 C

THE 56 TRIGRAPHS APPEARING 100 OR MORE TIMES IN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR CENTRAL LETTERS AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES

DAS	140	ION	260
•		FOR	218
EEN	196	TOP	174
VEN	190	FOU	152
TEE	174	COM	136
WEN	153		
REE	146	EQU	114
MEN	131		
SEV	131	HRE	153
NET	118	ORT	146
PER	115	ERE	138
TER	115	ERS	126
RED	113	ERI	109
TED	112	IRT	105
DER	101	DRE	100
IGH	140	EST	176
		ASH	143
THI	211		
GHT	196	STO	202
THR	158	NTH	171
	200	ITA	160
TIO	221	NTY	157
NIN	207	ATE	135
SIX	146	STA	115
EIG	135		
FIV	135	OUR	211
HIR	106		
11111	TOO	IVE	225
ENT	569	EVE	177
AND	228		•
ING	226	TWE	170
ONE	210	TWO	
INE	192	TWO	163
UND	125		
UND	TVO		

. .

٠.

÷

.

۰.

2

e. 1

- 152 -

٠

TABLE 10 D

THE 56 TRIGRAPHS APPEARING 100 OR MORE TIMES IN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR FINAL LETTERS AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES

STA.	115	TIO	221
		STO	202
AND	228	TWO	163
UND	125		
RED	113	TOP	174
TED	112		
עניג	770	FOR	218
IVE	225	OUR	211
		THR	158
ONE	210	PER	115
INE	192	TER	
EVE	177		115
TEE	174	HIR	106
TWE	170	DER	101
HRE	153		
REE	146	DAS	140
ERE	138	ERS	126
ATE	135		
DRE	100	ENT	569
	700	GHT	196
ING	226	EST	176
	226	ORT	146
EIG	135	NET	118
•		IRT	105
NTH	171	A ,11, 2	100
ASH	143	FOU	152
IGH	140	EQU	114
		280	
THI	211	FIV	135
ATI	160	SEV	131
ERI	109	011	TOT
COM	136	SIX	146
00m	700	•	
ION	260	NTY	157
NIN			
	207		
EEN	196		
VEN	190		
WEN	153		
MEN	131		

۱

- 153 -

TABLE 11 A

THE 54 TETRAGRAPHS APPEARING 50 OR MORE TIMES IN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES

الهراب أنايع

ç

	TION	218	OMMA.	71
	EVEN	168	LLAR	71
	TEEN	163	OLIA	70
	ENTY	161	VENT	70
	STOP	154	DOLL	68
	WENT	153	LARS	68
	NINE	153	THIS	68
	twen	152	PERI	67
ł	THRÊ	149	ERIO	66
	FOUR	144	ASHT	64
	IGHT	140	HUND	64
	FIVE	135	DRED	63 ື
	HREE	134	RIOD	63
	EÎĠĦ	132	IVËD	62
	DASH	132	ENĪS	62
	SEVE	121	ffīc	62
	ENTH	114	FROM	59
	MENT	111	IRTY	59
	THIR	104	RTEE	59
	EENT	102	UNDR	59
	REQU	98	NAUG	56
	HIRT	97	OURT	56
	COMM	93	UGHT	56
	QUES	87	STAT	54
	UEST	87	∴UGH	52
	EQUE	86	CENT	52
	NDRE	77	FICE	50

- 154 -

TABLE 11 B

THE 54 TETRAGRAPHS APPEARING 50 OR MORE TIMES IN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR INITIAL LETTERS AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES

ASHT	64	MENT	111
AUGH	52		
		NINE	153
COMM	93	NDRE	. 77
CENT	52	NAUG	56
QTH T		14200	•••
DASH	132	OMMA.	71
DOLL	68	OLL	70
DRED	63	OURT	56
EVEN	168	PERI	67
ENTY	161		
EIGH	132	QUES	87
ENTH	114		
EENT	102	REQU	98
	102	RIOD	63
EQUE		RTEE	59
ERIO	66		
ENTS	62	STOP	154
		SEVE	121
FOUR	144	STAT	54
FIVE	135	-	
FFIC	62	TION	218
FROM	59	TEEN	163
FICE	50	TWEN	152
		THEN	149
HREE	134	THIR	104
HIRT	97		68
HUND	64	TUIS	00
HUHD	0.7		
IGHT	140	UEST	87
IVED	62	UNDR	59
IRTY	59	UGHT	56
IRTI	09		
TT / D	77	VENT	70
LLAR	71		
LARS	68	VENT	153

- 155 -

~

TABLE 11 C

THE 54 TETRAGRAPHS APPEARING 50 OR MORE TIMES IN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR SECOND LETTERS - AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES

DASH	132	OMM/	71
LARS	68		
N4.UG	56	ENTY	161
		ENTH	114
NDRE	77	ENTS	62
		UNDR	59
TEEN	163		
WENT	153	FOUR	144
SEVE	121	COMM	93
MENT	111	DOLL	68
EENT	102		
REQU	98	EQUE	86
UEST	87	HREE	134
VENT	70	ERIO	66
PERI	67	DRED	63
CENT	52	FROM	59
		IRTY	59
FFIC	62		
		ASHT	64
IGHT	140		_
UGHT	56	STOP	154
		RTEE	59
THRE	149	STAT	54
THIC	104		
THIS	68	QUES	87
-		HUND	[°] 64
TION	218	OURT	56
NINE	153	AUGH	52
FIVE	135		-
EIGH	132	EVEN	168
HIRT	97	IVED	62
RIOD	63		~ ~
FICE	50	TWEN	152
1, 1, 012	00		
LLAR	71		
OLLA	70		

э. .,

.....

π.

--

2.1

. . .

~

- 156 -

•

TABLE 11 D

THE 54 TETRAGRAPHS PEARING 50 OR MORE TIMES IN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR THIRD LETTERS AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES

LLAR	71	WENT	153
STAT	54	NINE	153
		MENT	111
FICE	50	EENT	102
		VENT	70
UNDR	59	HUND	64
		CENT	52
EVEN	168		
TEEN	163	TION	218
TWEN	152	STOP	154
HREE	134	RIOD	63
QUES	87	FROM	59
DRED	63		
IVED	62	REQU	98
RTEE	59		
		THRE	149
EIGH	132	HIRT	97
AUGH	52	NDRE	77
		LARS	68
IGHT	140	PERI	67
ASHT	64	OURT	56
UGHT	56		
		DASH	132
THIR	104	UEST	87
THIS	68		
ERIO	66	ENTY	161
FFIC	62	ENTH	114
		ENTS	62
OLIA	70	IRTY	59
DOLL	68		
		FOUR	144
COMM	93	EQUE	86
OMMA	71	NAUG	56
		FIVE	135
		SEVE	121

- 157 -

۰*ه*:

····

. -

õ.,

.

. . .

ŀ

2.1

TABLE 11 E

THE 54 TETRAGRAPHS APPEARING 50 OR MORE TIMES ÎN THE 50,000 LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT PLAIN-TEXT TELEGRAMS ARRANGED FIRST ALPHABETICALLY ACCORDING TO THEIR FINAL LETTERS AND THEN ACCORDING TO THEIR ABSOLUTE FREQUENCIES

OMMA.	71	TION	218
OLLA	7 0'	EVEN	168
		TEEN	163
FFIC	62	TWEN	152
-			
HUND	64	ERIO	66
DRED	63		
RIOD	63	STOP	154
IVED	62		
		FOUR	1 44
`NINE	153	THIR	104
THRE	149	LLAR	_71
FIVE	135	UNDR	⁼59
HREE	134		
SEVE	121	QUES	87
EQUE	86	THIS	68
NDRE	77	L4RS	68
RTEE	59	ENTS	62
FICE	50		
		WENT	153
NAUG	56	IGHT	140
		MENT	111
DASH	132	EENT	102
EIGH	132	HIRT	97
• ENTH	114	UEST	87
AUGH	52	VENT	70
		AŚHT	. 64
PERI	67	UGHT	56
		. OURT	56
DOLL	68	STAT	54
		CENT	52
COMM	93		
FROM	59	REQU	98
		ENTY	161
		IRTY	59

3

- 158 -

TABLE 12

No.of Letters In Word	No.of Times Word Appears	No. of Letters
1	378	378
2	973	
		1946
3	1307	3921
4	1635	6540
5	1410	7050
6	1143	6858
7	1009	7063
8	717	5736
9	476	4284
10	274	2740
11	161	1771
12	86	1032
13	23	299
14	23	322
15	4	60
	In sec. of the sec.	
120	9619	50000

AVERAGE AND MEAN LENGTHS OF WORDS

(1)	Mean Length $=$ $\frac{50000}{9619}$ $=$ 5.2 Letters
(2)	Average Length of messages 217 Letters ·
(3)	Mean Length of messages 191 Letters
(4)	Mode (Most frequent) Length 105 - 114 Letters
(5)	It is extremely unusual to find 5 consecutive letters without at least one vowel.
(6)	The average number of letters between vowels is 2.



-

. '

.

۰.

ÿ

÷--

-XXXXXXXXXX

e.

. INDEX

.

, -	Page	Paragraph
Accented letters	11	• 5b
Alphabets, bipartite	73	350
", deciphering	64	31 c
", direct standard	25,30,38	12a,16,19
", enciphering	60,64	29b,31c
", keyword-mixed	65	31d
", mixed	25,30,38,	12a,15a,19,21d,
,	48,50,64	22b,24c,31b
", reversed standard	25,30,40,44	12a,16,19b,20b
" -, standard	25,30,39,	12a,15a,16,19,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	44,49,80	20b,23,38e
", systematically mixed	65	3lc,e
Analytic key for cryptanalysis	13,125	64,50
Arbitrary symbols	27,121,122	13h,47d,48
Assumptions	113	46h
Average length of messages	23	11b
Baconian cipher	74	35e
Bar distribution	- 16	9a
Beginnings of messages	6 8	32e
Biliteral substitution	85	41
Bipartite alphabet	73	35b,c
Blanks, number of	• 29	14e
Book systems	124	49e
Censorship, methods for evading	121	47c
Characteristic frequency of		
the letters of a language	17,28,51	9d,14b,25
Characteristic frequency of the lette		- -
of a language, suppression of	77,87	37,41f
Checkerboard systems	89,101	44,45
Checkerboards, 4-square	89	44
Cipher, Baconian	74	35e
" component	70	34
", distinguished from code	79	3 8c
tengui or ab	or	
compared with plain text " unit	85 85	40c
		41c
Classification of ciphers Code systems	24,25,120,126 10,88,120,122	12a,13,47,50e,f
", distinguished from ciph		4a,41g,47b,48 38c,24c,footnote
Completing the plain component	41,71	20a,34a
Concealed messages	120	47c
Condensed table of repetitions	۲ <i>۴</i> ۵ (57	. 27i
Consonants distinguished from vowels	57,66	28,320
", relative frequency of	20,25,38	10a,13,19
", in succession	66	320
Conversion of cipher text	46,47,72	21a,c,34c
Coordinates on work sheet	52	26d
Coordination of services	- 7	2e

Ĭ

٠

•

-

....

.

.

	- 10	50 - <u>Page</u>	Paragraph
	Crests and troughs	20,28,87,92	10a,14b,41f,44c
	" ", absence of	29	14c
	Deciphering alphabets	64	31c
	Dictionary words used as code words	120	47b
	Digraphic substitution	86,88	41c,42,43
ì	Digraphs, characteristic frequency	51	25
ì	", weighted according	1	80
	to relative frequency	60	29
	Distribution, bar type	16,23	91,11b
	", normal	32	17b,c
	", with no crests and trough		14c
		121	47c
	Dummy letters		14b
	Elementary sounds, characteristic frequ	64	31c
	Enciphering alphabet	69	32e
	Endings of messages	81	32e 39b
	Equivalent values		
	Figure ciphers	27,122	13h,48
	Fitting distribution to normal	32,38,80	17b,c,19,38e
	Foreign language cryptograms	11,15	5b,7c
	Formulas	69	530
		1,38,53,92,93	9,17,19,26e,44c
	, j ridded bo horma		17b,c
	, 101 0000	120	47b
	, iour part	30	38d
	, monorr bor dr	16,31	9,17
	, or igraphic	54	27
	mention of Solution	34,51,60	18,240,29
	General solutions in cryptanalysis	119	46i
	" system, determination of	10,12,25,125	4a,6,13,50
	Generatrix	14	20a
	Goodness of fit	52	17b
	Grilles	121	. 47c
	Hidden messages	121	47c
	High frequency consonants	26	134
	Historical examples of polyliteral syst		36
	Idiomorphism	70	33e
	Indicators	123	49b
	Intelligence facilities	6	2e
	Intelligible text obtained by chance	47	21b
	Intuitive method	68	53
	Invisible writing	1	11
	Japanese Morse alphabet	11,122	5b,49b
	Kata Kana Morse alphabet	122	48b
	Key phrase	77	36c
	Known sequences	49	23a
	Language employed in a cryptogram	1.0	4a,5
	" frequency characteristics	17,51	9d,25
	" peculiarities	11	5b

.....

-

. -

Ξ, -

-x**x%**XxXX

<u>م</u>	AMAAAA	
	- 161 - <u>Pago</u>	Paragraph
Letters, accented	11	5b
", low frequency	64	31c
" , missing	11,29	5b,14e
Low-frequency consonants	26,64	13d,31¢
Medium frequency consonants	26	13d
Messages, beginnings and endings	50	204
amenable to cryptanelysis	68	32e
", general phraseology	123	49a
", hidden	121	47c
Military text	21	10b
Missing letters	11,29	5b,14e
Mixed alphabet	30,48,50,64	15a,22b,24c,31b
" sequence	48	21d
Modified Playfair	106	46d
Monoalphabets	1	- <u>+</u> 50 1b
Monoalphabet distinguished from polya		12,14
Monoliteral frequency distribution	16,31	9,17
Morse alphabet, Japanese, Russian	11,122 -	5b,48b
Normal distribution	32	
	17,21,51	17b,c
" frequency " " , deviations from	26	9,11,25 13b
Nulls	83,121	
Nulls New York Tribune	75	40,47c 36
Patterns	69	33d
Pentaliteral cipher	74	35e
Phraseology of messages	123	49a
Plain component, completion of	41	20a
Plain-text unit	85	41c
Playfair cipher	94,102	44,46
" , modified	1.06	46d
Polyalphabetic cipher distinguished	708	400
from monoalphabet	24,28	• 12,14
Polygraphic substitution	85	41
Polyliteral substitution	73,77,85	35,37,41c
" systems, historical examp		36
Prefixes in trigraphic distribution	55	27e
Prerequisites for cryptographic work	2	2
Probable-word method	68	33
Pseudo-polygraphic systems	87	41e
Punctuation in telegraphic text	21	10c
Random text, number of blanks	29	150 14f
Relative frequencies	21,28	
Repetitions		10b,c,d,11,14b
	27,50,51,56 79	13g,24b,24c,27
, the cone nessage	66	38c 32c
", of consonants ", of digraphs and trigraph		520 27f
", condensed table of	18 50 57	271 271
, condensed vante of	υr ,	61 I

ť

C

.

۶

•

XXXXXXX

-

- 162 -

- 10		
	Page	Paragraph
Reversed standard alphabets	30,44	16 201
Reversible digraphs indicated on worksh		16,20b 26f
Russian Morse alphabet	11,122	5b,48b
Security of monoalphabet using		003.100
standard alphabets	49	23
Sequences, known	49	23a
", mixed	48	21d
", unknown	49	23a
Solutions of a subjective nature	8	3
Specific key	10,14,40,64	4,7,19a,31b
Standard alphabets	30,44,80	15a,16,20b,38e
Subjective solutions	8	5
Substitution, biliteral	85	41
", digraphic	86,88	41c,42a
", distinguished from		-
transposition	24,25	12,13
", polygraphic	85	4lc
", polyliteral	85	41c
", trigraphic	* 85	41c
", triliteral	85	41
Suffixes in trigraphic distribution	55	27e
Suppression of frequency	77,84,87	37,40b,41f
Symbols as cipher elements	27,121,122	13h,17d,48
Telegrams, average length of	23	11b
Terminology	1	1
Text, different types	21	10b,c
Transposition distinguished from		
substitution	24,25	12,13
Trigraphic cipher system	85	41c
treducted copte	54	27
Triliteral frequency distribution	54	27
BUDD OF COULOID	85	41
Type numbers for cryptographic systems	126	50f
Unknown sequences Variants	49	23a
	78,84,123,124	37d,40b,49c,d,49f
Vowels, average distance apart ", combinations with consonants	66 57 59	32c, footnote
", " vowels	57,58 59	28,29
", distinguished from consonants		29a
", in succession	57,66 66	28,320 320
", relative frequency of	20,25,38	10a,13,19
Word formulas	69	33d
" lengths in a cryptogram	52,68,69,70	26c,32e,33d,33f,g
" patterns	69	33d
"skeletons	61,68	30b, 32c
Work sheet, preparation of	51	26
1	\$1 (1)	

REE ID:REAGAA

.

1



			╵╹ ┍╤┯ <u>┙</u> ┯╴┲╼┇ ╼╡╍╋ <u>╈</u> ═╋╸┠╶╡		Terte		IN IT	Heft	N E LE		n je in	u u				, Titci		2,i 2
				╋╫ <u>┙</u> ┲╌╴														
																		-
- (科学									
٤	85 33 11 11 12 13				┿ ╎╴╴ ╷╴╵╴╴	╬╬╫╬╬╬┙ ┙╫╝╵╊┍╬┉	╬┸┿╢ _╓ ┲╷ ╆╒╋╷╧┲┲┚╻											
8	Bol						티너 너 많는 단											
															╶╴╵╸╡╣┇╼┥╶╎╴ ╌╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴			-
7							d h. d. 1.0.1.4.10											4
-																		4
		╶╶┙┯ <u>╞╼</u> ╠┺┽╵┾╸ ╻╴╻┿╤═╬┰┝╼╎╺╷ ╸╷								1		ᡛᡟᡗᡣᡰᡰᡏᠯ	日報時間	翻出出				
	85					┝╬╤┝╴┝╴╫╢ ╔╴╷┝╴┝╴╫╢				╟╌╦╎╼┟╧┼								-
		- - - - - - - - - -																-
ທ ທ						中国				臀輪	┽┥╴┝└┝╦╞╧┥							ī
F Z	55 <mark>-+</mark>	╺╧╧┲╌╴ ╴┍╷╴┍╶╴╴																
٩No		╵╶═┿╬┽┤╎┾╬ ╺╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴ ╺																7
ű ZO																		-
ŏ							EF F											-
<u>ل</u> د 	┶┝┿╞╪╤╵┍╬┝ _┪ ╘╧╵╵╏╴╪╴╺╖┍								料 課									
5								╷┥╌┇╬╋┿┤╵ ╢╽╬┺╍┨╺╎╶╞╴										
,					封禪										┝ <u>╹╷</u> ┝╝┝┹┇ ╶╧┋╍┛╴┑╶╬╶╫			rite and the
Ę.																		
Z BE																		
5 z								╔╔╪╗┶╵┍╌┇╴╸ ╪╅╬┯╾╋┯┾╍┿ ┿╫┿┥╒╋╪╬╤╼╢╵										H T
à																┢╻┠╍╬┝]
2																		1
																╈┝╋╦╋┪		1
I	IJ ╡ ╡ ╡ ╢ ╎╵╵ ╡ ╎ ╵ ╎ ╵ ╵ ・ ╎ ╵ ╵ ・ ╎ ╵ ╵ ・ ╵ ・ ╵ ・ ╵ ・												10대 51 11 4년5 11 11 4년 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		╷┝┍┝╤╫═╢╼╢ ╢┝┑╽╫╦═╽┯┷ ╸╶┷┠╝┠┉╋╴┠╵		┿╫┝╖┝╼╋╓┷┽╌┍ ┥╅┝╩┧╫┇╋┎╖┝╴	
1		体目	FUR		朝期													-
	5																	
															ात्रविक्तान्य			



4

.

'X	. 1.1 1.2	, 1		· • 2			.** . 	 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			- : "; 11 - 11 - 1	۱ /· ۲۰	e e 4 •	**? 1***.	.913 ¥ .414 10.11	
						1111 111111 1 1 1 1 1 1		NO.4									
					┲╖║║┎═╵╴┇ ╫┽┶╴┲╄╼╃┥╕ ╀╌┠╾╢╢╢╼╝╄			╡ ╪ ╪									
													· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				112
															123,110 1940		
					firming the second s												#
5											┙┙┙╝┲╷┍ ╫╸┍╧┠┑╵╫╸						ri F
											- 1 - 1				┷╋╫┙┿ ╼╄╫╵╫		
					╷╷╷╷ ╷╷╷╷╷ ╔╴┍╴╴╷╷╴			╷ <mark>╷╝╫╎</mark> ┝╶┢╴ ┉┾╌╴┝╶╟┥						intert,	曜 沢 舟	╇╵ ┊ ┲╬╌┥╶ ┲╴┲╔╖╶┖╓	ŧ. ₽-
				╫╬┍┯╌╽╛╼┿╠╫╪╦┉┪ ┉╬╾┾╌┧╼╡╍╢╧┾╌┾╴ ┱╎╵┟╫╠╫┙═╁╴┥╶╬┇				╋╌╫║┝╌╬╠╫╫╖┙ ──┤──╎ <u>┲╌</u> ╆┙┨╼╌┝ ╴╷╶──┨┸┝┙╾╄╴╵╵									9
																나라표하 수학	
SEZ						畫一種						4					
ONANT					計画が										11 1 1 1 141 14		، H
OSNO SNO																	
83 1111										的一些是一			J. CFT . 4	电子管			圓
ġ EFF																	
L L				┙╋┙┽┿╷║╢╫╬╎╽ ┑╋╌┿╴┝╴┍╄╫╉╼╢┡╴												手/市里 市/	4
				· [d = 1 = -] ; [] #.d'i ; age =													-11 -1
BER OR							f FF-Illu										
		一方曲同門群	동안들을 위한 같은 같은		카백파는												Ę
Σ	┤┤╫╩┾╶╔╢┲╸╻┍ ┍╴╓╴┲┑┎╓╦╓╸┶╼ ┽╅┵╝╵╫╝╧┍╺╟╬╴║											**************************************		╵╵┍┙╒╴╽╫ ╵╽╻╞╵╎╕╸ ╷┝╵┡╫╹╴╽╷			
e Ek																	
0 FUP (Marr)																	
0 5 1	10 15 20 25 30	计旗, 一辆 ()	50 55 60 65	70 75 80 85	90 95 10	新し 0 105 110 115	120 125 12	10 135 140		160 165 170	175 180 185	肥田生む日	200-1			O DH CARO H DH YORK	

, in the second second

۰ ب



