USCIB: 29.9/3

17 September 1954

EO 3.3(h)(2)

PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

24

FECRETA609

TOP SECRET _ U.S. FYES ONLY

MEMORANDUM FOR THE MEMBERS OF USCIBEC:

Subject:

Reference: USCIB 29.9/2, dated 17 September 1954.

1. The enclosure is forwarded for consideration in connection with Item 4 of the agenda for the 19th Meeting of USCIBEC, to be held on Tuesday, 21 September 1954, at 1400. The reference contains a resume of earlier USCIBEC consideration of the problem (15 September 1954).

2. The Committee's primary interest in further consideration of this problem is to determine whether the national interest will best be served by withdrawing the U.S. request for

in view of the information contained in the enclosure, and information on COMINT aspects of the problem which will be presented by the Director, NSA in advance of the meeting.

U. S. Navy

Executive Secretary, USCIB

Enclosure Comments submitted by the CIA Member

USCIB: 29.9/3

TOP SECRET

<u>Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 09-29-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526</u>

	TOP REF RID: A60	971
		17 September 1954
MEMORANDUM	FOR THE MEMBERS OF USCIBEC	EO 3.3(h)(2)
UBJECT:		PL 86-36/50 USC 3605
	Special Meeting on 15 September 195	
	-	NSA should be sent to
for the pur	pose of fulfilling a request by	
o the U.S. reque		briefing with respect
0 000 0.00 204-		
	USCIBEC decision has been carefully	
Central Intelliger	nce Agency in light of the points ma <u>de</u>	e during the USCIBEC
neeting and in li	ght of statements on the subject by	
(1)	This review has raised con	
	e proposed briefing would in fact furth reement to the and (2	her U.S. efforts to) whether the need for
hecure ag	, if obtainable, is sufficient to just	
	parable, damage to U.S. intelligence	
esulting from co	ontinued military and diplomatic pres	sure for these base
ights. It appear	rs therefore that further consideration	n should be given to
	rall intelligence benefits expected from se currently being realized through o	
3. At the	s described in paragraph 5 below. USCIBEC meeting, it was brought ou	ut that:
•	The requirement for //	no
longer nas	s the importance that it did at the inco	eption of negotiations.
	/	
	The draft agreements, as they now st	-
	station a liaison officer at one of the	bases, and put
	under their command. The U.S. is now unwilling to give the	
<u>~,</u>	the U.S. is now unwining to give the	any access
	<u>///</u>	
4.		
ad manda the fell	owing statements:	
nd made the 1011	- ,	
	U.S. Army and U.S. Air Force are rec	questing
	U.S. Army and U.S. Air Force are rec	;

.

TOP SECRET





e) Therefore, U. etarded, by a briefi questions nor offers	ing of	be advanced and may which neither answers quid pro quo.	OGA

8. The issue therefore is whether the potential benefits to be derived from the U.S. ______, if obtainable, would compensate for the loss of other irreplaceable current and long-range intelligence benefits which will be jeopardized by any further U.S. pressure for establishment of these bases, particularly since there is substantial doubt as to whether efforts to obtain these bases will be successful in any event. Judging from the statements mentioned in paragraphs 3a and 3b above, the benefits to be derived from the proposed bases are somewhat in doubt; however, more complete evidence on this point should be produced in order effectively to balance the gains against the losses.

9. It is therefore recommended problem of negotiations for attention to the points raised above.	d that USCIBEC re	econsider the , with particular	
		EO 3.3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 US	C 3605
	Huntington D. CIA Memb		OGA

- TOP SECRET