

USCIB: 29.11/7

12 July 1954

~~TOP SECRET~~

EO 3.3(h)(2)  
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

MEMORANDUM FOR THE MEMBERS OF USCIB:

Subject:

Reference: USCIB 29.11/4 dated 15 March 1954.

1. The enclosures, which are in accord with the current policy of USCIB as set forth in the reference, are circulated for information at the request of the Director, NSA.

2. THE ENCLOSURES HERETO CONTAIN COMMUNICATIONS INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION AND SHOULD BE RETAINED IN CHANNELS PROVIDED EXCLUSIVELY FOR THAT PURPOSE.

  
RUFUS L. TAYLOR  
Captain, U. S. Navy  
Executive Secretary, USCIB

Enclosures

NSA ser 000320-S dtd 7 Jul 1954  
with its encl. (NSA ser 000318-S  
dtd 6 Jul 1954 for SUKLO, Wash.)

USCIB: 29.11/7



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Serial: 0003205

7 Jul 1954

TOP SECRET

EO 3.3(h)(2)  
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, USCIB

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

1. On 28 June 1954, [REDACTED] discussed with Brig. Gen. John B. Ackerman (Vice Director, NSA) the topic of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] said that Her Majesty's Ambassador has approached the Foreign Minister of [REDACTED] on the matter of [REDACTED]. According to [REDACTED] in the [REDACTED], neither side has yet mentioned the subject of possible quid pro quo arrangements or the subject of U.S. interests in obtaining base rights.

2. [REDACTED] indicated that the U.K. is anxious to keep its quid pro quo arrangements in line with U.S. offers to [REDACTED] and he therefore requested information regarding:

a. The latest stage of [REDACTED]

b. Offers made, or considered, by the U.S. in the fields of COMINT liaison, technical training, intercept materials, and end products (including an indication of the nature of any intercept materials or end products).

3. The information requested as in paragraph 2, above, has been furnished by a memorandum, a copy of which is inclosed.

4. It is requested that the foregoing information and copies of the inclosure be furnished to the Members of USCIB for their information.

FOR THE DIRECTOR:

JOHN B ACKERMAN  
Brigadier General, US Air Force  
Vice Director

Incl:

NSA Serial 000318-S  
dtd 6 Jul 54 (Cys 3-42)

~~TOP SECRET~~ CONTROL NUMBER 54-1800  
COPY 24 OF 46 COPIES  
PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.



Serial: 000318-S  
6 July 54

EO 3.3(h)(2)  
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

~~TOP SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SENIOR UK LIAISON OFFICER, WASHINGTON

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

1. Reference is made to our conversation of 28 June 1954, which included a discussion of U.K. and U.S. negotiations for [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] / You requested information regarding the latest stage of U.S.- [REDACTED] including some details on the actions taken or considered by the U.S. on the matter of quid pro quo.

2. Site surveys have been completed and the details of U.S. requirements, based in part on the surveys, have been submitted in suitable form. These requirements were incorporated in a classified Annex to an unclassified military base rights agreement. On 23 June 1954, the agreement was signed by both parties.

3. The [REDACTED] specific intentions regarding quid pro quo were not indicated in the negotiations, and have not yet been determined by any other means; they may become evident before completion of projected installations. USCIB has decided on the limits of information and material which may be made available to [REDACTED] as quid pro quo for [REDACTED] rights. Those limits, in order of desirability, are briefly described below:

- a. If possible, nothing will be given.
- b. If necessary, monetary reimbursement.
- c. If necessary, money and communications equipment.
- d. If demanded, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and included in the following list (which contains the types of [REDACTED] which are expected to be available from the projected bases and which may be offered if required in the order listed):

[REDACTED]

~~TOP SECRET~~

Serial: 000318-5



4. When USCIB decided on the limits mentioned above, it was with the understanding that the nature of the problem is such as to require prior consideration by cognizant U.S. authorities in advance of any specific commitment. USCIB provided at the same time that:

a. The quid pro quo should be held to the minimum consistent with securing the base rights; the release of raw traffic should be proposed only if base rights can be secured in no other way. All possible assurances should be obtained from the [redacted] authorities that they will safeguard all materials received, will not make them available to any other power, and will conceal the existence of the agreement with the U.S.

b. In the event that the [redacted] request that their liaison officers be allowed to tour operational areas of [redacted] in their country and if it should be considered that the successful conclusion of negotiations is dependent upon agreeing to such a request, tours can be arranged during periods of construction and prior to the time that units become operational. In addition, tours (exclusive of certain crypto areas) could be arranged periodically, preferably at the discretion of commanders concerned, after units become operational providing the operational units have sufficient prior notice to secure sensitive material. In order to do this, operations must be halted and considerable preparation accomplished prior to such visits. Should the situation arise wherein it would be required to agree to allowing [redacted] liaison officers to tour operational areas, such agreement should be both informal and conditional along the lines indicated above since frequent tours authorized under broad authority could destroy the effectiveness of the units.

FOR THE DIRECTOR:

JOHN B ACKERMAN  
Brigadier General, US Air Force  
Vice Director

Copy furnished:  
Exec. Sec., USCIB

~~TOP SECRET~~ CONTROL NUMBER 51-1801  
COPY 24 OF 49 COPIES  
PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES