SECRET · CONFI	DEN At	REF	#Ds:rX67428	
' DATE		,	•	
	ом то		FROM	
Chief, ASA(10).			Div(80)	
Executive O (11)			(81)	
Co'r Joint Oper(12)			iel Br(82)	
Deputy Chief, ASA(20) Dir, Comm Res(14)			ds Br(83) tive Br(84)	
Ch, Pers Sec (21)		•	Br(85)	
Ch, Org & Tng Sec. (22)			Dev Div (70)	
Ch, Plans & Oper(23)	,	•		
Ch, Materiel Sec(24)			oh & Cif Br(72)	
Ch, Fiscal Sec (25)		Ch, Int Eq	uip Br(73)	
Adjutant, ASA (26)		Ch, Elec &	Elec Br. (74)	
Ch, Sec Cont Sec (27)			erv Br(75)	
Ch, Operations Div. (90)			Br(76)	
Ch, Lab Br (91)			'ng Br(61)	
Ch, Machine Br (92)			Br(62)	
Ch, Crypt Br (93)		o, Ariingtor	Hall(40)	
Ch, Int Cont Br(94) Ch, I & D Br(95)				
Cli, 1 & D Bi (95) Tech Staff (96)				
( ) Approval & Return	Doubsen	formation ecommend	SSile	
( ) As Requested	T ( ) R	ecommend:	ation Jigwand Records	
( Concurrence or Congressite ( ) Information & Forwarding	, ( ) V	our action	haze -	
( ) Information & Return	on 2013081.	var action	nich to base reply	
( ) Indiminion & Itelan		no upon w	men to base repri	
I still cannot agree with Khoads.				
I still cannot agree with Rhoads.  A sound theoretical organization,				
a sound theoretical organization				
without the proper individuals				
I ll wat I to a De I'm				
will has function to right undurant				
le care a bush				
my inme	1 1	J. V.	11 1	
organised (on bate) set us shuction.				
<i>U U</i>		Ja	·	
WDGAS FORM NO. 96 (Rev. 11) 31 Oct 46 44412				
Declassifie	d and approv	zed for rel	ease by NSA on.	

SEGRET

CONFIDENMAL	REF_LD:126742
DATE TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TO	

TO FROM	TO FROM		
Chief, ASA (10)  Executive O (11)  Co'r Joint Oper (12)  Deputy Chief, ASA (20)  Ch, Comm Res Sec (14)  Ch, Hist Unit (13)  Ch, Pers Sec (21)  Ch, Org & Tng Sec (22)  Ch, Plans & Oper (23)  Ch, Fiscal Sec (24)  Ch, Fiscal Sec (25)  Adjutant, ASA (26)  Ch, Sec Cont Sec (27)  Ch, Operations Div (90)  Ch, Lab Br (91)  Ch, Machine Br (92)  Ch, Crypt Br (93)  Ch, Int Cont Br (94)  Ch, I & D Br (95)	Tech Staff (96) (80) (81) (81) (81) (81) (82) (82) (83) (83) (84) (84) (85) (85) (85) (70) Tech Staff (71) (71) (71) (71) (71) (73) (74) (74) (75) (75) (76)		
( ) Approval & Return ( ) As Requested ( ) Concurrence or Comments ( ) Information & Forwarding ( ) Information & Return	<ul> <li>( ) Information &amp; File</li> <li>( ) Recommendation</li> <li>( ) Signature if approved</li> <li>( ) Your action by</li> <li>( ) Info upon which to base reply</li> </ul>		
To comme	its.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Declassified by NSA/CSS			
	s- Colicy and		
On 20/3008 by 189			
*			

# CONFIDENTIAL

### ROTATION PLAN COMMITTEE

4 November 1947

A meeting of the Rotation Plan Committee was held in Room 117 Headquarters at 1000 hours on 30 October 1947. The following were present:

Mr. William F. Friedman, AS-14, Chairman Dr. S. Kullback, AS-70

Dr. A. Sinkov, AS-80

Nr. F. B. Rowlett, AS-90

Mr. J. L. Sullivan, AS-61

Capt. R. W. Stowbridge, AS-23 lst Lt. H. S. Buchanan, AS-21

Also present:

Mr. Leo Rosen, AS-70 Mr. Mark Rhoads, AS-14

The Chairman briefly reviewed the order setting up the Committee and the results of its two previous meetings. He recalled to the members that after the second meeting no further action was taken because uncertainties incident to budgetary problems and their effects upon our personnel levels and so on made it advisable to postpone action in regard to rotation of personnel. Recently Colonel Hayes inquired regarding the status of the Plan and indicated that he wanted the Committee to resume its deliberations. He desired that the Committee should make additional specific recommendations regarding rotation of personnel and indicated that the matter of rotating division chiefs should be explored.

In regard to the persons already rotated (Small, Douglas, and Ferner), Dr. Sinkov stated that he would like to keep Mr. Small for another six months. It was agreed that no further rotation of these personnel for at least six months would take place.

In answer to the question: should rotation be made for definite periods? Mr. Rowlett stated that he believed that it should depend on operational needs.

A review of the lists of rotatable personnel previously prepared by the division chiefs was made. After considerable discussion the names of the following were suggested for possible rotation:

Mr. Dumey, P-6, Chief of AS-76 to be interchanged with Mr. Clark, P-6, Assistant Chief of Security Division.

Mr. Gordon, P-5, Chief of Project Section, AS-76, to be interchanged with Mr. Austin, P-5, Chief of AS-83.

It was agreed that the wishes of the individual in each case should be consulted prior to any transfer.

Due to the difficulty in selection of personnel for rotation when selection is based on individuals rather than on the jobs held by them, it was agreed that a broader program based on a study of comparable job descriptions rather than on individuals be studied. Mr. Rhoads was designated to make this study.

The rotation of Staff members with, operating personnel was discussed. It was agreed that this was important because business relations between these two categories of personnel would be aided by a knowledge of each other's problems. At present, if there are conflicts in views these are due mainly to the lack of appreciation of the other fellow's problems for it is the exceptional case where a Staff member has had any operating experience and vice versa. Because of the limitation on assignment of grades higher than P-4 to Staff, in accordance with a decision made by the Chief or Deputy Chief, ASA, it was felt that no good purpose would be served in rotating civilians from the operating divisions to the Staff, because it is personnel of grades higher than P-4 ordinarily who would accomplish the most good in this regard. It was deemed most important, however, that Staff members be rotated to operating divisions, in case they had no operating experience. In the future, it would be advisable to assign those who are to occupy Staff positions for a minimum of a year in the operating divisions. The present short tour is totally inadequate to give a proper appreciation of the problems to be encountered. The case of the 32 AAF officers to be assigned to AEA was cited. These officers are to be assigned to the operating divisions and not to Staff. Hence they will probably be better experienced than equivalent ASA officers in their detailed knowledge of operations and will be better equipped for their own operations in the Air Force and for effective liaison with ASA.

Rotation of Division Chiefs. Mr. Friedman reviewed the advantages to be gained from such rotation. In addition to the points cited in the minutes of the previous meeting, he felt that rotation of division chiefs would provide a freshness in point of view and prevent the solidification of people in jobs for long periods of time. An organization should not be dependent on one man or a very limited number of men, and although it would be a hardship on the individual to switch, the Agency as a whole would benefit. Cases were cited of such rotation in high administrative positions in the Bell Telephone System and in other companies. Mr. Sullivan was requested to ascertain from the Personnel Division of the Department of the Army or elsewhere pertinent data in regard to any studies made along this line in the commercial field.

# CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Rowlett objected to accepting as a valid generalization that the "new-point-of-view" idea is a good reason for rotating division chiefs. It does not always work out, and he does not believe in shifting for the sake of shifting. If vacancies occur, however, that is another matter. The application of "new broom" in practice often has repercussions and temporarily, at least, there is a possibility of creating inefficiencies.

Dr. Sinkov stated that he has been in his present position for a year and a half. It has been good experience because it has been new to him. He feels, however, that he is totally out of the picture in regard to cryptanalysis and the research laboratory fields. He would welcome the change for the stimulation that it would bring to him. His present position has become largely routinized.

Dr. Kullback had a neutral feeling in the matter. In his position as Chief of AS-70 he has dealings with both AS-80 and AS-90 and is between the two. However, he would not object to rotation.

Asked for his opinion, Captain Stowbridge indicated his view that rotation of high-echelon personnel, including division chiefs, would be good for the Agency.

Lt. Buchanan, representing Lt. Colonel Libby stated that he was too new in his present assignment to venture any views on the matter.

Mr. Sullivan stated that he had no views on the matter except to see that enything done was in harmony with Civil Service regulations.

Mr. Rosen indicated that while he knew that rotation of highechelon personnel was a policy followed in the Bell Laboratories and elsewhere, he was opposed to the idea of shifting people around just for the sake of a change to see what might happen.

Mr. Rhoads was of the opinion that rotation of higher-ephelon personnel, including Staff members and division chiefs, should be adopted as a general policy wherever or whenever practicable. He reiterated the fallacy of basing an organization on individuals rather than on sound organizational principles.

The meeting was then adjourned to avait reports from Messrs. Rhoads and Sullivan.

WILLIAM F. FRIEDMAN Chairman

12 eoples

### ROTATION PLAN COMMITTEE

4 November 1947

A meeting of the Rotation Plan Committee was held in Room 117 Headquarters at 1000 hours on 30 October 1947. The following were present:

Mr. William F. Friedman, AS-14, Chairman

Dr. S. Kullback, AS-70.

Dr. A. Sinkov, AS-80

Mr. F. B. Rowlett, AS-90 Mr. J. L. Sullivan, AS-61

Capt. R. W. Stowbridge, AS-23

1st Lt. H. S. Buchanan, AS-21

Also present:

Mr. Leo Rosen, AS-70 Mr. Mark Rhoads, AS-14

The Chairman briefly reviewed the order setting up the Committee and the results of its two previous meetings. He recalled to the members that after the second meeting no further action was taken because uncertainties incident to budgetary problems and their effects upon our personnel levels and so on made it advisable to postpone action in regard to rotation of personnel. Recently Colonel Hayes inquired regarding the status of the Plan and indicated that he wanted the Committee to resume its deliberations. He desired that the Committee should make additional specific recommendations regarding rotation of personnel and indicated that the matter of rotating division chiefs should be explored.

In regard to the persons already rotated (Smail, Douglas, and Ferner), Dr. Sinkov stated that he would like to keep Mr. Small for another six months. It was agreed that no further rotation of these personnel for at least six months would take place.

In answer to the question: should rotation be made for definite periods? Mr. Rowlett stated that he believed that it should depend . on operational needs.

A review of the lists of rotatable personnel previously pre-pared by the division chiefs was made. After considerable discussion the names of the following were suggested for possible rotation:

Mr. Dumey, P-6, Chief of AS-76 to be interchanged with Mr. Clark, P-6, Assistant Chief of Security Division.

Declassified by NSA/CSS

Deputy Associate Director for Policy and Records

On 20/3/00D by RS

Mr. Gordon, P-5, Chief of Project Section, AS-76, to be interchanged with Mr. Austin, P-5, Chief of AS-83.

It was agreed that the wishes of the individual in each case should be consulted prior to any transfer.

Due to the difficulty in selection of personnel for rotation when selection is based on individuals rather than on the jobs held by them, it was agreed that a broader program based on a study of comparable job descriptions rather than on individuals be studied. Mr. Rhoads was designated to make this study.

Samoerad

The rotation of Staff members with operating personnel was discussed. It was agreed that this was important because business relations between these two categories of personnel would be aided by a knowledge of each other's problems. At present, if there are conflicts in views these are due mainly to the lack of appreciation of the other fellow's problems for it is the exceptional cese where a Steff member has had any operating experience and vice veres. Because of the limitation on assignment of grades higher then P-4 to Staff, in accordance with a decision made by the Chief or Deputy Chief, ASA, it was felt that no good purpose would be served in rotating civilians from the operating divisions to the Staff, because it is personnel of grades higher than P-4 ordinarily who would accomplish the most good in this regard. It was deemed most important, however, that Staff members be rotated to operating divisions, in case they had no operating experience. In the future, it would be advisable to assign those who are to occupy Staff positions for a minimum of a year in the operating divisions. The present short tour is totally inadequate to give a proper appreciation of the problems to be encountered. The case of the 32 AAF officers to be assigned to ASA was cited. These officers are to be assigned to the operating divisions and not to Hence they will probably be better experienced than equivalent ASA officers in their detailed knowledge of operations and will be better equipped for their own operations in the Air Force, and for effective Italson with ASA.

Rotation of Division Chiefs. Mr. Friedmen reviewed the advantages to be gained from such rotation. In addition to the points cited in the minutes of the previous meeting, he felt that rotation of division chiefs would provide a freshness in point of view and prevent the solidification of people in jobs for long periods of time. An organization should not be dependent on one man or a very limited humber of men, and although it would be a hardship on the individual to switch, the Agency as a whole would benefit. Cases were cited of such rotation in high administrative positions in the Bell Telephone System and in other companies. Mr. Sullivan was requested to ascertain from the Personnel Division of the Department of the Army or elsewhere pertinent data in regard to any studies made along this line in the commercial field.

# CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Rowlett objected to accepting as a valid generalization that the "new-point-of-view" idea is a good reason for rotating division chiefs. It does not always work out, and he does not believe in shifting for the sake of shifting. If vacancies occur, however, that is another matter. The application of "new broom" in practice often has repercussions and temporarily, at least, there is a possibility of creating inefficiencies.

Dr. Sinkov stated that he has been in his present position for a year and a half. It has been good experience because it has been new to him. He feels, however, that he is totally out of the picture in regard to cryptanalysis and the research laboratory He would welcome the change for the stimulation that it would bring to him. His present position has become largely routinized. problems of

Dr. Kullback had a neutral feeling in the matter. position as Chief of AS-70 he has dealings with both AS-80 and AS-90. it so decided, aethorgh he is completely absorbed in and by his present assignment

Asked for his opinion, Captain Stowbridge indicated his view that rotation of high-echelon personnel, including division chiefs, would be good for the Agency.

Lt. Buchsnan, representing Lt. Colonel Libby stated that he was too new in his present assignment to venture any views on the matter.

Mr. Sullivan stated that he had no views on the matter except to see that anything done was in harmony with Civil Service regulations.

Mr. Rosen indicated that while he knew that rotation of highechelon personnel was a policy followed in the Bell Laboratories and elsewhere, he was opposed to the idea of shifting people around just for the sake of a change to see what might happen.

Mr. Rhoads was of the opinion that rotation of higher-echelon personnel, including Staff members and division chiefs, should be adopted as a general policy wherever or whenever practicable. reiterated the fallacy of basing an organization on individuals rather than on sound organizational principles. In Kullback however, felt

that even a sound theoretical organization unthout the proper dividuals will not The meeting was then adjourned to await reports from Messrs. Rhoads and Sullivan.

it reports from mes...

Whereas the night individuals will make even a poorly organized (on paper) set up from MANY

wat amoions to notate

WILLIAM F. FRIEDMAN Chairman.