WĂR DEP

OFFICE OF THE CHI

Mr. David A. Salmon, Chief, Division of Communications and Records, Department of State, Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Salmon:

τ.

10日 ちょうしょう ト

ŕ

In accordance with the Chief Signal Officer's reply to your latter of January 16, I am sending you a permutation table and instructions pertaining thereto. This table is constructed according to our latest principles and will provide a set of 150,000 five-letter code groups embodying the two-letter difference and nontransposability features throughout.

Very truly yours,

William F. Friedman. Chief of Signal Intelligence Section.

29.

nuary

-72

1934

Attached: Table and instructions.

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-10-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526



LEROPGEBIUTTII 1 1 0 1 2 7 3 8 1 1 X L L L L L L L L T B T B Y H L + 1 + 1 + 1 X L L S O P Q R S T T T T X Y S LHBOPQRSSOVWIYS A B C ·· A 3 C D B F' XYZ 100 O H I ·) ABCDE DE LE CO 3 2 2 4 LIGSEP 2 2 ILZ ZOL) BTUTUTE LBODE 1 SONOR! TTIL GRACE! TZ L D C D

E U Y U IFT 1 3 6 3 3 7 STUTUIE 26 100879813813 411 2 2 N S O P ABGBAS 2 3 2 ABODB70 1 0 2 2 2 1 2 2 3 7 9 1 ABCDE N N O D V TUVVIII XIX TOP 1 2 81 a B 0. 8 8 8 8 V -ASCIR 215 ABOD 0 3 1. N. 1. 1. 2. + 5 H Li 0 0 11 1 12 ABODE 1 2 3 ABCD 2 3 202041 TTTTT ABCD * * * A D C D S P G B I J E L E B O P G B B C B Y B L G

220

Wherein

Jon

20

120,480

ing to be

Section 2. - Second Letter . B C D . F G H . J K L M K . P G H S T . V H X . Z A I B 乏 . . • Y • A . I U . . . Y . C Ē • ¥ • D 0 Α. Ε. • V # L • Z ñ T Ξ J 3 🖌 З C Ð F G H M F, E I 0 •••¥• • 1 G 0 1 Ĩ ••¥• H . 0 Ũ. Ľ • Z •• B U D X I C R S T V A F H J . G . K N . P 봂 Λ.... J ឋ . Y . Æ Ŧ 0 . . . A ... E . K υ. ¥ ... • I . • 0. . A 1 Τ. £ . . 0 fi . ¥. I . . M A • . • ·0 . . . U T N Έ -0 Å . Y. K C F G E J N Z 0 • B D L M . P 5 R S Т v Х. . • • • 5 ĩ I Y . А 0 . . . υ. . C • E I . 0 U . . . Y . •. R . . E I A. θ....Υ...Υ. S Ι ъ. . U . . Y . A . . Ť 1 Ω · . E • V 1/2 • Z • B 0/D S U T H.JK - L H P Q R F G υ...Σ. Α... V E . 0 • Ι U...Y. A... E I 0 X Ϊ..... Wi.Z. BCD. Τ. V G E JKLMN.PCRS F Y Ζ...Υ. Α...Ε.. - I • • 0 . U

Letter First ŧ Ļ, Section

607	(2)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$6 \times 700 = 3000 \times 111 = 9000$ $7 \times 500 = 3500 \times 141 = 24500 7 \times 510 = 3640$ $8 \times 500 = 4000 \times 141 = 28000 8 \times 500 = 4160$ $9 \times 500 = 4500 \times 141 = 13,500 9 \times 500 = 4680$	·
$10 \times 500 = 5500 \times 1 = 5000 $ $11 \times 500 = 5500 \times 111 = 22000$ $10 \times 500 \times 1 = 6000$ 12480 12480 $120, 480$	
- · · · · · · · .	

_____.

• •• •

-

- ---

-- --

• • •

- ----- - - -

· · · ·

10 2 1 2 10268

17×607-10319 18×607- 10926 17×607- 10319 18× 607 - 10872 17×605-11461 18× 607 - 10926 -17×607 - 10319 18×607 - 10926 17×604-10268 18× 606- 10908 17 × 607 - 10319 19×607-11533 17×607- 10319 19 x 604 - 11476



- 11

74×210 -1___ <u>≻\$</u>-2 24 - 3 <u>~1</u> -24 × 75

(18×676)

107

676

- -

676 640 27040 4056 432,640

. <u>-</u>-

. . . . **. . .**

- -- -- --

· -- ·· •·--

10872

•

- --

18×607 - 10926 70×607-12140 19x 607- 11533 19×606 - 11 514 19×605-11495 18× 606- 10908 19×606 11514 17×606- 10302 18× 604- 10872 17× 603- 10251 19×607- 11533 17x 607- 10319 18× 607-10926 17 × 603 -10,751 18× 604-

7.493 3451 6×607= 3642 7×60) = 4249 6. 493 2958 7×607 = 4249 7.413 31ri 9×607= 5463 9.493 4437 11. 43 5th 12×604 = 72 48 6677 11×607 = 5880 12.490 10×007 = 10. 413-4430 7×606 = 4742 6070 7.492 3494 4235 7×605= 7. 491-3457 8×607 = 4856 8-493 394 8×606 4348 8.492-39367×607=4249 7. 793 3451 8.492-3936 8260) = 4818 87606 = 4348 8.493 3944 11. david 12 9×604 = 5463 $11 \times 606 = 6666$ 9.490 9910 6×604 = 3624 6. 470 - 2940 J 37 145,457)X607 = 4221 492 7.489. 3423 7×60) = 4249 7.493.3451 87 11×607 = 6677 11.493. 5403 60311 60 J HU 82607 = 1856 8. 493.3944 605 11 111 606 5×603 = 48LY 8 . 489.3912 607 14111111111 7×604= 4228 7. 440 3430 39,21 III R 9×607=5463 9.413 4437 7 MU III 56 61 M4 11 \$\$607 = 4856 8.493 2940 910 ЦЦ Т 36 3941 10 9 X607 = 5463 44 111 11 9.493 4437 121 29)20 $6 \times 604 = 3624$ 6. 490 2940 ٠٠م ا 11×605-6655 11. 491 5401 87607 = 4856 8 493 3944

CORRECTION OF ERNOLS

A-1

		•		Parsgraph
Sources of errors				ī
Types of garbles	* * * *			2
		e two-letter difference		3
Experience Composition .				-
		ution type		4
Correcting errors of the	transp	sition type	* * *	5
				6
Service messages				7

1. Sources of errors. - Garbles or sutilations in the text of cryptograms come from two sources:

a. Arrors made in encoding, or encidering, or copying. - These errors are avoidable by the exercise of great care on the part of those preparing dispatches which is the best safeguard against most of the mort serious errors made in communication - those made at the source. Where time permits, every dispatch should be verified; that is, deciphered and decoded from the final typed copy by someone other than the person who originally propered the message, before it is filed for transmission. It is not a reliable chack meraly to encode or encipher a second time, because the same error is very likely to be repeated; the reverse process, complete decoding, is certain to disclose any errors and will insure that the final dispatch is correct. when this is ispracticable, the dispatch should be varified after it has been filed, and any errors notes should be corrected and the addresses notified. If the errors merely involve a very limited number of single letters, a correction message any be sent; but if the error is of a serious nature, such as the use of the wrong code or cipher, the entire dispatch should be carefully para brazed by the originator of the dispatch; if this is impossible, than the persphrasing should be done by the commanding officer of the transmitting message center, and propared aner.

b. Errors made in transmission or reception. - These are unavoidable so far as the person who propared the dispatch is concerned, and constitute by far the greatest proportion of simple errors encountered.

2. Types of garbles. - <u>a</u>. Garbled or mutilated letters in a code message are of three types:

: ****

- (1) Substitutions of incorrect latters for the correct ones.
- . -(2) Transpositions of the members of a pair of correct latters.
 - (3) Omissions and additions of letters.

. . . .

b. By far the most common errors are of the first typ., and it is fortunately true that it is unusual for an operator to make more than a single such error in a group of five letters.

3. The error corrector table and tao-letter difference. - 1. The code groups exployed in this code were constructed by means of the chart shown as an insert at the end of the book. Such a chart is often called a "permutation toble", "code word construction table", "a garble table", etc. In these instructions it will be referred to as the ERFOR CORPECTOR TABLE because it has been included in the code in order to assist in the correction of errors.

b. The error corrector table for this code consists of five sections:

- Section 1. A single column of 25 letters, from which the initial letters sre taken.
- Sections 2, 3, and 4. Three intermediate squares of létters (with certain blank spaces)), from which the second, third, and fourth latters, respectively, are taken in turn.

Section 5. A single ros of 26 latters, from which the final letters are taken.

c. The basic principle in using this table to construct code words in composing a code is that each of its five sections contributes one and only one letter to the formation of the word and that in selecting the successive letters one always proceeds in straight lines. For example, suppose the initial letter selected is A, the first letter in Section 1. We may then select any one of the letters on the same line with A but in Section 2; suppose we select F, giving AF as the initial pair of letters of the code group being constructed. We then proceed down the column in which F is located, directly into Section 3 and select the third letter, for example 0, giving AFO as the initial trigraph of the code group. We then go to the right into Section 4, - straight along the line in which the O we selected is located and select a

- 2 -

fourth letter. Suppose we select G, giving the four latters AFDG. We then have available as the fift. Letter only one letter, namely, that which, in Section 5 is directly above the G we selected. In this case the letter is E, giving the complete group AFOLE.

REF ID:A67392

the state of the s

<u>d.</u> With AFO as the initial trigraph we may construct, busides AFO(2), a series of 22 code groups all differing from one another in the last two letters, for example, AFOMA, AFOND, AFOOC, etc. Or, with OGE as the final trigraph we may have, besides AFOGE, a series of 8: code groups all differing from one another in the first two letters, for example END(2, IVO(2), MAD(2). Consideration of this method of construction will show that when the latters are combined in the manner indicated, the resulting code groups must all differ from one mother in <u>at legat</u> two letters. This is referred to as the "two-letter differential", and it is of great assistance in correcting errors when they occur as the result of mistakes in writing, copying, transmission, or reception.

4. Correcting errors of the substitution type. - <u>a</u>. Singl-latter substitutions are by far the most usual of all types of errors, and their correction is quite simple when the code groups are constructed upon the two-latter difference principle. The method will be illustrated by an example.

DOCCU

b. Suppose the code groups DOSCU appoars in a dispatch in the position shown below and is not found listed in the decoding volumes

7 The error may be in any one of the five letters of DOSCU, and by obanging them one at a time, a maximum of five possibilities for the correct group will be found. The group is written down five times, leaving a blank space for the

letter that is assumed to be incorrect in each case. Thus:

 Assuming the first letter, D, to be wrong, the process consists in finding the correct letter to be inserted in the group -OSCU. Refer

3

to the <u>evror corrector</u> table and in Section 5, beginning with U, we find, by following the encoassive letters C, S, and O, in Sections 4, 3, and 2, respectively, that the first letter should be B, giving the group BOSCU as a possibility. -----

- (2) Assuming the second letter, 0, to be wrong, the procedure in finding the missing latter in D-SCU is exactly the same as under (1) above except that we now must locate the second letter of the group, given the first, third, fourth and fifth. By filosing U, C and S, in Sections 5, 4, and 3, respectively, and then finding the letter in Section 2 which is at the intersection of the horizontal line in which D is located in Section 1 and the vertical column in which the S is located in Section 3, we find a blank in the table which indicates that if only one letter in the group DOSCU is wrong, it is not, the second.
- (3) Assuming the third letter, 5, to be wrong, the procedure in correcting DO-CU consists in finding that letter in Section 3 which liss at the intersection of the vertical line determined by following the O letters D and/in Sections 1 and 2, respectively, and the horizontal line determined by following the letters U and C in Sections 5 and 4, respectively. This letter is K, giving DOKCU as a third possibility.
- (4) Assuming the fourth letter, C, to be wrong, the procedure in correcting DOS-U is obvious. We follow the letters D, O, and S in Sections 1, 2, and 3 respectively, then find the letter in Section 4 which lies at the intersection of the horizontal line thus determined, with the vertical column in which U is located in Section 5. In this case, we find a blank in the table, which in incates that if only one letter in the group DOSCU is wrong, it is not the fourth.
- (5) Assuming the fifth letter, U, to be wrong, the procedury shows that it should be Z, giving DOSCZ as a possibility.

g. The meaning of each of the possibilities is then found and that one is selected which best fits the context. Thus:

• 2 -

(1) - 0 S C U = B 0 S C U =
(2) D - S C U = (No group possible)
D 0 S C U = (3) D 0 - C U = D 0 K C U =
(4) D 0 S - U = (No group possible)
(5) D 0 S C - = D 0 S C Z =

Here it is seen that the requirements of the context are met by selecting as the correct group, yielding the following:

* * *

. . .

<u>d</u>. If all attempts to correct an error assumed to be of the singleletter substitution type have resulted in failure or doubt, the next step is to assume that an error of the transposition type is involved. This is explained in detail in paragraph 5.

5. Correcting errors of the transposition type. - <u>a</u>. A rather common error, usually made in copying, is to transpose the members of a pair of letters; that is, two letters, both correct, exchange positions in the group. Ten such transpositions are possible in a 5-letter group, as follows:

•

 Type (1) - 1st and 2d

 (2) - 2d and 3d

 (3) - 3d and 4th

 (4) - 4th and 5th

 (5) - 1st and 3d

 (6) - 2d and 4th

 (7) - 3d and 5th

 (8) - 1st and 4th

 (9) - 2d and 5th

 (10) - 1st and 5th

b. The table from which the code words of this code have been constructed is of such character that even if two letters in a group become transposed, the resulting code group will not be a bonafide group and hence will not be found in the decoding section. On the other hand, if a code group as received

- 5 -

does contain an error of this sort, transposing the proper letters will uncover it. The procedure is quite employ. The first step in correcting an error is to assume that a single-letter error of the substitution type is involved and proceed as shown under paragraph 4. If this results in failure, or gives a correction of doubtful validity, the next step is to assume that a transposition is involved, make the proper transposition of each type shown above, and see if any of the resulting groups are in the decoding section. If one is found so listed, with a meaning that well fits the context, it may be assumed to be correct.

g. An example may serve to make the procedure more clear. Suppose the group RIGOX has been received, found to be incorrect, and tests for a singleletter error have given no good results. The ten possible transpositions are written down, thus:

IRCON RIOCK CINOR RINOC PROOI RCION RICKO ROCIN OICHE MICON One and only one of the foregoing ten groups will be found on reference to the error corractor table, viz., the group RINOC. The meaning of this group is then sought in the decoding section, and if it fits well with the context, may be taken to be correct.

6. Correcting other types of errors. - 3. Since all the code groups contain five letters, the calssion or addition of a letter is at once noted. This type of error is most often due to the false grouping of the churacters of the morse telegraph code by the receiving operator. By reference to the error corrector tables and to the table of most common telegraphic errors, shown on page 000 of the decoding section, the majority of such garbles can be corrected.

he Occasionally attompts to correct a garbled group by assuming a single error of the substitution type or an error of the transposition type result in failure to find a suitable group. In such cases, if the situation is urgent, and it would delay action to wait for a service message (par. 7), attempt should be made to correct an apparent error by assuming that two lotters have been garbled in transmission. The most natural assumption is to consider that two sequent letters have been changed by a false grouping of Morso signals. Assuming the first three letters to be correct, the last two can be found by reference to

- 6 -

the error corrector tables or, assuming the thirds fourth, and fift's letters to be correct, the first and second can be found. It is not usual that a 2-letter mutilation should involve letters that are not sequent; however, as a last report, in the absence of success in other directions, one may assume errors of the substitution type involving two separated letters. In every case, however, the corrections involving two letters should be regarded as tentative, until servicing of the message in question verifies the corrections made.

g. When eigher tables are employed to give added cryptographic security, errors of a different nature are likely to be introduced, such as those occasioned by the incorrect substitution of letters, by the use of incorrect <u>alphabets</u> and incorrect indicators, by failure to show changes in alphabet sequences when necessary, etc. A proper understanding of the mechanics of the cluber system employed is necessary for the correction of errors introduced from this source.

7. Service messages. - In a difficult case, where a dispate is so badly mutilated that neither the error corrector table nor the table of telegraphic errors gives an unaistakable meaning to the mutilated dispatch, or when doubt still exists us to the accuracy of a dispatch, several groups of which have had to be corrected, a service message should be requested. This is, in effect, a request that the mutilated group or groups be repeated from the point of origin, which should result in disclosing errors made in the provious transmission.

- 7 -