NSA MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE SEPTEMBER 21, 22, 23, 1953

CONSOLIDATED CRITIQUE

1. What assistance could have been given you prior to the beginning

of	the Conference that was not given?
a.	None
ъ.	Introductory reading - preliminary reading
c.	Short outline of what talks would be about.
d.	Better directions on how to reach room.
e.	Purpose and scope of conference should have been clearly stated - in preliminary material in introductory discussions
f.	Distribution of program prior to beginning of conference.

Sell participation on enthusiasm of other group rather than

3111

1

44

1

2. What facilities on services could be improved?

"you have been selected".

a.	None.	36
ъ.	Transportation on time.	5
c.	Lerger dining room for luncheon.	1
đ.	Avoid seating people in drafts.	1
e.	Provide for better access to and from conference room.	1
f.	Pentagon parking.	1
g.	Bibliography of texts mentioned in talks.	1
	Availability of reference books.	1

Do you feel that the general level of the Conference was properly pitchéd?

ā.	Yes	44
b.	Mr. Barnewall might have more appeal for college level or 2nd Lts.	1
	than the level of this group.	
c.	The speakers belabored the elementary. This may be because of	1
	poor selection of wordination of speakers or because of the	
	nature of management training. Several talks seemed inappli-	
	cable to the program.	

4. Which speaker did you enjoy the most? Why?

d. No

a.	Oncken	- Method of presentation.	19
		Entertainment.	12
		Most original.	3
		High level of interest.	Ţ
b.	Bennett	- Penetrating analysis.	4
		Most capable and specific.	2

		REF ID:A72188	
	ĉ.	Jarman - More bellicial material: Most sincere.	2 2
	d.	înteresting and stimulating: Rowland = Môst informative and entertaining Familiarity with subject:	
	è.	Gôôdwin = Direct application:	2
	f. g.	Barnewall Presentation: Kane - Arrangement of data and manner of presentation:	i
5.	_	Lon speaker gave you the most <u>new information?</u> Can you be specifie?	
	â.	Oncken = New ways of approach: New material.	7
	ъ.	Kreager - New material.	8
	c.	Jerman - Môre beneficial. New ideas	5
	d.	Bennett - Explained now to do it:	itabena 444 maliabila
	è	Barnevall	4
	f. ĝ	Rovland Goodwin = Clearly presented:	4
	5	New Presenteur	3
	h.	Kaysêr - Ĉlêar:	į
		Kane Alī	Ē
	j k	All but Lêughlin.	î
	ī	No answer.	ī
	m.	None	2
6.	In	what ways has the Conference failed to come up to your expectations?	
	a.	No enswer.	23 11
	ъ.		
	e. d.	Too much information in too short a time without group discussion. Expected a little more of the "how" element to be introduced and	4 4
	u.	discussed	7
	e.	GWU Professors far below expectations in originality, achoraranip,	2
	f.	command of English etc Far exceeded.	ð
			2
	_	Satisfactory.	1
	i.	Too much generalization.	1
7•	In	what ways has the Conference exceeded your expectations?	
	a		18
	Ъ		11
	c. d	No answer. Systematic organization and procedure of whole Conference	8
	~	A D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	

extremely well planned. e. Fine setting of conference.

REF ID: A72188

چ استان استان

		·	
	f.	Professional attitude of all concerned.	3
	g.	Every way.	3
	h.	No expectations.	3
	i.	Subjects.	2
	j.	Cross contacts of management personnel.]
	k.	Not as dry as expected.]
	1.	More practical.]
	m.	None	l
8.		ease check the words which more nearly describe your over-all	
	a.	Excellent	23
	ъ.	Superior	19
	c.	Good	4
	đ.	No answer.	2
9.	Otl	mer comments.	
	a.	No enswer.	18
	b.	None	
	c.	Handling of staff and little details often overlooked were excellent and did much to add enjoyment of conference.	2
	a	More attracted by speakers with experience than with the	2
	u.	academic discussions. University speakers weaker.	_
	e.		2
		conference.	
	f.	Bill Oncken is a riot - a really entertaining speaker - but I think he relies too heavily on satire and thus obscures the	1
		point he is trying to make.	
	ø.	Six hours daily is too much for anyone to obserb - Suggest same	1
	6.	over 4 days.	-
	h.	and the state of t	1
		speakers can be rated immediately after talk.	
	i.	Lengthen course to include more "cases" and permit more question-	1
		ing of the individual speakers.	
	j.	Follow program with a one day meeting each quarter.	ı
		Suggest questionnaire regarding interest in subjects be sub-	1
		mitted after 3 day sessions rather than before.	
	ı.	More speakers from industry and experienced government organiza-	1
		tions.	
	m.	Retain speakers for meetings on 4th Tuesday of month.	1
	n.	Post-speech remarks made by Dr. Jaffe lowered the impact of	ı
		the speaker and marred the general tone of conference.	
	٥.	Practically nothing was said about follow-up in weekly meetings -	1
		this should have been done so we could be planning.	
	p.	One or two high Dept. of Defense speakers would add much.	1
	q.	Each member should be required to write his solution to the	1
		Vandercook case - as homework - and be given a copy of the	
		ideal solution.	-
	r.	Have 4 times a year and have lower echelons attend.	1
	s.	More active participation by the student - some lectures skipped	1
		for exercises.	

REF ID: A72188

	t.	Discussion periods after each lecture would be beneficial if time could be arranged.	1
	u.	Most stimulating and enjoyable.	1
	v.	Would like to hear more from Bennett.	ī
10.	Nat equ	e end result from this Conference is improved management in the cional Security Agency. Do you feel you personally have been better sipped and motivated to manage your own activity? If so, what species in this direction do you plan to take?	: lfic
	a.	Implement ideas on communications.	10
	b.		
	c.	Better personal contacts to stimulate others.	5554332
		Plan to read and study better techniques.	5
	e.	Will use ideas in everyday Management responsibilities.	4
		Plan more conferences.	3
	g.	Utilize hints on conducting better meetings.	3
	h.	Generate "team feeling".	2
		Show more interest in jobs.	2
		More delegation of special duties.	2
		Revise method of talking with subordinates.	. 2
	1.	Review materials and apply them to personnel, management and mission of Division.	2
	m.	To set aside a definite part of each day for creative thinking.	1
		Give praise for good work.	1
		Better training for Supervisors.	1
		Train a replacement.	1
	đ.	Have asked for copies of all lectures - Branch chiefs have been instructed to read all lectures and attend first Management Conference for which they are eligible.	ı

(Seven critiques were not returned.)

REF	TD	Δ7	2	1	RR
REE			_	_	oo.

• +	•	**	т—	TEVEL	- -	Erric	NOTENDATION	
	•	A	roo	About	TOO	Leave		Elimi-
t.on	હ્યું≎γ			Right		Va Ia	2 hrs	nate
	"National Lanpower Situation"	10 A		204,324.0	1121111	710 10		
` l.	Dr. H. DeWryne Kreeger	28 B	2	38	3	36	5	3
2.	"Executive Development and Executive ,Approxects" Mr. Vir, il K. Rowland	21 A 23 B 2 C	2	40	0	35	6	1
3.	"General Managerent Review" Lu. Gordon Bernevall	8 A 20 B 16 C	8	33	0	34	1	8
4.	"Improduction to Communications" In. William O. Oncken, Jr.	32 A 13 B 0 C	1	40	2	39	' 1	2
. Tue	sdey							
1.	'Coruniertions Up" Lr. John J. Corson	6 A 35 B 5 C	2	42	0	42	1	7
2.	'm. on Relations" Dr. Burnice H. Jarmon	12 A 24 B 10 C	10	35	0	32	3	7
3.	"i ~ loughlin lir. Dan Loughlin	6 A 12 B 30 C	20	19	0	19	2	26
4.	Case Stray - Vandercook Case" Deen Jos L. Jessup & Scaff	19 A 19 B 6 C	4	38	0	20	32	3
5.	"Utilizing Self locivation" or, Mula Kona	18 A 19 B 8 C	5	38	o	34	ļ.	4
6.	"Commissions - Down and Across" In. Villiam Oneken	26 A 18 B 1 C	2	39	1	33	ļ.	4
Nod	rosđaj							
1.	"Theories of Organization" CAIT E.S.L. Goodne, USA	25 A 19 B 2 C	0	种	0	29	12	2
2.	bur ilrung the Will to Create Among Scientific Personnel" Dr. Relph D. Bermet	18 B 4 C	1	42	0	31	7	2
3.	"The Vorld Toloy" Dr. Elmor L. Klyser	5 A 20 B 18 C	14	22	1	13	5	23
l.,	"Can Executive Be Taught to Think?" Dr. Tarnice Jerron	17 A 23 B 7 C	6	38	0	32	4	5
5.	"Greathing Group Conviction and Acceptance for Required Action" Lr. Villian Oncken	37 A 9 B 1 C	1	42	1	37	14	1

A - Separation
 B - Excellent
 C - Average