REF ID: A517797

28 May 1953

SECURITY INFORMATION

EO 3.3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

delav.

COMPRESS ON FORTITON LATER	COMMENTS ON		POSITION	PAPER
----------------------------	-------------	--	----------	-------

SECURITY INFORMATION

- 1. The subject paper is noteworthy not so much for its convincing nature, as for its evidence of a completely crystalized and rigid position. 2. The portions dealing with mechanism can be ignored at this time, since they are secondary to the main question which is whether or not this step should be taken. 3. It appears from the paper as a whole that the U.K. is convinced: A. That the insecurity of is of more value to Russia than the resultant U.S. B. That Russia could obtain the information matter by no other means. That this requires that we unequivocally sacrifice all "(probably forever)" without further
- 4. Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in a survey somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. The surveys are different in that theirs is based primarily or products and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. time in the Examination or cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably less rigid in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examination of the complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which sound serious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some are highly questionable even from the extract, as for example:

Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4é 4f 5c (30 Jan 531) Annexure 4 item 4c Annexure 6 item 4c Annexure 7 item 3b

Nevertheless there is no doubt that a quantitatively small but nevertheless real leakage of intelligence is taking place. With respect to

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 02-12-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526

HS EVES ONLY

EO 3.3(h)(2) **REF ID: A517797** PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

U.S EYES ONLY

TOP SECRET CANOE

SECURITY INFORMATION

SECURITY INFORMATION

goes so far as to state that the amount is
small. In this and in the appraisal of potential, the are
essentially agreed. The divergences are in estimates of degree.
3350000101111, 188, 201, 188, 201, 201, 201, 201, 201, 201, 201, 201
5. Point 3B is the fundamental questionmark in the
This statement made without qualification or further comment in any form
represents an assumption rather than a fact. This assumption runs counter
to the known:
a. Communist infiltration of and other nations.
b. Elaborate public press and radio reporting of all
nations - particularly the U.S.
c. Recent oral report by
6. Point 3B is essential to the U.K. position, since unless it is
very nearly true, the course of action which the insists upon would
mean that Point 3C would be a burnt offering to an unresponsive deity.
In addition if 3C is untrue to the extent that our attempts to inform
the in their turn, we would hand the USSR a picture
of our own cryptographic (and by inference, cryptanalytic) abilities.
7. The fundamental problem is not answered by the paper. It remains a cold fact that someone withthe requisite authority must make a command decision in which only part of the factors are known, a few can be guessed at and the remainder are hidden in the future:
be guessed at and the remainder are hidden in the lutte.
(Known to us
a. { Known are to us Infer - They are also against the USSR
\int Known - The quantitative leakage is not dramatic as of now
b. Infer - It could grow worse - particularly in war
(Infer - It could grow worse - particularly in war
Known - Western open sources leave relatively little work for
USSR intelligence as of now
C. Trees We wish not out one and dutolli name by
Infer - We might not cut off much intelligence by
ciphers
Crosses MOCD contains as all times is many still supposed and suite
(Known - USSR espionage of all types is very widespread and quite
d. \ effective have disclosed
Infer - We might not accomplish much and might/well a fair amount of
THIST - WE WIRELD INDO SECONDITION WHEN STIME STATE OF LAST OF LAST
(Known - is of value to us if not of
e. dominant importance
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Infer - Who can judge its value to USSR?

TOP SECRET SANCE

U.S. EYES ONLY

REF ID: A517797

U.S. EYES ONLY

TOP SECRET

CANOE

TOP SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

QUERY	? - Will one or more go communist?
tę,	? - Will at least the elements of existing cryptography remain if they were forced into
11	? - Will we then want to read them?
u _{vz}	? - Will we one day get intelligence vital to us in our position as leader of the Western block? Will we perhaps get warning of defection?
	one sense the die is cast. All we can do is control the speed
	tual loss. When modern devises were given by the and
	in motion an inevitable This process will be slow. We can accelerate this or let nature take its course.
	n must weigh the possible gain against the accelerated loss.
8.	One final thought: If we are going to do anything more at
4.	this moment, let us improve the COMSEC only of those of our
	partners of whose constancy we feel more or less certain
	and whose COMSEC needs improvement. For example:
• .	
	L. E. SHINN
	L. S. SILINI
•	
	EO 3.3(h)(2)
	PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

TOP SECRET CANGE

REF ID: A517797	
If we are going to do anythe at this mornant,	Lug more
9. Que final thought: Let us improve the C	OMSEC
only of those constan	en we
fall more or less cortain and whose constant	SEC
heads improvement. For example;	

EO 3.3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

L. E. SHINN

REF ID: A517797

TOP SECRET CANOE

This document is to be read only by those personnel officially indoctrinated in accordance with comnunication intelligence security regulations and authorized to receive the information reported herein.

CONTIENTS ON POSITION PAPER TOP (SECURITY INFORMATION

		*
		A.
1.	The subject paper is noteworthy not so much for its convincing	
nature.	as for its evidence of a completely crystalized and rigid	
position		
POST OTOII		
-1		:
since th	The portions dealing with mechanism can be ignored at this time, ey are secondary to the main question which is whether or not p should be taken.	
3•	It appears from the paper as a whole that the U.K. is convinced:	•
a ,		0.0(1-)(0)
		3.3(h)(2)
		86-36/50 USC 36
	U.S.	
	B. That Russia could obtain the information for the by no	
	other means.	
	Outer means.	
1.		
	C. That this requires that we unequivocally sacrifice all	
	"(probably forever)" without further	
	delay.	
		ì
h		
	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in	
survey	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB.	
survey he surve	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on	
ne survey oroducts	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of	
survey The surve	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of	
n survey The survey products time in t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period.	
ne survey the survey products time in t txam: nati	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably	
survey he survey roducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examina-	
survey he survey croducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which	
he survey reducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some	
he survey reducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which	
ne survey reducts ime in t xaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some	
ne survey reducts ime in t xaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some	
he survey reducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinate complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example:	
ne survey reducts ime in t xaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinate complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c	
he survey reducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinate complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c	
he survey reducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4e 4f	
he survey reducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4d 4e 4f 5b	
he survey reducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4e 4f 5b 5c (30 Jan 53!)	
ne survey reducts ime in t xaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4d 4e 4f 5b	
survey he survey roducts ime in t xaminati ess rigi ion of t ound ser	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4e 4f 5b 5c (30 Jan 53!) 6b	
survey he survey roducts ime in t xaminati ess rigi ion of t ound ser	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinate complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4e 4f 5b 5c (30 Jan 53!) 6b 6d	
survey he survey roducts ime in t xaminati ess rigi ion of t ound ser	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinate complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4e 4f 5b 5c (30 Jan 53!) 6b 6d Annexure 4 item 4c	
he survey reducts ime in t kaminati ess rigi ion of t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinathe complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4e 4f 5b 5c (30 Jan 53.) 6d Annexure 4 item 4c Annexure 6 item 4c	
t survey the survey products time in the t	Point 3A above is elaborated upon at length in Appendix A, in somewhat similar to that prepared by the AdHoc Committee of USCIB. eys are different in that theirs is based primarily on and ours on products, and in the lack of any specific span of the study whereas the U.S. study covered a six month period. ion of cited examples reveals that their selection is considerably id in terms of what is damaging. I think it probable that examinate complete texts would reveal many instances of messages which rious in the extract but are rather trivial in the whole. Some ly questionable even from the extract, as for example: Annexure 2 item 4c 4d 4e 4f 5b 5c (30 Jan 53!) 6b 6d Annexure 4 item 4c	

Nevertheless there is no doubt that a quantitatively small but nevertheless real leakage of intelligence is taking place. With respect to

REF ID:A517797

TOP SECRET CANOE

This document is to be read only by those personnel officially indoctrinated in accordance with com-

TOP GEORIA

N. N. B. W. W. C. C.	14 m					
	**************************************	The state of the s	*****			
	Catharan Carlotte Car		as to state		amound is	
		e appraisal of			are	
sentially	agreed. The	divergences ar	e in estimat	es of degre	ee.	

		fundamental qu				
		ut qualificati				
		rather than a	fact. This	assumption	runs counte	r
the known			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
	Communication design	filtration of	and o	ebas I.	nations.	
=•	COMMUNTAC III	TITCLE STIDE OF	and o	ouet	IR CTOUR.	
(\\\\\ h . '	Eleborete mil	blic press and	redic renor	ting of all		
// // 5.		rticularly the		orne or ar.		
\\\\ c.			3,50	•		
1 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		ntial to the		since unle	ess it is	
		rse of action	hich the	insists	upon would	
en that Po	Int 30 would t	be a burnt offe	ring to an	unresponsiv	re deity.	
		ue to the exten				
3e \ \		n their turn,				
cour own e	ryptographic ((and by inferen	ce, cryptana	lytic) abil	lities.	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
7. The	fundemental r	problem is not		49. 21		
					pap	
t remains a	cold fact the	at someone with	the requisi	te authorit	y must make	
t remains a command de	cold fact the	at someone with ch only part of	the requisit	te authorii s are know	y must make	
t remains a command de	cold fact the	at someone with	the requisit	te authorii s are know	y must make	
t remains a command de guessed a	cold fact the	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide	the requisition the factor	te authorii s are know uture:	y must make	
t remains a command degrees as Know	cold fact the	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide	the requisit	te authorii s are know	y must make	
t remains a command degrees at	cold fact the	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide	the requisite the factors are	te authorites are known ature: to us	y must make	
t remains a command degrees at	cold fact the	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide	the requisition the factor	te authorites are known ature: to us	y must make	
t remains a command de guessed a Known a. Infe	cold fact the rision in which and the remains and the remains are are	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide	the requisite the factor len in the factor len in the factor against the graduate the same against the same length against the	te authorites are known uture: to us to us usse	y must make n, a few can	
remains a command des guessed at Known & Known	cold fact the cision in which and the remains and the remains are	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also titative leakage	the requisite the factor are against the a	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR	y must make n, a few can	
remains a command des guessed at Known & Known	cold fact the cision in which and the remains and the remains are	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide	the requisite the factor are against the a	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR	y must make n, a few can	
t remains a command despendent of the command despendent of the command despendent of the command of the comman	cold fact the cision in which and the remains of the could be coul	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide also titative leakag grow worse - p	the requisite the factor are against the articularly	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as c	y must make n, a few can	
t remains a command december of the command december of the command december of the command of t	cold fact the cision in which the remains of the re	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide also titative leakag grow worse - p open sources le	the requisite the factors are against the against the articularly ave relative	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as c	y must make n, a few can	
t remains a command dece guessed at Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the remains of the re	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide also titative leakag grow worse - p	the requisite the factors are against the against the articularly ave relative	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as c	y must make n, a few can	
t remains a command degree guessed at Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the and the remains of the country o	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide also titative leakag grow worse - p open sources leadingence as of	the requisite the factors are against the against the against the articularly ave relative now	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little	of now	
t remains a command dece guessed at Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the and the remains of the country o	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide also titative leakag grow worse - p open sources le	the requisite the factors are against the against the against the articularly ave relative now	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little	of now	
t remains a command degree guessed at Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the and the remains of the country o	at someone with ch only part of ainder are hide also titative leakag grow worse - p open sources leadingence as of	the requisite the factors are against the against the against the articularly ave relative now	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little	of now	
t remains a command despendent of the command despendent of the command despendent of the command of the comman	cold fact the cision in which the remains of the re	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also also also also also also also	the requisite the factor the factor the factor are against the against the articularly ave relative now	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little ence by sec	y must make a, a few can of now work for uring	
t remains a command degree guessed at Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the remains of the re	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also titative leakage grow worse - popen sources leakage aligence as of not cut off multiple contact of all topological topologica	the requisite the factor the factor the factor are against the against the articularly ave relative now	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little ence by sec	y must make a, a few can of now work for uring	
t remains a command dee e guessed as Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the remains of the re	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also titative leakage grow worse - popen sources leakage aligence as of not cut off multiple contact of all topological topologica	the requisite the factor the factor the factor are against the against the articularly ave relative now	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little ence by sec	of now work for uring	
t remains a command degree guessed as Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the remains of the re	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also titative leakage grow worse - popen sources leakage of all to a content of the content of the content of the content of all to a content of a co	the requisite the factors are against the against the against the articularly ave relative now the intelligence of the second se	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little ence by sec	of now work for uring d and quite	
t remains a command degree guessed as Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the remains of the re	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also titative leakage grow worse - popen sources leakage aligence as of not cut off multiple contact of all topological topologica	the requisite the factors are against the against the against the articularly ave relative now the intelligence of the second se	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little ence by sec	of now work for uring d and quite	
t remains a command descended as Known as Infe	cold fact the cision in which the remains of the re	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also titative leakage grow worse - popen sources leakage of all to a content of the content of the content of the content of all to a content of a co	against the against the factor of the factor	te authoris s are known ture: to us to us usse usse use use use use use use use us	y must make a, a few can of now work for uring d and quite osed fair amount	
t remains a command descended as a Known as Infe	cold fact the cision in which the remains of and the remains of the cold of th	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also titative leakage grow worse - popen sources leakage of all the complishment accomplishment acco	against the against the factor of the factor	te authoris s are known uture: to us ne USSR amatic as of in war ely little ence by sec	y must make a, a few can of now work for uring d and quite osed fair amount	
t remains a command descended as Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the remains of and the remains of the cold of th	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also titative leakage grow worse - popen sources leakage of all to a content of the content of the content of the content of all to a content of a co	against the against the factor of the factor	te authoris s are known ture: to us to us usse usse use use use use use use use us	y must make a, a few can of now work for uring d and quite osed fair amount	
t remains a command dee guessed as Known a. Infe	cold fact the cision in which the cision in which the remains of the cision of the cis	at someone with the chooly part of ainder are hide also also titative leakage grow worse - popen sources leakage of all the complishment accomplishment acco	against the against the against the against the against the articularly ave relative now the intelligence of the articular against the aga	te authoris s are known ture: to us to us usse usse use use use use use use use us	y must make a, a few can of now work for uring d and quite osed fair amount	

TOP SECRET CANOE

This document is to be read only by those personnel officially indoctrinated in accordance with communication intelligence security regulations and authorized to receive the information reported herein.

TOP SECRET

QUERY	? - Will one or more go communist?
n 1944 -	- Will at least the elements of existing cryptography remain if they were forced into
n N	? - Will we then want to read them?
Alberta (n. 1965) Alberta Alberta (n. 1965)	? - Will we one day get intelligence vital to us in our position as leader of the Western block? Will we perhaps get warning of defection?
of the event we set	one sense the die is cast. All we can do is control the speed wal loss. When modern devices were given by the and in motion an inevitable loss of This process will be
	low. We can accelerate this or let nature take its course. must weigh the possible gain against the accelerated loss.
8.	One final thought: If we are going to do anything more at this moment, let us improve the CONSEC only of those of our of whose constancy we feel more or less combain
	and those COMSEC needs improvement. For exemple:

L. U. SHIMM

EO 3.3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

Form 781-C105C TOP SECRET CANOE