

129 (3242)

lst Ind

I

SUBJECT: G-2 policy on release of cryptographic principles

Headquarters, Armed Forces Security Agency, Washington 25, D C. 16 September 1950

- TO: Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, General Staff, United States Army, The Pentagon, Washington 25, D. C.
 - . Forwarded.

Incls - 3 n/c

COLLINS

Colonel, Signal Corps Deputy Director, AFSA

02-SMI 350.0511

2d Ind

Office, Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Intelligence, Department of the Army, Washington 25, D. C. Army 1950

TO: Director, Armed Forces Security Agency, U. S. Naval Communication Station, 3801 Nebraska Ave., N. W., Washington 25, D. C. ATTN: Mr. William F. Friedman

With reference to the request contained in paragraph 5, basic letter, Major Mills is cognizant of the policy which was set forth in Reference (a) and in fact had been fully aware of the same prior to 10 March 1950, the date of enclosure (B); however, Reference (a) was rescuided by Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, on 7 July 1950.

FOR THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF. G2 Mun N Middleton

3 Incls n/c

JOHN W MIDDLETON Colonel, GSC Chief, Security & Training Division

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 08-30-2013 pursuant to E.O. 13526

	REF ID:A70843
	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ARMED FORCES SECURITY AGENCY WASHINGTON 25 D C EPL EFER TO
	TIAL 15 September 1950
SUBJECT	G-2 policy on release of cryptographic principles
	**
THRU	Director, Armed Forces Security Agency Washington 25, D C
то	Director of Intelligence, U S Army Washington 25, D C
Referen	ce (a) Memorandum dated 29 April 1946 for Chief, Army Security Agency Subject Release of cryptographic principles
Enclosu	of Intelligence U S Army to Chief, Army Security Agency
	(B) Copy of correspondence between Department of Defense and Mr Henry G Fischer
	(C) Copy of memorandum dated 21 Aug 1950 from Mr Henry G Fischer to the Department of Defense Subject Bill for the relief of William F Friedman
-	
and dev. authori	a The undersigned a civilian employee of the Armed Forces y Agency, is the inventor of a number of cryptographic systems ices, patent applications on which were placed by appropriate ty in a secrecy status where they have been and will probably for a considerable number of years
in the s	b Reference (a) deals with the Department of the Army policy premises
referre employ j and obt	ppears to fall within the scope of Department of the Army policy d to in Par <u>lb</u> above I requested and obtained permission to private counsel as noted in Enclosure (A) I thereupon sought ained the services of M Henry G Fischer of the firm of , Panzer and Willis of Washington D C, to assist me
siderat	a After I had presented to counsel the facts in the case, so was permissible under the limitations required by security con- ions counsel took up the matter with the Department of Defense
Enclosu	re (B) is a copy of the correspondence that ensued
4	

8

44 171

۲





CONFIDENTIAL-

15 September 1950

SUBJECT: G-2 policy on release of cryptographic principles

b. As a result of that correspondence and conferences between counsel and Major James R. Mills, Chief of the Patents Division, Office of the Judge Advocate General of the Army, counsel submitted to Major Mills on 21 August 1950 a formal memorandum on the subject of a private bill to be initiated in the Congress on my behalf. Enclosure (C) is a copy of that memorandum.

c. The memorandum referred to in Par. 2b above, requests no affirmative action or support on the part of the Department of Defense for such a private bill, but merely requests permission to present the case to the Congress without objection by the Department.

3. a. As will be noted in Par. 2 of Enclosure (A), the condition on which I was permitted to employ private counsel was that I would not reveal any classified information.

b. For the foregoing reason and because the policy set forth in Reference (a) is classified, I was unable to tell counsel even of existence of the policy. As a consequence, when counsel proposed to me that the matter be presented to the Department on the basis indicated in Par. <u>2c</u> above, I was able to go no further than to concur in his proposed basis for the presentation. Hence, instead of being in a position to direct counsel to request the active support of the Department in the presentation of the case to the Congress, in consonance with the letter and spirit of Reference (a), I was forced to let counsel remain in the more limited position of merely requesting the Department to refrain from expressing objection to the presentation of the case to the Congress.

4. Several years have passed since the policy in Reference (a) was elaborated and it is possible that presently assigned personnel in the Office of the Judge Advocate General of the Army are not cognizant of the Department of the Army policy set forth in Reference (a). It would therefore appear to be desirable and in my interest to insure that that policy is known to Major Mills in the early stages of his study of the facts in the case.

5. It is therefore respectfully requested that the Director of Intelligence ascertain whether Major Mills has knowledge of the existence and nature of the policy set forth in Reference (a), and if not, that steps be taken to inform him thereof so that this information will be available to him for consideration in connection with his study of the memorandum which was submitted to him by my counsel.

2

red NTLLIAM F. FRIEDMAN

. 4 ROVALS DIS ROV 4LS MEMO ROUT SLĪ CONCURRENCES OR SIMILAR **DN**S (TITIALS **1 NAME OR TITLE** CIRCULATE ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION DATE COORDINATION 2 FILE INFORMATION 3 NECESSARY ACTION NOTE AND RETURN 4 SEE ME SIGNATURE REMARKS feel better of this were four people as possible to as d 5 priv le na 10 2 -6 ature -0 a من tative, and Ю re 1200 sentative Ja i any *و*هم nien, \circ was FROM NAME OR TITLE DATE ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION TELEPHONE NME FORM NO 95 Beplaces DA AGO Form 895, 1 OCT 48 95 1 Apr 48, which may be used. c6---16---48487--8 GPO 5 7. 140 Sust. 14 A 5 2 2 500 83.4T. ~ 1